When these requirements are satisfied, based upon information supplied by a requester or otherwise made known to DOE, the waiver or reduction of a FOIA fee will be granted. In determining when fees should be waived or reduced the appropriate FOIA Officer should address the following two criteria:

(i) That disclosure of the information “is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government.” Factors to be considered in applying this criteria include but are not limited to:
* * * * *

(ii) If disclosure of the information “is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.” Factors to be considered in applying this criteria include but are not limited to:
* * * * *

(b) Fees to be charged—categories of requesters. There are four categories of FOIA requesters: Commercial use requesters; educational and non-commercial scientific institutions; representatives of the news media; and all other requesters. The FOIA Officers will make determinations regarding categories of requesters as defined at §1004.2. The Headquarters FOIA Officers will assist field FOIA Officers in categorizing requesters, and will resolve conflicting categorizations. FOIA prescribes specific levels of fees for each of these categories:

(1) Commercial use requesters. When DOE receives a request for documents which appears to be for commercial use, charges will be assessed to recover the full direct costs of searching for, reviewing for release, and duplicating the records sought. Commercial use requesters are not entitled to two hours of free search time nor 100 free pages of reproduction of documents. DOE will recover the cost of searching for and reviewing records even if there is ultimately no disclosure of records.
* * * * *

(5) Charging interest—notice and rate. Interest will be charged to those requesters who fail to pay fees. DOE will begin to assess interest charges on the amount billed on the 31st calendar day following the day on which the billing was sent to the requester. Interest will be at the rate prescribed in section 3717 of Title 31 U.S.C. and will accrue from the date of the billing.

(6) Charges for unsuccessful search. DOE may assess charges for time spent searching even if the search fails to identify responsive records or if records located are determined to be exempt from disclosure. If DOE estimates that search charges are likely to exceed $25, it will notify the requester of the estimated amount of fees, unless the requester has indicated in advance his willingness to pay fees as high as those anticipated. Such a notice will offer the requester the opportunity to confer with agency personnel in order to reformulate the request to reduce the cost of the request.
* * * * *

(iii) A requester has previously failed to pay a fee in a timely fashion (i.e., within 30 calendar days of the date of the billing). DOE will require the requester to pay the full amount delinquent plus any applicable interest as provided in paragraph (b)(5) of this section, or demonstrate that he or she has, in fact, paid the delinquent fee; and to make an advance payment of the full amount of the estimated current fee before we begin to process a new request or a pending request from that requester.

(B) When DOE acts under paragraphs (b)(8)(i) or (ii) of this section, the administrative time limits prescribed in section (a)(6) of FOIA (i.e., 20 days from receipt of initial requests and 20 days from receipt of appeals from initial denials, plus permissible extensions of these time limits) will begin only after DOE has received fee payments described.
* * * * *

11. Section 1004.10 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(5) and (c) to read as follows:
§1004.10 Exemptions.
* * * * *

(b) * * *

(5) Inter-agency or intra-agency memoranda or letters that would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency, provided that the deliberative process privilege shall not apply to records created 25 years or more before the date on which the records were requested;
* * * * *

(c) DOE shall withhold information under this section only if—

(1) The agency reasonably foresees that disclosure would harm an interest protected by an exemption described in paragraph (b) of this section; or

(2) Disclosure is prohibited by law. DOE shall consider whether partial disclosure of information is possible whenever the agency determines that a full disclosure of a requested record is not possible and take reasonable steps necessary to segregate and release nonexempt information. Nothing in this paragraph requires disclosure of information that is otherwise prohibited from disclosure by law, or otherwise exempted from disclosure by paragraph (b)(3) of this section.

12. Section 1004.11 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (g) to read as follows:
§1004.11 Handling information of a private business, foreign government, or an international organization.

(a) Whenever a document submitted to DOE contains information which may be exempt from public disclosure, it will be handled in accordance with the procedures in this section. While DOE is responsible for making the final determination with regard to the disclosure or nondisclosure of information contained in requested documents, DOE will consider the submitter’s views (as that term is defined in this section) in making its determination. Nothing in this section will preclude the submission of a submitter’s views at the time of the submission of the document to which the views relate, or at any other time.
* * * * *

(g) When DOE, in the course of responding to a Freedom of Information Act request, determines that information exempt from the mandatory public disclosure requirements of the Freedom of Information Act is to be released in accordance with §1004.1, DOE will notify the submitter of the intended discretionary release no less than seven (7) calendar days prior to the intended public disclosure of the information in question.
* * * * *
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SUMMARY: The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) is adopting a final rule to implement public disclosure requirements for the liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) rule. The final rule applies to all depository institution holding companies and...
covered nonbank financial companies that are required to calculate an LCR under the Board’s LCR rule (covered companies). Under the final rule, a covered company will be required to disclose publicly, on a quarterly basis, quantitative information about its LCR calculation and a discussion of the factors that have a significant effect on its LCR. The final rule also provides additional time for companies that become subject to the Board’s modified LCR requirement in the future to come into compliance with the requirement.
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I. Background and Summary of the Proposed Rule

On December 1, 2015, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) invited comment on a proposed rule (proposed rule) to implement public disclosure requirements for certain companies subject to the Board’s liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) rule: (1) All bank holding companies and certain savings and loan holding companies that, in each case, have $50 billion or more in total consolidated assets or $10 billion or more in total consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposure; and (2) nonbank financial companies designated by the Financial Stability Oversight Council for Board supervision to which the Board has applied the LCR rule by separate rule or order (covered companies).1 The LCR rule 2 requires a company subject to the rule to maintain an amount of high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) (the numerator of the ratio) 3 that is no less than its total net cash outflow amount over a forward-looking 30 calendar-day period of significant stress (the denominator of the ratio).4 A modified LCR requirement (modified LCR requirement) applies to certain smaller, less complex banking organizations (modified LCR holding companies). Community banking organizations are not subject to the Board’s LCR rule.5

The purpose of the proposed rule was to promote market discipline by providing the public with comparable liquidity information about covered companies.6 The Board has long supported meaningful public disclosure by banking organizations with the objective of improving market discipline and encouraging sound risk-management practices.7 Market discipline can mitigate the risk to financial stability by causing a firm to internalize the cost of its liquidity profile and encouraging safe and sound banking practices. For instance, a firm that consistently and predictably discloses a resilient liquidity profile to its investors and counterparties may have access to a lower cost of funding. Companies with less-resilient liquidity profiles would be incentivized to improve their liquidity positions in order to reduce their cost of funding and companies with more resilient liquidity profiles would be encouraged to maintain their sound risk management practices.

