Dated: February 19, 2016.

Wendy M. Payne,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2016-03965 Filed 2-24-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1610-02-P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[DA 16-105]

## Order Declares Ocean Technology Limited's International Section 214 Authorization Terminated

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In this document, the International Bureau declares the international section 214 authorization granted to Ocean Technology Limited (Ocean) terminated given Ocean's inability to comply with the express condition for holding the authorization. We also conclude that Ocean failed to comply with those requirements of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules that ensure that the Commission can contact and communicate with the authorization holder and verify Ocean is still providing service, which failures have prevented any way of addressing Ocean's inability to comply with the condition of its authorization.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cara Grayer, Telecommunications and Analysis Division, International Bureau, at (202) 418–2960 or *Cara.Grayer@fcc.gov.* 

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Order, DA 16–105, adopted and released January 29, 2016.

# **Background**

On July 17, 2013, the International Bureau granted Ocean an international section 214 authorization to provide global or limited global facility-based service and global or limited global resale service in accordance with section 63.18(e)(1) and 63.18(e)(2) of the Commission's rules. The International Bureau granted the application on the express condition that Ocean abide by the commitments and undertakings contained in its Letter of Assurance (LOA) to the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Drug Enforcement Agency, and the U.S. Marshals Service (collectively, the Executive Branch Agencies) dated July 16, 2013. On July 1, 2015, the Executive Branch Agencies

notified the Commission of Ocean's non-compliance with the conditions of its authorization and requested that the Commission terminate, and declare null and void and no longer in effect, the international section 214 authorization issued to Ocean. The Executive Branch Agencies indicated that open-source searches suggest that Ocean was still in operation and providing services, however, "every attempt by the DOJ to communicate with Ocean regarding its LOA compliance has resulted in failure." The Executive Branch Agencies added that Ocean's former legal counsel has not been in contact with Ocean since July 2014 and was also unable to contact Ocean. Based on this, the Executive Branch Agencies stated that they are "wholly unable to evaluate Ocean's compliance with the LOA, and must consider Ocean to be noncompliant.'

The Commission has made significant efforts to communicate with Ocean, but has also been unable to do so. On August 25, 2015, the International Bureau sent Ocean a letter to the last addresses of record requesting that Ocean respond to the July 1, 2015 Executive Branch Letter within 30 days of the letter, by September 24, 2015. Ocean did not respond. Since that time, the International Bureau has provided Ocean with additional opportunities to respond to these allegations. The International Bureau stated that failure to respond would result in termination of Ocean's international section 214 authorization for failure to comply with conditions of its authorization. In Ocean's 2012 application, Ocean stated it was incorporated in Delaware, and according to the Delaware Secretary of State, the service of process received for Ocean cannot be forwarded because "the party served is not qualified to do business in the jurisdiction served." To date, Ocean has not responded to any of the International Bureau or the Executive Branch Agencies' multiple requests to resolve this matter.

## Discussion

We determine that Ocean's international section 214 authorization to provide international services issued under File No. ITC–214–20121210–00323 has terminated for inability to comply with an express condition for holding the section 214 international authorization. The International Bureau has provided Ocean with notice and opportunity to respond to the allegations in the July 1, 2015 Executive Branch Letter concerning Ocean's noncompliance with the condition of the grant. Ocean has not responded to any of our multiple requests or requests

from the Executive Branch Agencies. We find that Ocean's failure to respond to our multiple requests demonstrates that it is unable to satisfy the LOA conditions, upon which the Executive Branch Agencies gave their non-objection to the grant of the authorization to Ocean, and which is a condition of the grant of its section 214 authorization.

