want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. All comment submissions are posted at *http:// www.regulations.gov* and entered into ADAMS. Comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the OMB, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

### **II. Background**

Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the NRC recently submitted a request for renewal of an existing collection of information to OMB for review entitled, "NRC Form 314, Certification of Disposition of Material." The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The NRC published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on July 19, 2016 (81 FR 46972).

1. The title of the information collection: NRC Form 314 Certification of Disposition of Material.

2. OMB approval number: 3150–0028.

3. *Type of submission:* Extension.

4. The form number if applicable:

NRC Form 314.

5. How often the collection is required or requested: NRC Form 314 is submitted by materials licensee who wishes to terminate its license. The form provides information needed by the NRC to determine whether the licensee has radioactive materials on hand which must be transferred or otherwise disposed of prior to expiration or termination of the license.

6. Who will be required or asked to respond: Respondents are firms, institutions, and individual holding NRC licenses to possess and use radioactive materials who do not wish who do not wish to renew those licenses.

7. The estimated number of annual responses: 136 responses.

8. The estimated number of annual respondents: 136 respondents.

9. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request: Each form requires, on average, approximately 0.5 hours to prepare.  $136 \times 0.5$  hour = a total annual burden for all respondents of 68 hours.

10. *Abstract:* The NRC Form 314 furnishes information to the NRC regarding transfer or other disposition of radioactive material by licensees who wish to terminate their licenses. The information is used by the NRC as part of the basis for its determination that the facility has been cleared of radioactive material before the facility is released for unrestricted use.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of December 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. David Cullison.

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–30909 Filed 12–22–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2015-0198]

### Design of Structures, Components, Equipment, and Systems, and Reactor Coolant System and Connected Systems Guidance

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Standard review plan-final section revision; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a final revision to several sections in Chapter 3, "Design of Structures, Components, Equipment, and Systems Reactor **Coolant System and Connected** Systems," and Chapter 5, "Reactor **Coolant System and Connected** Systems," of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: LWR Edition." The revisions to these standard review plan (SRP) sections reflect no changes in staff position; rather they clarify the original intent of these SRP sections using plain language throughout in accordance with the NRC's Plain Writing Action Plan. Additionally, these revisions reflect operating experience, lessons learned, and the inclusion of updated guidance since the last revision, and address the applicability of regulatory treatment of non-safety systems where appropriate. The staff also deleted text in one of the Chapter 5 SRPs, as the text contained guidance that was included in other

SRPs and, therefore, does not constitute removal of guidance and added several references to updated standards and guidance.

**DATES:** The effective date of this Standard Review Plan (SRP) update is January 23, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2015–0198 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

• Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC-2015-0198. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

• NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the "Availability of Documents" section of this document.

• *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Notich, Office of New Reactors, telephone: 301–415–3053; email: *Mark.Notich@nrc.gov;* or Nishka Devaser, Office of New Reactors, telephone: 301–415–5196; email: *Nishka.Devaser@nrc.gov;* both staff at U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

A summary of the comments and the NRC staff's disposition of the comments are available in a separate document, "Response to Public Comments on Draft Standard Review Plan Sections from Chapters 3 and 5: Design of Structures, Components, Equipment, and Systems, and Reactor Coolant System and Connected Systems" (ADAMS Accession No. ML16088A345).

The Office of New Reactors and the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation are revising these sections from their current revisions. Details of specific changes in the proposed revisions are included at the end of each of the proposed sections.

The changes to these SRP sections reflect current NRC staff review methods and practices based on lessons learned from the NRC's reviews of design certification and combined license applications completed since the last revision of this chapter.

# **II. Backfitting and Finality Provisions**

Issuance of these revised SRP sections does not constitute backfitting as defined in § 50.109 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), "Backfitting," (the Backfit Rule) or otherwise be inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. The NRC's position is based upon the following considerations.

1. The SRP positions do not constitute backfitting, inasmuch as the SRP is internal guidance directed at the NRC staff with respect to their regulatory responsibilities.

The SRP provides guidance to the staff on how to review an application for the NRC's regulatory approval in the form of licensing. Changes in internal staff guidance are not matters for which either nuclear power plant applicants or licensees are protected under either the Backfit Rule or the issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52.

2. The NRC staff has no intention to impose the SRP positions on current licensees and regulatory approvals either now or in the future.

The staff does not intend to impose or apply the positions described in the SRP to existing (already issued) licenses and regulatory approvals. Therefore, the issuance of a final SRP—even if considered guidance that is within the purview of the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52-need not be evaluated as if it were a backfit or as being inconsistent with issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP on holders of already issued licenses in a manner which does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must make the showing as set forth in the Backfit Rule or address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision.

3. Backfitting and issue finality do not—with limited exceptions not applicable here—protect current or future applicants.

Applicants and potential applicants are not, with certain exceptions, protected by either the Backfit Rule or any issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52. This is because neither the Backfit Rule nor the issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52—with certain exclusions discussed in the next paragraph—were intended to apply to every NRC action which substantially changes the expectations of current and future applicants.

The exceptions to the general principle are applicable whenever an applicant references a 10 CFR part 52 license (e.g., an early site permit) and/ or NRC regulatory approval (e.g., a design certification rule) with specified issue finality provisions. The staff does not, at this time, intend to impose the positions represented in the SRP in a manner that is inconsistent with any issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP in a manner which does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision.

#### **III. Congressional Review Act**

This action is a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808). However, the Office of Management and Budget has not found it to be a major rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

## **IV. Availability of Documents**

The ADAMS accession numbers revised sections are available in ADAMS under the accession numbers in the table below.

| Document   | ADAMS<br>accession No.*  |
|--|--|
| <ul> <li>Section 3.6.2, "Determination of Rupture Locations and Dynamic Effects Associated with the Postulated Rupture of Piping," Revision 3</li> <li>Section 3.9.1, "Special Topics for Mechanical Components," Revision 4</li> <li>Section 3.10, "Seismic and Dynamic Qualification of Mechanical and Electrical Equipment," Revision 4</li> <li>Section 5.2.1.1, "Compliance with the Codes and Standards Rule, 10 CFR 50.55a," Revision 4</li> <li>Section 5.2.1.2, "Applicable Code Cases," Revision 4</li> <li>Branch Technical Position 3–4, "Postulated Rupture Locations in Fluid System Piping Inside and Outside Containment," Revision 3</li> </ul> | ML16088A041<br>ML16088A068<br>ML16088A101<br>ML16088A127<br>ML16088A219<br>ML16085A315 |

\* See documents in the package at ADAMS Accession Number ML16083A387 to see changes made since last revision.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of December, 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

# Joseph Colaccino,

Chief, New Reactor Rulemaking and Guidance Branch, Division of Engineering, Infrastructure, and Advanced Reactors, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2016–30908 Filed 12–22–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### [NRC-2016-0268]

### Spent Fuel Heat Generation in an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Draft regulatory guide; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing for public comment draft regulatory guide (DG), DG–3050, "Spent Fuel Heat Generation in an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation." This proposed revision (Revision 2) to RG 3.54 provides methods acceptable to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff for calculating spent nuclear fuel heat generation rates for use for an independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI).

**DATES:** Submit comments by February 21, 2017. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date. Although a time limit is given,