To the extent that disclosure can increase investor confidence and bolster transparency between counterparties, it increases liquidity in the market as a whole, thereby limiting the risk that a liquidity event will lead to asset fire sales and contagion effects in the financial sector. A funds provider that is required to calculate an LCR will apply this rule to the same assets (whether consolidated assets or on-balance sheet foreign exposure) that the firm uses to meet its LCR and will apply these same assets to calculate the modified LCR. A company’s HQLA amount for purposes of the LCR rule is calculated according to 12 CFR 249.21. A company’s total net cash outflow amount for purposes of the LCR rule is calculated according to 12 CFR 249.30 or 249.63, as applicable. The Board’s LCR rule does not apply to state member banks with less than $10 billion in total consolidated assets and less than $10 billion in total consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposure.

Under the proposed rule, a covered company would have been required to provide timely public disclosures, including a completed LCR disclosure template, each calendar quarter in a direct and prominent manner on its public internet site or in a public financial or other public regulatory report. A covered company would have been required to keep this information available publicly for at least five years from the time of initial disclosure, on a rolling basis. For example, the proposed rule would have required information that was initially disclosed on February 1, 2018, to remain available until at least February 1, 2023.

The Board received five comments from trade organizations, a public interest group, and other interested parties on the proposed rule. Although some commenters generally supported requiring covered companies to disclose

8 The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision published liquidity coverage ratio disclosure standards in January 2014 and revised the standards in March 2014 (BCBS disclosure standards). Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, “Liquidity coverage ratio disclosure standards” (March 2014), available at http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs272.htm. The BCBS disclosure standards include a common disclosure template (BCBS common template) intended to improve the transparency of regulatory liquidity requirements, enhance market discipline, and reduce uncertainty in the markets. The final rule implements public disclosure requirements consistent with the BCBS disclosure standards and the BCBS common template with some modifications to require more granularity and to reflect ways in which the LCR rule differs from the BCBS LCR standard published in January 2013. See Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, “Basel III: The Liquidity Coverage Ratio and liquidity risk monitoring tools” (January 2013), available at http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs239.htm. The differences between the final rule and the BCBS disclosure standards relate primarily to the enhancements implemented in the LCR rule. The disclosure requirements contained in the final rule ensure comparability of components of the LCR calculations on an international basis.
publicly information about their LCR calculations, some commenters objected to the frequency of the required disclosures under the proposed rule and the granularity of the information required to be disclosed on the proposed LCR disclosure template. Two commenters supported the proposal to disclose confidential or proprietary information to the public with disclosure requirements applicable under other public disclosure regimes and a clarification that covered companies would not be required to disclose confidential or proprietary information. Finally, some commenters sought additional time before covered companies would have to comply with the proposed disclosure requirements. The final rule includes the same general requirements as the proposed rule with some modifications in response to comments as described below.

II. LCR Public Disclosure Requirement

A. Frequency of Disclosure

The proposed rule would have required a covered company to provide timely public disclosures after each calendar quarter. One commenter argued that the frequency of the required disclosure should be increased to daily because market participants need more timely information so they can adequately adjust their risk management and business activities based on the liquidity risk of covered companies. The commenter also argued that quarterly LCR disclosures could increase market instability, relative to more frequent disclosures, because large changes in a covered company’s LCR between quarters would be more disruptive to the market compared to more frequent disclosures that revealed smaller incremental changes to a firm’s LCR. Another commenter supported a monthly or weekly disclosure requirement, which could be made more frequent in the event of a market or idiosyncratic stress.

The final rule maintains the requirement that disclosures be made quarterly. Liquidity, by its nature, is subject to rapid changes. As a result, it is expected that the LCR of a covered company will exhibit some volatility in the short term, which may not be indicative of liquidity problems at the firm. Indeed, there are many potential causes for short-term fluctuations in a firm’s liquidity, such as seasonal deposit flows and periodic tax payments. Public disclosure of these types of short-term swings in a covered company’s LCR could potentially negatively affect the firm and may not be indicative of a company’s medium-term liquidity position, which in most cases is a better indication of the overall strengths and weaknesses of a company’s liquid position. Disclosure on a quarterly basis should help market participants assess the liquidity risk profiles of covered companies consistent with other quarterly disclosures of financial information. For supervisory purposes, the Board will continue to monitor on a more frequent basis any changes to a covered company’s liquidity profile through the information submitted on the FR 2052a Complex Institution Liquidity Monitoring Report (FR 2052a report).

As noted, under the proposed rule, a covered company would have been required to provide timely public disclosures, including a completed LCR disclosure template, each calendar quarter in a direct and prominent manner on its public internet site or in a public financial or other public regulatory report. One commenter asserted that the “direct and prominent” disclosure standard is unnecessary because the requirement for a covered company to make the required disclosures in its financial statements or on its Web site will cause that information to be accessible to the public. The final rule retains the direct and prominent standard to ensure that the required disclosures are easily accessible to interested market participants. Such disclosures must remain available to the public for at least five years from the time of initial disclosure.

As discussed in the Supplementary Information section of the proposed rule, the timing of disclosures under the federal banking laws may not always coincide with the timing of disclosures required under other federal law, including disclosures required under the federal securities laws and their implementing regulations by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). For calendar quarters that do not correspond to a covered company’s fiscal year-end, the Board would consider disclosures that are made within 45 days of the end of the calendar quarter (or within 60 days for the limited purpose of the covered company’s first calendar quarter in which it is subject to the final rule’s disclosure requirements) as timely. In general, where a covered company’s fiscal year-end coincides with the end of a calendar quarter, the Board considers disclosures to be timely if they are made no later than the applicable SEC disclosure deadline for the corresponding Form 10–K annual report. In cases where a covered company’s fiscal year-end does not coincide with the end of a calendar quarter, the Board would consider the timeliness of disclosures on a case-by-case basis.

This approach to timely disclosures is consistent with the approach to public disclosures that the Board has taken in the context of other regulatory reporting and disclosure requirements. For example, the Board has used the same indicia of timeliness with respect to the public disclosures required under its risk-based capital rules.

B. Quantitative Disclosure Requirements

The proposed rule would have required a covered company to disclose publicly its LCR and certain components of its LCR calculation in a standardized tabular format. The standardized format was designed to help market participants compare the LCRs of covered companies across the U.S. banking industry and international jurisdictions. In this regard, the proposed format was similar to a common disclosure template developed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS). However, the proposed rule was tailored to reflect differences between the LCR rule and the BCBS LCR standard.

Under the proposed rule, a covered company, other than a modified LCR holding company, would have been required to calculate all disclosed amounts as simple averages of the components used to calculate its daily LCR over the past quarter. A modified LCR holding company would have been
required to calculate all disclosed amounts as simple averages of the components used to calculate its monthly LCR over the past quarter. The proposed rule would have required a covered company to disclose both average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts, as set forth in section 249.91(b)(2) and (3) of the proposed rule, for the covered company’s HQLA, cash outflow amounts, and cash inflow amounts.

One commenter asserted that the detailed disclosures required by the proposed rule would create new vulnerabilities that could exacerbate market stresses. The commenter argued that the public disclosure of the granular information required by the proposed LCR disclosure template could precipitate or accelerate a significant liquidity event rather than promote market discipline as intended. The commenter also asserted that detailed disclosure of a covered company’s liquid assets could constrain the covered company’s ability to execute its risk management and business strategies in a stressed environment. For instance, the commenter argued that a covered company may find it difficult to adjust the composition of its HQLA because of a potential negative reaction from market participants in response to its LCR public disclosures or because other market participants could use the information in public disclosures to “front run” the covered company’s planned liquidity management actions.

The commenter suggested the Board’s policy objectives would be better achieved by requiring only disclosure of a firm’s HQLA amount, aggregate outflows, and aggregate inflows, which the commenter argued would provide the market with sufficient information on a covered company’s liquidity profile without resulting in the negative effects of overly detailed disclosures. The commenter also recommended that, in order to mitigate the impact of short-term fluctuations in a covered company’s LCR, a covered company should calculate disclosed amounts as simple averages of the components used to calculate its daily or monthly LCR over a rolling six-month rolling period, rather than over a quarter.

The final rule retains the requirement that a covered company make its disclosures using quarterly averages, rather than using six-month rolling average calculations. Extending the averaging period from three to six months would cause the public disclosures to be inconsistent with a covered company’s other public regulatory disclosures, such as its quarterly reporting on the FR Y-9C Consolidated Financial Statements for Holding Companies and its quarterly disclosures under federal securities laws.

The final rule requires a covered company to make public disclosures with the same the level of granularity that would have been required under the proposal. In determining the appropriate amount of detail of the disclosure requirements, the Board weighed the benefits that detailed disclosures provide, such as promoting market discipline of firms and overall liquidity in the funding market, against the costs of such requirements, including the risk that the disclosures could potentially contribute to a liquidity event during stress.

The disclosure requirements are designed to provide market participants with information on covered companies’ liquidity positions in order to enable them to distinguish among covered companies’ liquidity risk profiles. The disclosure of only a firm’s HQLA amount, aggregate and average weighted amounts and average unweighted amounts may be insufficient to enable market participants to assess fully the nature of a covered company’s liquidity risk profile. On the other hand, more granular disclosure would provide market participants a more accurate view of the covered company’s liquidity risk profile and enhance covered companies’ incentives to maintain a robust liquidity risk profile. For example, more detailed disclosure about a covered company that has a high LCR, but also exhibits high dependence on a particular funding class or counterparty type, would allow market participants to better assess potential liquidity vulnerabilities. For a covered company with strong liquidity risk management, more granular disclosures would also reduce the likelihood that market participants would react overly negatively towards the covered company in the event of the public release of negative information about the covered company or the banking sector more generally. Without such granular disclosure, there is a greater likelihood that uncertainty over a covered company’s liquidity position would cause counterparties to cease funding the covered company following the release of negative information. The granular disclosure requirements under the proposed and final rules would encourage covered companies to engage in safe and sound banking practices and strengthen financial stability, without causing firms to bear undue costs.

Although the final rule requires disclosure of relatively detailed liquidity data to enhance market participants’ understanding of firm’s liquidity risk management, several considerations should mitigate the potential for the disclosures to negatively impact a covered company or precipitate or accelerate a significant liquidity event during times of idiosyncratic or market stress. As noted, the disclosures are based on quarterly averages. Importantly, the due dates for the disclosures are several weeks after the end of the quarter. This means that the liquidity disclosures will include a lag that provides market participants with a broad understanding of a firm’s medium-term liquidity position without causing the release of current liquidity data that could potentially negatively affect the firm. The final rule also does not require firms to disclose specific asset- or transaction-level details, which will limit the risk that the public disclosures will constrain a covered company’s ability to execute its risk management and business strategies.

The proposed rule would have required a covered company to disclose its average HQLA amount, average total net cash outflow amount, and average LCR. A covered company’s HQLA amount and total net cash outflow amount are the numerator and the denominator of the LCR, respectively, and, thus, are important to help market participants and other parties understand the liquidity risk profile of a covered company and compare risk profiles across companies.