Furthermore, after having received an international 214 authorization, a carrier "is responsible for the continuing accuracy of the certifications made in its application" and must promptly correct information no longer accurate, "and, in any event, within thirty (30) days.' Ocean has failed to inform the Commission of any changes in its business status of providing international telecommunications services, as required by the rules. Nor is there any record of Ocean having complied with section 413 of the Act and the Commission's rules requiring it to designate an agent for service after receiving its authorization on July 17, 2013. Finally, as part of its authorization, Ocean "must file annual international telecommunications traffic and revenue as required by section 43.62." Section 43.62(b) states that "[n]ot later than July 31 of each year, each person or entity that holds an authorization pursuant to section 214 to provide international telecommunications service shall report whether it provided international telecommunications services during the preceding calendar year." Our records indicate that Ocean failed to file an annual international telecommunications traffic and revenue report indicating whether or not Ocean provided services in 2014, as required by section 43.62(b) of the Commission's rules. In these circumstances, and in light of Ocean's failure to respond to the Commission's rules designed to ensure its ability to communicate with the holder of the authorization, also warrants termination wholly apart from demonstrating Ocean's inability to satisfy the LOA conditions of its authorization.

#### **Ordering Clauses**

Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to sections 4(i), 214, and 413 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 214, 413, and sections 1.47(h), 43.62, 63.18, 63.21, 63.22(h), 63.23(e), and 64.1195 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.47(h), 43.62, 63.18, 63.21, 63.22(h), 63.23(e), 64.1195, that the international 214 authorization issued under File No. ITC-214-20121210-00323 is hereby terminated and declared null and void.

It is further ordered that the request of the U.S. Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Drug Enforcement Agency, and the U.S. Marshals Service, IS HEREBY GRANTED, to the extent set forth in this Order.

It is further ordered that a copy of this Order shall be sent by return receipt requested to Ocean Technology Limited at its last known addresses.

It is further ordered that a copy of this Order, or a summary thereof, shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order is issued on delegated authority under 47 CFR 0.51, 0.261, and is effective upon release. Petitions for reconsideration under section 1.106 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.106, or applications for review under section 1.115 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.115, may be filed within 30 days of the date of the release of this Order.

Federal Communications Commission. **Denise Coca**,

Chief, Telecommunications and Analysis Division, International Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2016–03939 Filed 2–24–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

### **FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**

## Notice of Proposals To Engage in or To Acquire Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR part 225) to engage *de novo*, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the notices must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 21, 2016.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Gerald C. Tsai, Director, Applications and Enforcement), 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105–1579:

1. H Bancorp LLC, Irvine, California; to merge with Hopkins Bancorp, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland, and thereby indirectly acquire Hopkins Federal Savings Bank, Baltimore, Maryland. Upon acquisition, Hopkins Federal Savings Bank will merge into Bay Bank, FSB, Lutherville Timonium, Maryland, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bay Bancorp, Inc.

In connection with this application, Applicant also has applied to acquire to acquire 51 percent of iReverse Home Loans, LLC, Owings Mill, Maryland, and thereby engage in activities related to extending credit, pursuant to sections 225.28(b)(1) and (b)(2) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 22, 2016.

# Michael J. Lewandowski,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 2016–04059 Filed 2–24–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 151-0202]

Lupin Ltd., Gavis Pharmaceuticals LLC, and Novel Laboratories, Inc.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent orders—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 22, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment at https://ftcpublic.comment works.com/ftc/lupingavisnovelconsent online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below. Write "In the Matter of Lupin Ltd., Gavis Pharmaceuticals LLC, and Novel Laboratories, Inc.—Consent Agreement; File No. 151-0202" on your comment and file your comment online at https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ ftc/lupingavisnovelconsent by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, write "In the Matter of Lupin Ltd., Gavis Pharmaceuticals LLC, and

Novel Laboratories, Inc.—Consent Agreement; File No. 151–0202" on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kari Wallace, (202–326–3085), Bureau of Competition, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 2.34, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for February 19, 2016), on the World Wide Web, at http://www.ftc. gov/os/actions.shtm.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before March 22, 2016. Write "In the Matter of Lupin Ltd., Gavis Pharmaceuticals LLC, and Novel Laboratories, Inc.—Consent Agreement; File No. 151–0202" on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the public Commission Web site, at http://www.ftc.gov/os/public comments.shtm. As a matter of discretion, the Commission tries to remove individuals' home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission Web

Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive personal information, like anyone's Social Security number, date of birth, driver's license number or other state identification number or foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial account number, or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible