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A Performance Test Protocol for Closed System Transfer Devices Used During Pharmacy Compounding and Administration of Hazardous Drugs; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice and extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On September 15, 2016 the Director of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), published a notice in the Federal Register [81 FR 63482] announcing a public meeting and request for public comment on a draft testing protocol. Written comments were to be received by December 7, 2016. In response to a request from interested parties, NIOSH has extended the comment period until June 7, 2017. The longer timeframe will allow companies to acquire the proposed challenge agents and test their CSTDs with the proposed universal CSTD performance test protocol.

DATES: NIOSH is extending the comment period on the document published September 15, 2016 [81 FR 63482]. Electronic or written comments must be received by June 7, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah V. Hirst, NIOSH, Alice Hamilton Laboratories, 1000 Tusculum Avenue, MS–R–5, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226, telephone (513) 841–4141 (not a toll free number), Email: DHirst@cdc.gov.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation (OPRE), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), is proposing to collect data for a new round of the Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey (FACES). Featuring a new “Core Plus” study design, FACES will provide data on a set of key indicators, including information for performance measures. The design allows for more rapid and frequent data reporting (Core studies) and serves as a vehicle for studying more complex issues and topics in greater detail and with increased efficiency (Plus studies).

The FACES Core study will assess the school readiness skills of Head Start children, survey their parents, and ask their Head Start teachers to rate children’s social and emotional skills. In addition, FACES will include observations in Head Start classrooms, and program director, center director, and teacher surveys. FACES Plus studies include additional survey content of policy or programmatic interest, and may include additional programs or respondents beyond those participating in the Core FACES study.

Previous notices provided the opportunity for public comment on the proposed Head Start program recruitment and center selection process (FR V.79, pg.75569 12/12/2013; FR V.79, pg.8461 02/12/2014), the child-level data collection in fall 2014 and spring 2015 (FR V.79, pg.11445 02/28/2014; FR V.79, pg.27620 5/14/2014), the program- and classroom-level spring 2015 data collection activities (FR v.79; pg.73077 12/09/2014), the American Indian and Alaska Native Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey (AI/AN FACES) child-level data collection activities in fall 2015 and spring 2016 (FR V.80, pg.30250 08/07/2015) and AI/AN FACES program- and classroom-level spring 2016 data collection activities (FR V.80, pg 70231 11/13/2015).

This 30-day notice describes the planned additional data collection activities for FACES program- and classroom-level data collection in spring 2017. Spring 2017 data collection includes site visits to 360 centers in 180 Head Start programs. As in spring 2015, for the Core study teachers, program directors, and center directors will each complete surveys, approximately 25 to 30 minutes in length. Two Plus studies are planned related to program functioning for spring 2017. First, program and center directors in all 180 programs (and 360 centers) will complete a 5-minute survey on how programs are planning for implementing the new Head Start program performance standards. Second, all 720 teachers will complete a survey on program functioning, initially piloted in spring 2015.

The purpose of the Core data collection is to support the 2007 reauthorization of the Head Start program (Pub. L. 110–134), which calls for periodic assessments of Head Start’s quality and effectiveness. As additional information collection activities are fully developed, in a manner consistent with the description provided in the 60-day notice (79 FR 11445) and prior to use, we will submit these materials for a 30-day public comment period under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Respondents: Head Start teachers and Head Start directors.
ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES—CURRENT INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Total number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual number of respondents</th>
<th>Number of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Average burden hour per response</th>
<th>Estimated annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classroom sampling form from Head Start staff</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Start core teacher survey</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Start core program director survey</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Start core center director survey</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early care and education administrators survey for Plus study</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early care and education providers survey for Plus study (5E—Early Ed)</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Information: Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 330 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20201, Attn: OPRE Reports Clearance Officer. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection. Email address: OPREinfocollection@acf.hhs.gov.

OMB Comment: OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the Federal Register. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, Fax: OIRA SUBMISSION@OMB.EOP.GOV, Attn: Desk Officer for the Administration for Children and Families.

Mary Jones
ACF/OPRE Reports Clearance Officer.
[FR Doc. 2016–29373 Filed 12–7–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4184–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Requested

Title: RPG National Cross-Site Evaluation and Evaluation Technical Assistance.

OMB No.: 0970–0444.

Description: The Children’s Bureau within the Administration for Children and Families of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services seeks a renewal of clearance to collect information for the Regional Partnership Grants to Increase the Well-being of and to Improve Permanency Outcomes for Children Affected by Substance Abuse Cross-Site Evaluation and Evaluation-Related Technical Assistance and Data Collection Support for Regional Partnership Grant Program Round Three Sites or “RPG” projects. Under RPG, the Children’s Bureau has issued 21 grants to organizations such as child welfare or substance abuse treatment providers or family court systems to develop interagency collaborations and integration of programs, activities, and services designed to increase well-being, improve permanency, and enhance the safety of children who are in an out-of-home placement or are at risk of being placed in out-of-home care as a result of a parent’s or caretaker’s substance use dependence. The Child and Family Services Improvement and Innovation Act (Pub. L. 112–34) includes a targeted grants program (section 437(f) of the Social Security Act) that directs the Secretary of Health and Human Services to reserve a specified portion of the appropriation for these Regional Partnership Grants, to be used to improve the well-being of children affected by substance abuse. The overall objective of the Cross-Site Evaluation and Technical Assistance projects (the RPG Cross-Site Evaluation) is to plan, develop, and implement a rigorous national cross-site evaluation of the RPG Grant Program, provide legislatively-mandated performance measurement, furnish evaluation-related technical assistance to the grantees in order to improve the quality and rigor of their local evaluations, and support their participation in the cross-site evaluation. The project will evaluate the programs and activities conducted through the RPG Program. The evaluation is being undertaken by the Children’s Bureau and its contractor Mathematica Policy Research. The evaluation is being implemented by Mathematica Policy Research and its subcontractors, WRMA, Inc., and Synergy Enterprises.

The RPG Cross-Site Evaluation includes the following components:

1. Implementation and Partnership Study: The RPG cross-site implementation and partnership study will contribute to building the knowledge base about effective implementation strategies by examining the process of implementation in the 21 RPG projects, with a focus on factors shown in the research literature to be associated with quality implementation of evidence-based programs. This component of the study describes the RPG projects’ target populations, selected interventions and their fit with the target populations, inputs to implementation, and actual services provided (including dosage, duration, content, adherence to curricula, and participant responsiveness). It examines the key attributes of the regional partnerships that grantees develop (for example, partnerships among child welfare and substance abuse treatment providers, social services, and family courts). It describes the characteristics and roles of the partner organizations, the extent of coordination and collaboration, and their potential to sustain the partnerships after the grant ends. Key data collection activities of the implementation and partnership study are: (1) Conducting site visits during which researchers interview RPG program directors, managers, supervisors, and frontline staff who work directly with families; (2) administering a survey to frontline staff involved in providing direct services to children, adults, and families; (3) asking grantees to provide information about implementation and their partnerships as part of their federally required semi-annual progress reports; (4) obtaining service use data from grantees, enrollment data and demographics of enrollees, exit date and reason, and