At a more granular level, to describe the quality and composition of a covered company’s HQLA amount, the proposed rule would have required a covered company to disclose its average amount of eligible HQLA,\textsuperscript{12} as well as the average amounts of eligible level 1, level 2A, and level 2B liquid assets to identify the quality and composition of a company’s HQLA amount.\textsuperscript{13} The proposed rule would have required the disclosure of both average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts of eligible HQLA and eligible level 1, level 2A, and level 2B liquid assets. The proposed rule also would have required a covered company to disclose both the average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts of its cash outflows and inflows. This information helps identify the short-term liquidity risks facing a firm and, in particular, potential sources of liquidity strains during a period of market stress.

In the \textit{Supplementary Information} section of the proposed rule, the Board clarified three points regarding a

\textsuperscript{12} Eligible HQLA are high-quality liquid assets that meet the requirements set forth in 12 CFR 249.22.

\textsuperscript{13} See 12 CFR 249.20–249.22.
C. Qualitative Disclosure Requirements

Under the proposed rule, a covered company would have been required to provide a “sufficient” qualitative discussion of its LCR. This discussion was intended to complement the quantitative disclosure requirements. In this regard, the proposed rule included a list of potentially relevant items for the covered company to address in its qualitative disclosures: (1) The main drivers of the LCR; (2) changes in the LCR over time; (3) the composition of eligible HQLA; (4) concentration of funding sources; (5) derivative exposures and potential collateral calls; (6) currency mismatch in the LCR; (7) the covered company’s centralized liquidity management function and its interaction with other functional areas of the covered company; and (8) other inflows and outflows in the LCR that are not specifically identified by the required quantitative disclosures, but that the covered company considers to be relevant to facilitate an understanding of its liquidity risk profile. The proposed rule also would have required that a covered company provide a brief discussion of any significant changes that have occurred since the end of the quarter (i.e., during the period following the quarter for which a covered company has prepared its LCR disclosures) such that current or previous quantitative disclosures were no longer reflective of a covered company’s current liquidity risk profile. Two commenters argued that the qualitative disclosure requirement should be better aligned with public disclosures required by other regulations. The commenters requested that a covered company only be required to provide a qualitative discussion of items that are “material” to the firm’s LCR, rather than items that are “significant” or “relevant” to a firm’s LCR, as would have been required under the proposed rule. The commenters argued that adopting a materiality standard that is consistent with disclosure requirements applicable under other public disclosure regimes, notably federal securities laws, would be less confusing and ensure that covered companies approach the required disclosures in a consistent manner. In addition, one commenter argued that qualitative public disclosures should include an exemption, similar to that in the Board’s risk-based capital rules, for disclosure of certain confidential or proprietary financial information. In response to the commenters’ concerns, the final rule clarifies that a covered company is not required to include in its qualitative disclosures any information that is proprietary or confidential. Rather, the covered company would only be required to disclose general information about those subjects and provide a reason why the specific information has not been disclosed.

The final rule continues to use the term “significant” to describe items affecting a covered company’s LCR about which a covered company should provide a qualitative discussion. However, in response to concerns raised by commenters, the Board agrees with commenters that a covered company may assess the relevant qualitative disclosures based on their materiality. Information is regarded as material for purposes of the disclosure requirements in the final rule if the omission or misstatement of the information could change or influence the assessment or decision of a user relying on that information for the purpose of making investment decisions. This approach is consistent with the standards in the Board’s risk-based capital rules, which also use a concept of materiality to inform the qualitative disclosure requirements required under those rules.

The proposed rule’s requirement that a covered company provide a qualitative discussion of the main drivers of its LCR and any changes in its LCR over time, to the extent such changes were significant, was intended to include a discussion of the causes of any such changes. However, in order to avoid any confusion, the final rule has been revised to state explicitly that, in addition to discussing any changes in its LCR over time, a covered company should also include a discussion of the causes of such changes. Changes in risk management strategies or macroeconomic conditions are examples of the type of causes that could potentially cause a change to a covered company’s LCR and that, if significant, would have to be discussed in the firm’s qualitative disclosures. In addition, the final rule eliminates the requirement that a covered company provide a brief discussion of any significant changes that have occurred since the end of the quarter that would cause its quarter-end quantitative disclosures to no longer reflect its liquidity profile. Although it was not the intended result, this requirement could have been interpreted to require a covered company to disclose information about specific and recent developments in its liquidity risk profile, which could include short-term

14 A covered company, other than a modified LCR holding company, is required to calculate a maturity mismatch add-on under 12 CFR 249.30(b) to address liquidity risks posed by maturity mismatches between a covered company’s outflows and inflows during the LCR rule’s prospective 30 calendar-day period.

15 See 78 CFR 62018, 62129 (October 11, 2013).
volatility of a firm’s LCR. The disclosure of this information could have potentially adverse effects on a covered company, or precipitate or accelerate a significant liquidity event during times of idiosyncratic or market stress. Moreover, such a requirement would have been at odds with the final rule’s requirement that all disclosed amounts be calculated as quarterly averages and that due dates for the disclosures be several weeks after the end of the quarter. For these reasons, the final rule does not include this requirement.

As noted above, the proposed rule would have required a covered company to provide a qualitative discussion of its LCR and would have included an illustrative list of potentially relevant items that a firm could discuss, to the extent relevant to its LCR. Among the illustrative list of potentially relevant items was “other inflows and outflows in the LCR that are not specifically identified by the required quantitative disclosures, but that the covered company considers to be relevant to facilitate an understanding of its liquidity risk profile.” The Board has determined that this item is redundant of the proposed rule’s general requirement that a firm must provide a qualitative discussion of its LCR. For this reason, the final rule eliminates this example.

