(C) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.19

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date the proposed rule change was filed, the Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change related to the Exchange’s request for immediate effectiveness. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change is intended to address confidentiality concerns. The Commission notes that some Pilot data was scheduled to be published on November 30, 2016. Therefore, the Commission hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposed rule change to be operative as of November 30, 2016.24

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.25 If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–BatsBYX–2016–37 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–BatsBYX–2016–37. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR–BatsBYX–2016–37 and should be submitted on or before January 9, 2017.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.26

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–30387 Filed 12–16–16; 8:45 am]
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December 13, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 1, 2016, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange seeks to amend Exchange rules regarding...
responsible for ensuring the trade is executed in accordance with the priority and allocation provisions set forth in Rule 6.45A(b) and 6.45B(b) and does not cause a Trade-Through (unless otherwise excepted) under Rule 6.81. For an open outcry transaction between a Floor Broker and another Floor Broker or between a Market-Maker and another Market-Maker, both parties to the transaction are responsible for ensuring the transaction is executed in accordance with the aforementioned Rules.

Rule 6.73. Responsibilities of Floor Brokers

* * * * *

Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated Rules

* * * * *

Rule 6.45A.—Priority and Allocation of Equity Option Trades on the CBOE Hybrid System

* * * * *

. . . Interpretations and Policies: .01—.05 No change.

.05 For an open outcry transaction between a Floor Broker and Market-Maker it is the responsibility of the initiator of the transaction to ensure that the transaction is executed in accordance with the priority and allocation provisions set forth in Rule 6.45A(b) and does not cause a Trade-Through (unless otherwise excepted) under Rule 6.81. For an open outcry transaction between a Floor Broker and another Floor Broker or between a Market-Maker and another Market-Maker, both parties to the transaction are responsible for ensuring the transaction is executed in accordance with the aforementioned Rules.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s Web site (http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend CBOE Rules 6.45A, 6.45B, and 6.73 to identify the party to a transaction that is responsible for ensuring that a transaction is executed in accordance with the priority and allocation requirements as set forth in Rules 6.45A(b) and 6.45B(b) and does not cause a “Trade-Through” (unless otherwise excepted) under Rule 6.81.4

3. Interpreta...
the Floor Broker in this example should be responsible for ensuring priority and allocation consistent with the applicable rules and that Trade-Through requirements are satisfied.

Floor Brokers are also in a good position to prevent Trade-Throughs and book priority violations because Floor Brokers may utilize the Public Automatic Routing System ("PAR") to execute orders, which is not available to Market-Makers. PAR provides all of the necessary market data to avoid Trade-Throughs and book priority violations (e.g., PAR includes data related to electronic public customer books, CBOE, best bid and offer ("BBO"), and, national best bid and offer ("NBBO"), etc.). In addition, PAR calculates and displays a net price for complex orders held by a Floor Broker. Most importantly, however, PAR offers alerts that warn Floor Brokers that a proposed execution price for a given order may violate priority or result in a potential Trade-Through. These alerts occur via pop-up windows within PAR.

When a Floor Broker trades with Market-Makers the Market-Makers are not in as good of a position to prevent Trade-Throughs and book priority violations. Although Market-Makers have access to market data via screens on the trading floor and/or their own electronic devices, they do not have access to the specific terms and conditions of a Floor Broker’s order on an electronic basis and must evaluate the CBOE BBO and the NBBO without the aid of PAR. Instead, a request for a quote for a given order is verbally communicated by a Floor Broker to the trading crowd and the verbal information is taken into consideration by Market-Makers (and other in-crowd market participants) when providing a responsive quote. Furthermore, Market-Makers evaluate a Floor Broker’s request for a quote against the Market-Maker’s theoretical values for the given options series. This process becomes even more complicated when there are multiple options series that must be evaluated for a complex order. Ultimately, the Exchange believes it is reasonable for a Market-Maker to rely on a Floor Broker to ensure that an open outcry transaction is executed in accordance with the priority and allocation provisions and Trade-Through prohibition provisions when the Floor Broker is initiating the transaction. If a Market-Maker initiates a transaction with a Floor Broker the Market-Maker will be responsible for ensuring that the transaction is executed in accordance with the priority and allocation provisions and Trade-Through prohibition provisions.

The Exchange proposes to add Interpretation and Policy .05 to Rule 6.45A, .06 to Rule 6.45B, and .07 to Rule 6.73. As previously noted, the proposal does not amend who is responsible when an open outcry transaction is between Floor Brokers or between Market-Makers. As is the case today, for open outcry transactions between Floor Brokers or open outcry transactions between Market-Makers, both parties are responsible for ensuring that a transaction is executed in accordance with the priority and allocation rules and the Trade-Through prevention rules. For these scenarios the proposal simply sets forth the existing standard, which, again, calls for both parties being responsible for ensuring that a transaction is executed in accordance with the priority and allocation rules and the Trade-Through prohibition rules.

The Exchange notes that this rule change, consistent with the Options Intermarket Linkage Plan, is reasonably designed to prevent Trade-Throughs as well as book priority violations because the proposal places the responsibility for ensuring transactions are executed in accordance with the rules on the specific party or parties in a good position to ensure compliance. The Exchange also notes that this rule may help limit the number of priority and Trade-Through violations because the proposal identifies a particular party or parties to each transaction (as opposed to all parties) as being responsible for ensuring compliance with the rules. Furthermore, in all cases the responsibility will fall on all parties to the transaction (i.e., when Floor Broker trades with another Floor Broker or when a Market-Maker trades with another Market-Maker) or the initiator of the transaction.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.7 Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)8 requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)9 requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

In particular, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is appropriate because the vast majority of the time Floor Brokers are the initiators of open outcry transactions on the trading floor, and they are able to use PAR to assist them with ensuring that transactions are executed in accordance with priority and allocation rules and Trade-Through prohibition rules, which makes this proposal reasonably designed to ensure compliance with Exchange Rules. As a result, the Exchange believes this change will remove potential impediments to a free and open market and a national market system. The Exchange also believes this rule change may help limit the number of priority and Trade-Through violations, which generally helps to protect investors and the public interest, because the proposal more appropriately identifies the specific party or parties responsible for ensuring compliance with these rules (i.e., the initiator in the case of Floor Brokers trading with Market-Makers and both parties when Market-Makers trade with Market-Makers and both parties when Floor Brokers trade with Floor Brokers). Furthermore, in all cases the responsibility will fall on all parties to the transaction (i.e., when Floor Broker trades with another Floor Broker or when a Market-Maker trades with another Market-Maker) or the initiator of the transaction.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. CBOE does believe that the proposed rule change would impact any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed change will apply equally to all market participants that initiate

---


---
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transactions on the floor of the Exchange. Furthermore, any perceived burden on Floor Brokers or Market-Makers is misplaced because Floor Brokers and Market-makers are no worse off from this proposal as both parties are currently held responsible for book priority and trade-through violations. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impose any burden on intermarket competition because it only applies to trading on CBOE.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

A. By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–CBOE–2016–082 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CBOE–2016–082. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CBOE–2016–082 and should be submitted on or before January 9, 2017.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Eduardo A. Alemán,
Assistant Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–2736.


Regulation S–AM implements the requirements of Section 624 of the FCRA (15 U.S.C. 1681–3) with respect to investment advisers and transfer agents registered with the Commission, as well as brokers, dealers and investment companies (collectively, “Covered Persons”). Section 624 and Regulation S–AM limit a Covered Person’s use of certain consumer financial information received from an affiliate to solicit a consumer for marketing purposes, unless the consumer has been given notice and a reasonable opportunity and a reasonable and simple method to opt out of such solicitations. Regulation S–AM potentially applies to all of the approximately 32,061 Covered Persons registered with the Commission, although only approximately 17,954 of them have one or more corporate affiliates, and the regulation requires only approximately 3,206 to provide consumers with an affiliate marketing notice and an opt-out opportunity.

The Commission staff estimates that there are approximately 17,954 Covered Persons having one or more affiliates, and that they each spend an average of 0.20 hours per year creating notices, providing notices and opt-out opportunities to consumers, and that they each spend an average of 7.6 hours per year creating notices, providing notices and opt-out opportunities, monitoring the opt-out notice process, making and updating records of opt-out elections, and addressing consumer questions and concerns about opt-out notices, for, collectively, an estimated annual time burden of 3,591 hours at an annual internal staff cost of approximately $1,798,991. The staff also estimates that approximately 3,206 Covered Persons provide notice and opt-out opportunities to consumers, and that they each spend an average of 0.20 hours per year creating notices, providing notices and opt-out opportunities, monitoring the opt-out notice process, making and updating records of opt-out elections, and addressing consumer questions and concerns about opt-out notices, for, collectively, an estimated annual time burden of 24,366 hours at an annual internal staff cost of approximately $4,489,806. Thus, the staff estimates that the collection of information requires a total of approximately 17,954 respondents to incur an estimated annual time burden of a total of 27,957 hours at a total annual internal cost of compliance of approximately $6,288,897.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.