III. Transition and Timing

The proposed compliance dates for the public disclosure requirements would have differed based on the size, complexity, and potential systemic impact of the covered companies that currently are subject to the LCR rule. The proposed rule would have required covered companies that have $700 billion or more in total consolidated assets or $10 trillion or more in assets under custody to comply with the proposed public disclosure requirements beginning on July 1, 2016. Other covered companies, not including modified LCR holding companies, would have been required to comply with the proposed public disclosure requirements beginning on July 1, 2017. These proposed compliance dates would have required covered companies that are currently subject to the LCR rule to comply with the proposed public disclosure requirements one year after the date that they were required to calculate their LCR on a daily basis.16

The proposed rule would have required modified LCR holding companies to comply with the public disclosure requirements beginning on January 1, 2018.

One commenter argued that covered companies need additional time to comply with the public disclosure requirements in order to align their existing liquidity data reporting processes under the FR 2052a report with the LCR public disclosure requirements. The commenter also asserted that a longer transition period was necessary so that covered companies would have sufficient time to clarify certain aspects of their LCR calculations with the agencies to ensure that the disclosed LCR data is calculated consistently across covered companies.

In response to the comments, the final rule extends the implementation timeline nine months such that a covered company currently subject to the LCR rule would be required to make LCR public disclosures approximately five calendar quarters after the covered company’s liquidity information has been required to be submitted on the FR 2052a report.17 The effect of this extension will be to require covered companies that have $700 billion or more in total consolidated assets or $10 trillion or more in assets under custody to comply with the public disclosure requirements beginning on April 1, 2017. Other covered companies, other than modified LCR holding companies, will be required to comply with the public disclosure requirements beginning on April 1, 2018. Modified LCR holding companies that are currently subject to the modified LCR rule will be required to comply with the public disclosure requirements beginning on October 1, 2018.

A covered company that becomes subject to the LCR rule in the future will be required to make its first public disclosures for the calendar quarter that starts on its LCR rule compliance date (i.e., three months after the company becomes subject to the LCR rule). During the time such company is required to calculate the LCR monthly holding companies) were required to calculate their LCR on a daily basis beginning on July 1, 2015, and other covered companies (other than modified LCR pursuant to 12 CFR 249.1(b)(2)(ii), the company would be required to calculate all disclosed amounts as simple averages of the components used to calculate its monthly LCR over the quarter. A modified LCR holding company that becomes subject to the modified LCR requirement in the future will be required to make its first public disclosures for the calendar quarter that begins eighteen months after the date it becomes subject to the modified LCR requirement. For example, if a modified LCR holding company becomes subject to the modified LCR requirement beginning in January 2018, the final rule would require that company to comply with public disclosure requirements beginning July 1, 2019.

IV. Amendment to the Modified LCR Requirement

A company that becomes subject to the modified LCR requirement is currently required to comply with the requirement on the first day of the first quarter after which the company’s total consolidated assets equal $50 billion or more. As noted in the Supplemental Information section in the proposed rule, this compliance date may not provide sufficient time for these companies to build the systems required to calculate the LCR. In light of this operational challenge, the proposed rule would have amended the modified LCR requirement to provide these companies with a full year to come into compliance with the LCR requirement after becoming subject to the rule. The Board is clarifying that a covered company subject to the full LCR requirement that subsequently becomes subject to the modified requirement (e.g., following a decrease in the covered company’s consolidated assets or on-balance sheet foreign exposure below the thresholds specified in section 249.1(b) of the LCR rule at the most recent year-end) would be required to comply with the modified LCR requirement (including the disclosure requirement) immediately upon becoming subject to the requirement. In this case, the covered company would already have the systems in place to calculate the LCR and would not need additional time to come into compliance with the modified LCR requirement.

The Board received no comments on this aspect of the proposed rule. The final rule includes this amendment to 18 Under section 249.50 of the LCR rule, covered companies that have $700 billion or more in total consolidated assets or $10 trillion or more in assets under custody were required to calculate their LCR on a daily basis beginning on July 1, 2015, and other covered companies (other than modified LCR

16 Under section 249.50 of the LCR rule, covered companies that have $700 billion or more in total consolidated assets or $10 trillion or more in assets under custody were required to calculate their LCR on a daily basis beginning on July 1, 2015, and other covered companies (other than modified LCR

17 The compliance dates for the FR 2052a report are based on the size of the reporter. Firms with total consolidated assets of $700 billion or more or $10 trillion in assets under custody are already subject to the FR 2052a report. Other firms will be phased in to reporting on this form through January 2018. For a covered company that is a subsidiary of a foreign banking organization (“FBO”), the covered company is required to disclose publicly its LCR once the parent FBO had been required to submit information on the FR2052a report with respect to the covered company for a full year.

18 Under 12 CFR 249.1(b)(2)(ii), a covered company that becomes subject to the LCR rule after September 30, 2014 must calculate the LCR on a monthly basis from April 1 to December 31 of the year in which the covered company becomes subject to the LCR rule, and thereafter the covered company must calculate the LCR on a daily basis.
the modified LCR requirement without

V. Plain Language

Section 722 of the Gramm-Leach
Bliley Act 19 requires the Board to use
plain language in all proposed and final
rules published after January 1, 2000. The
Board sought to present the
proposed rule in a simple and
straightforward manner and did not
receive any comments on the use of
plain language.

VI. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5
U.S.C. 601 et seq. (RFA), generally
requires that an agency prepare and
make available for public comment an
initial RFA analysis in connection with
a notice of proposed rulemaking.20 The
Board solicited public comment on this
rule in a notice of proposed rulemaking
and has since considered the potential
impact of this final rule on small
entities in accordance with section 604
of the RFA. The Board received no
public comments related to the initial
RFA analysis in the proposed rule from
the Chief Council for Advocacy of the
Small Business Administration or from
the general public. Based on the Board’s
analysis, and for the reasons stated
below, the Board believes that the final
rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial
number of small entities.

Under regulations issued by the Small
Business Administration, a “small
entity” includes a depository
institution, bank holding company, or
savings and loan holding company with
total assets of $550 million or less (a
small banking organization). As of June
30, 2016, there were approximately 594
small state member banks, 3,203 small
bank holding companies, and 162 small
savings and loan holding companies.

As discussed above, the final rule
requires certain companies that are
subject to the LCR rule to disclose
publicly information about components
of their LCR. The final rule does not apply to “small entities” and applies
only to the following Board-regulated
institutions: (1) All bank holding
companies and certain savings and loan
holding companies that, in each case,
have $50 billion or more in total
consolidated assets or $10 billion or
more in total consolidated on-balance
sheet foreign exposure; and (2) nonbank
financial companies designated by the
Financial Stability Oversight Council for
Board supervision to which the Board
has applied the LCR Rule by separate
rule or order. Companies that are subject
to the final rule therefore substantially
exceed the $550 million asset threshold at
which a banking entity is considered a
“small entity” under SBA regulations.

No small bank holding company,
savings and loan holding company, or
state member bank would be subject to
the rule, so there would be no
additional projected compliance
requirements imposed on small bank
holding companies, small savings and
loan holding companies, or small state
member banks.

The Board believes that the final rule
will not have a significant impact on small
banking organizations supervised by the Board and therefore believes that
there are no significant alternatives to
the rule that would reduce the economic
impact on small banking organizations
supervised by the Board.

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

Certain provisions of the final rule
contain “collection of information”
requirements within the meaning of the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44
U.S.C. 3501–3521 (PRA). In accordance
with the requirements of the PRA, the
Board may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to
respond to, an information collection
unless it displays a currently valid
Office of Management and Budget
(OMB) control number. The Board’s
OMB control number is 7100–0367 and
will be extended, with revision. The Board reviewed the final rule under the
authority delegated to the Board by
OMB. The final rule contains
requirements subject to the PRA. The
disclosure requirements are found in
sections 249.64, 249.90, and 249.91. The
Board did not receive any public
comments on the PRA analysis.

The Board has a continuing interest in
the public’s opinions of collections of
information. At any time, commenters
may submit comments regarding the
burden estimate, or any other aspect of
this collection of information, including
suggestions for reducing the burden, to
any of the Board’s reporting
addresses, which are listed in the
addresses section. A copy of the comments may also be submitted to the OMB desk
officer (1) by mail to U.S. Office of
Management and Budget, New
Executive Office Building, Room 10235,
725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC
20503; (2) by fax to 202–395–6974; or
(3) by email to: oira_submission@omb.eop.gov.

Proposed Information Collection

Title of Information Collection:
Reporting, Recordkeeping, and
Disclosure Requirements associated
with the Liquidity Risk Measurement
Standards (Regulation WW).

Frequency of Response: Event
generated, monthly, quarterly, annually.

Affected Public: Insured state member
banks, bank holding companies, savings
and loan holding companies, and
nonbank financial companies
supervised by the Board, and any
subsidiary thereof.

Current Actions: The final rule
requires a depository institution holding
company and nonbank financial
company subject to the LCR (covered
company) to disclose publicly
information about certain components
of its LCR calculation in a standardized
tabular format and include a discussion of
factors that have a significant effect on its LCR. Public disclosure of
information about covered company
LCR calculations will help market
participants and other parties
consistently assess the liquidity risk
profile of covered companies. Under the
final rule, a covered company is
required to provide timely public
disclosures each calendar quarter. A
covered company is required to include
the completed disclosure template on its
public internet site or in a public
financial or other public regulatory
report and make its disclosures
available to the public for at least five
years from the time of the initial
disclosure.

A covered company must disclose
publicly the information required under
subpart J beginning on April 1, 2017, if
the covered company is subject to the
transition period under section
249.50(a) or April 1, 2018, if the covered
company is subject to the transition
period under section 249.50(b). For
modified LCR holding companies, the
final rule would require them to comply
with the public disclosure requirements
beginning on October 1, 2018.

Under the final rule, quantitative
disclosures will convey information
about a covered company’s high-quality
liquid assets (HQLA) and short-term
cash flows, thereby providing insight
into a covered company’s liquidity risk
profile. Consistent with the BCBS
common template, the final rule
requires a covered company to disclose
both average unweighted amounts and
average weighted amounts for the
covered company’s HQLA, cash outflow
amounts, and cash inflow amounts. A
covered company is also required to
calculate all disclosed amounts as
simple averages of the components used
to calculate its daily LCR over a
calendar quarter, except that modified
LCR holding companies are required to
calculate all disclosed amounts as
simple averages of the components used

---

19 Public Law 106–102, 113 Stat. 1338, 1471, 12
to calculate their monthly LCR. A covered company is required to calculate all disclosed amounts on a consolidated basis and express the results in millions of U.S. dollars or as a percentage, as applicable.

In addition, the final rule requires a covered company to provide a discussion of certain features of its LCR. A covered company’s qualitative discussion may include, but does not have to be limited to, the following items: (1) The main drivers of the LCR; (2) changes in the LCR over time and causes of such changes; (3) the composition of eligible HQLA; (4) concentration of funding sources; (5) derivative exposures and potential collateral calls; (6) currency mismatch in the LCR; and (7) the covered company’s centralized liquidity management function and its interaction with other functional areas of the covered company.

Estimated Burden per Response: Reporting—0.25 hours; recordkeeping—10 hours and 100 hours; disclosure—24 hours.

Frequency: Reporting—monthly, quarterly, and annually; recordkeeping—annually; disclosure—quarterly.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 39 (only 35 respondents are affected by the new disclosure requirements).

Current Total Estimated Annual Burden: Reporting—13 hours; recordkeeping—1,080 hours.

Proposed Total Estimated Annual Burden: Reporting—13 hours; recordkeeping—1,080 hours; disclosure—3,360 hours.

VIII. Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994

Section 302 of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 (RCDRIA) requires a Federal banking agency, in determining the effective date and administrative compliance requirements for new regulations that impose additional reporting, disclosure, or other requirements on insured depository institutions, to consider any administrative burdens that such regulations would place on depository institutions, and the benefits of such regulations, consistent with the principles of safety and soundness and the public interest. In addition, new regulations that impose additional reporting disclosures or other new requirements on insured depository institutions generally must take effect on the first day of a calendar quarter which begins on or after the date on which the regulations are published in final form.\(^{21}\) Section 302 of the RCDRIA does not apply to this final rule because the final rule does not prescribe additional reporting, disclosures, or other new requirements on insured depository institutions. As discussed above in the Supplementary Information section, the final rule only applies to (1) all bank holding companies and certain savings and loan holding companies that, in each case, have $50 billion or more in total consolidated assets or $10 billion or more in total consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposure; and (2) nonbank financial companies designated by the Financial Stability Oversight Council for Board supervision to which the Board has applied the LCR rule by separate rule or order.

Nevertheless, the final rule becomes effective on April 1, 2017, the first day of a calendar quarter.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 249

Administrative practice and procedure, Banks, banking, Federal Reserve System, Holding companies, Liquidity, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Board amends part 249 of chapter II of title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 249—LIQUIDITY RISK MEASUREMENT STANDARDS (REGULATION WW)

§ 249.60 Applicability.

1. The authority citation for part 249 continues to read as follows:


2. Amend § 249.60 by revising paragraph (c)(2) to read as follows:

§ 249.60 Applicability.

* * * * * * *

(c) * * *

(2) A Board-regulated institution that first meets the threshold for applicability of this subpart under paragraph (a) of this section after September 30, 2014, must comply with the requirements of this subpart one year after the date it meets the threshold set forth in paragraph (a); except that a Board-regulated institution that met the applicability criteria in § 249.1(b) immediately prior to meeting this threshold must comply with the requirements of this subpart beginning on the first day of the first quarter after which it meets the threshold set forth in paragraph (a) of this section.

3. Add § 249.64 to subpart G to read as follows:

§ 249.64 Disclosures.

(a) Effective October 1, 2018, a covered depository institution holding company subject to this subpart must disclose publicly the information required under subpart J of this part each calendar quarter, except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) Effective 18 months after a covered depository institution holding company first becomes subject to this subpart pursuant to § 249.60(c)(2), the covered depository institution holding company must provide the disclosures required under subpart J of this part each calendar quarter.

Subparts H and I [Reserved]

4. Add reserved subparts H and I.

5. Add subpart J, consisting of §§ 249.90 and 249.91, to read as follows:

Subpart J—Disclosures

Sec.

249.90 Timing, method and retention of disclosures.

249.91 Disclosure requirements.

§ 249.90 Timing, method and retention of disclosures.

(a) Applicability. A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company that is subject to the minimum liquidity standards and other requirements of this part under § 249.1 must disclose publicly all the information required under this subpart.

(b) Timing of disclosure. (1) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must provide timely public disclosures each calendar quarter of all the information required under this subpart.

(2) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must provide the disclosures required by this subpart for the calendar quarter beginning on:

(i) April 1, 2017, and thereafter if the covered depository institution holding company is subject to the transition period under § 249.50(a); or

(ii) April 1, 2018, and thereafter if the covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank holding company is subject to the transition period under § 249.50(b).

(3) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank
§ 249.91 Disclosure requirements.

(a) General. A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must disclose publicly, in a direct and prominent manner, the information required under this subpart on its public internet site or in its public financial or other public regulatory reports.

(d) Availability. The disclosures provided under this subpart must remain publicly available for at least five years after the initial disclosure date.

(b) Calculation of disclosed average amounts—(1) General. (i) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must calculate its disclosed average amounts:

(A) On a consolidated basis and presented in millions of U.S. dollars or as a percentage, as applicable; and

(B) With the exception of amounts disclosed pursuant to paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(5), (c)(9), (c)(14), (c)(19), (c)(23), and (c)(28) of this section, as simple averages of daily amounts over the calendar quarter;

(ii) A covered depository institution holding company that is required to calculate its liquidity coverage ratio on a monthly basis pursuant to § 249.61 must calculate its disclosed average amounts as provided in paragraph (b)(1)(ii), except that those amounts must be calculated as simple averages of monthly amounts over a calendar quarter;

(iii) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must

Table 1 to § 249.91(a)—Disclosure Template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XX/XX/XXXX to YY/YY/YYYY (in millions of U.S. dollars)</th>
<th>Average unweighted amount</th>
<th>Average weighted amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-Quality Liquid Assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Total eligible high-quality liquid assets (HQLA), of which:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Eligible level 1 liquid assets.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Eligible level 2A liquid assets.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Eligible level 2B liquid assets.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Outflow Amounts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Deposit outflow from retail customers and counterparties, of which:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Stable retail deposit outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Other retail funding outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Brokered deposit outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Unsecured wholesale funding outflow, of which:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Operational deposit outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Non-operational funding outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Unsecured debt outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Secured wholesale funding and asset exchange outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Additional outflow requirements, of which:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Outflow related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Outflow related to credit and liquidity facilities including unconsolidated structured transactions and mortgage commitments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Other contractual funding obligation outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Other contingent funding obligations outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Total Cash Outflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Inflow Amounts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Secured lending and asset exchange cash inflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Retail cash inflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Unsecured wholesale cash inflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Other cash inflows, of which:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Securities cash inflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Other cash inflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Total Cash Inflow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Amount 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. HQLA Amount.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Total Net Cash Outflow Amount Excluding the Maturity Mismatch Add-on.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Maturity Mismatch Add-on.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Total Net Cash Outflow Amount.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Liquidity Coverage Ratio (%).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The amounts reported in this column may not equal the calculation of those amounts using component amounts reported in rows 1–28 due to technical factors such as the application of the level 2 liquid asset caps, the total inflow cap, and for depository institution holding companies subject to subpart G, the application of the modification to total net cash outflows.
disclose the beginning date and end date for each calendar quarter.

(2) Calculation of average unweighted amounts. (i) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must calculate the average unweighted amount of HQLA as the average amount of eligible HQLA that meet the requirements specified in §§249.20 and 249.22 and is calculated prior to applying the haircuts required under §249.21(b) to the amounts of eligible HQLA.

(ii) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must calculate the average unweighted amount of cash outflows and cash inflows before applying the outflow and inflow rates specified in §§249.32 and 249.33, respectively.

(3) Calculation of average weighted amounts. (i) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must calculate the average weighted amount of HQLA after applying the haircuts required under §249.21(b) to the amounts of eligible HQLA.

(ii) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must calculate the average weighted amount of cash outflows and cash inflows after applying the outflow and inflow rates specified in §§249.32 and 249.33, respectively.

(c) Quantitative disclosures. A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must disclose all the information required under Table 1 to §249.91(a)—Disclosure Template, including:

(1) The sum of the average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts calculated under paragraphs (c)(2) through (4) of this section (row 1);

(2) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of level 1 liquid assets that are eligible HQLA under §249.21(b)(1) (row 2);

(3) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of level 2A liquid assets that are eligible HQLA under §249.21(b)(2) (row 3);

(4) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of level 2B liquid assets that are eligible HQLA under §249.21(b)(3) (row 4);

(5) The sum of the average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts of cash outflows calculated under paragraphs (c)(6) through (8) of this section (row 5);

(6) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(a)(1) (row 6);

(7) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(a)(2) through (5) (row 7);

(8) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(g) (row 8);

(9) The sum of the average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts of cash outflows calculated under paragraphs (c)(10) through (12) of this section (row 9);

(10) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(h)(3) and (4) (row 10);

(11) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(h)(1), (2), and (5), excluding (h)(2)(ii) (row 11);

(12) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(h)(2) (row 12);

(13) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(i) and (k) (row 13);

(14) The sum of the average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts of cash outflows calculated under paragraphs (c)(15) and (16) of this section (row 14);

(15) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(c) and (f) (row 15);

(16) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(b), (d), and (e) (row 16);

(17) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(l) (row 17);

(18) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash outflows under §249.32(i) (row 18);

(19) The sum of the average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts of cash outflows calculated under paragraphs (c)(9), (13), (14), (17), and (18) of this section (row 19);

(20) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash inflows under §249.33(f) (row 20);

(21) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash inflows under §249.33(c) (row 21);

(22) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash inflows under §249.33(d) (row 22);

(23) The sum of average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts of cash inflows calculated under paragraphs (c)(24) through (27) of this section (row 23);

(24) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash inflows under §249.33(b) (row 24);

(25) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash inflows under §249.33(e) (row 25);

(26) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash inflows under §249.33(g) (row 26);

(27) The average unweighted amount and average weighted amount of cash inflows under §249.33(h) (row 27);

(28) The sum of average unweighted amounts and average weighted amounts of cash inflows reported under paragraphs (c)(20) through (23) of this section (row 28);

(29) The average amount of the HQLA amounts as calculated under §249.21(a) (row 29);

(30) The average amount of the total net cash outflow amounts excluding the maturity mismatch add-on as calculated under §249.30(a)(1) and (2) (row 30);

(31) The average amount of the maturity mismatch add-ons as calculated under §249.30(b) (row 31);

(32) The average amount of the total net cash outflow amounts as calculated under §249.30 or §249.63, as applicable (row 32);

(33) The average of the liquidity coverage ratios as calculated under §249.10(b) (row 33).

(d) Qualitative disclosures. (1) A covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart must provide a qualitative discussion of the factors that have a significant effect on its liquidity coverage ratio, which may include the following:

(i) The main drivers of the liquidity coverage ratio;

(ii) Changes in the liquidity coverage ratio over time and causes of such changes;

(iii) The composition of eligible HQLA;

(iv) Concentration of funding sources;

(v) Derivative exposures and potential collateral calls;

(vi) Currency mismatch in the liquidity coverage ratio; or

(vii) The centralized liquidity management function of the covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company and its interaction with other functional areas of the covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company.

(2) If a covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company subject to this subpart believes that the qualitative discussion required in paragraph (d)(1) of this section would prejudice seriously its position by resulting in public disclosure of specific
commercial or financial information that is either proprietary or confidential in nature, the covered depository institution holding company or covered nonbank company is not required to include those specific items in its qualitative discussion, but must provide more general information about the items that had a significant effect on its liquidity coverage ratio, together with the fact that, and the reason why, more specific information was not discussed.


Robert deV. Frierson, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20551.

All public comments will be made available on the Board’s Web site at http://www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm as submitted, unless modified for technical reasons. Accordingly, your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Public comments may also be viewed electronically or in paper form in Room 3515, 1801 K Street (between 18th and 19th Streets NW.), Washington, DC 20006, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on weekdays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Board: Katherine Wheatley, Associate General Counsel, (202) 452–3779; or Misty Mirpuri, Senior Attorney, (202) 452–2597; Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th and C Streets NW., Washington, DC 20551.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

This interim rule reflects changes to the Board’s Rules Regarding Availability of Information (“Board’s Rules”) required by the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016 (the “Improvement Act”).1 The Improvement Act addresses a range of procedural issues, including requirements that agencies establish a minimum of 90 days for requesters to file an administrative appeal and that they provide dispute resolution services at various times throughout the FOIA process. Accordingly, the Board is adopting this interim final rule to comply with the statutory requirements of the Improvement Act.

II. Description of the Final Rule

This interim final rule makes conforming amendments throughout part 261 of the Board’s Rules to adopt the statutory exemptions and exceptions required by the Improvement Act. It also explains general policies and procedures for requesters seeking access to records and for processing requests by the Board’s Freedom of Information Office.

Section 261.10—Published information. The Improvement Act requires agencies to make certain records available in an electronic format. Thus, we are amending this section to include language that the Index to Board Actions will be maintained in an electronic format. In addition, we are removing the reference to the pedestrian entrance for