protection of investors and the public interest to waive the 30-day operative delay and hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposal operative upon filing.\textsuperscript{22}

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act\textsuperscript{23} to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–NYSEArca–2017–18 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR–NYSEArca–2017–18. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–NYSEArca–2017–18, and should be submitted on or before March 27, 2017.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\textsuperscript{24}

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–04200 Filed 3–3–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Related to Unusual Market Conditions


Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),\textsuperscript{1} and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\textsuperscript{2} notice is hereby given that on February 15, 2017, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange seeks to amend Rule 6.6. The text of the proposed rule change is provided below (additions are italicized; deletions are [bracketed]).

* * * * *

\textsuperscript{22} For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78q(f).


\textsuperscript{24} 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).


Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated Rules

Rule 6.6. Unusual Market Conditions

(a) Whenever in the judgment of any two Floor Officials (one of which is an Exchange employee), because of an influx of orders or other unusual conditions or circumstances, such as, for example, extraordinary market volatility, the interest of maintaining a fair and orderly market so requires, those Floor Officials may declare the market in one or more classes of option contracts to be “fast.” It may be in the interest of fair and orderly markets to declare a fast market when one or more of the following conditions have been met: (i) The previous day’s closing price of the S&P 500 Index is more than 2% away from the previous day’s opening price; (ii) the front-month E-mini S&P 500 Future (symbol ES/1) is trading more than 20 points above or below the previous day’s closing values by 8:00 a.m. CT; or (iii) the intraday price of the S&P 500 Index moves more than 1% in any one hour interval during regular trading hours.

(b) If a market is declared fast, any two Floor Officials shall have the power to do one or more of the following with respect to the class or classes involved:. (i) [Assign one or more classes or series of options traded at the post to Order Book Officials at other posts. (ii) Authorize Order Book Official clerks to execute transactions. (iii)] Direct that one or more trading rotations be employed pursuant to Rules 6.2, 6.2A or 6.2B, as appropriate. (iv)] (vi) (v) Suspension of the firm quote requirement as permitted under Rule 8.51. (iii) [Suspend the requirement in Rule 6.24 to systematize a non-electronic order prior to its representation on the trading floor. (iv) [v] Turn off the Retail Automatic Execution System (“RAES”). (vi) Take such other actions as are deemed necessary in the interest of maintaining a fair and orderly market. (c)–(d) No change.

[e A Post Director or Order Book Official (“OBO”) at a station at a trading post may turn off RAES for a class or classes of options contracts traded at that station for a period of time not to exceed five minutes if, because of an influx of orders or other unusual conditions or circumstances in respect of such options or their underlying securities, the Post Director or OBO determines that such action is appropriate in the interest of maintaining a fair and orderly market. Whenever such action is taken, notice thereof shall immediately be given to
two Floor Officials who may continue the deactivation of RAES for more than five minutes or take such actions as they deem necessary pursuant to their authority under this Rule 6.6.] . . . Interpretation and Policies: .01 [The Exchange has implemented an automatic system that monitors news wires for announcements pertaining to stocks underlying stock options at the end of each trading day, commencing shortly before the close of trading in the primary markets for underlying stocks and continuing for so long as stock options continue to be traded, and automatically suspends RAES in a class of stock options whenever the system notes that a news announcement pertaining to the underlying stock has been made. Two Floor Officials are notified promptly by senior help desk personnel each time RAES is automatically suspended. Depending on the Floor Officials’ judgment as to the significance of the news announcement and whether its impact has been reflected in current options quotations, and depending on how much time remains before the close of options trading on CBOE, the Floor Officials will consider whether to resume operation of RAES in the affected classes of options. During the time that RAES is suspended, customer orders are routed to terminals on the trading floor for execution. The implementation of this system does not affect the authority of Floor Officials to halt trading under Rule 6.3, or to declare a fast market under Rule 6.6(a) and to take the actions described in Rule 6.6(b).]

In the event that the Exchange suspends the requirement to systematize an order prior to its representation pursuant to paragraph (b) of this Rule 6.6, Trading Permit Holders or TPH organizations shall follow the procedures as described in paragraph (b) of Rule 6.24. Upon the Floor Officials’ determination to reinstate the systematization requirement, Trading Permit Holders shall immediately resume systematizing orders prior to representing them on the trading floor. Additionally, Trading Permit Holders shall exert best efforts to input electronically into the Exchange’s systems all relevant order information received during the time period when there was a fast market as soon as possible, and in any event shall input such data electronically into the Exchange’s systems not later than close of business on the trade date during which the fast market existed.

.02 The Exchange will announce via Regulatory Circular the form and manner by which Trading Permit Holders must report transactions that occur during a fast market.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * 

Rule 6.24. Required Order Information

(a) No change.

(b) With respect to orders received during a malfunction or disruption of the Exchange’s systems under paragraph (a)(4) above or during a time period when a fast market has been declared under Rule 6.6(a) and the Exchange has suspended the requirement to systematize an order prior to its representation to the trading floor under Rule 6.6(b)(iii):

(1)–(2) No change.

(c) No change.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s Web site (http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange seeks to amend Rule 6.6 to update the circumstances in which the Exchange may declare a “fast” market; add actions the Exchange may take when a fast market has been declared; and remove outdated provisions.

First, Rule 6.6 currently states that whenever in the judgment of any two Floor Officials, because of an influx of orders or other unusual conditions or circumstances, the interest of maintaining a fair and orderly market requires, those Floor Officials may declare the market in one or more classes of option contracts to be fast. The Exchange is seeking to further specify that “other unusual conditions or circumstances” can include periods of time during which there is extraordinary market volatility (e.g., large movements in the S&P 500 Index). As under the current rule, a fast market will only be declared when two Floor Officials believe declaring a market fast is necessary in the interest of maintaining a fair and orderly market. In other words, if two Floor Officials do not believe they need to declare a fast market because of extraordinary market volatility to maintain a fair and orderly market, the Exchange will not declare a fast market. Currently, Floor Officials use their experience and expertise to determine if a market should be declared fast because of an influx of orders or other unusual conditions or circumstances. This proposal is only adding to the rule examples of unusual conditions or circumstances that can be considered when making this determination such as when: The previous day’s closing price of the S&P 500 Index is more than 2% away from the previous day’s opening price; (ii) the front-month E-mini S&P 500 Future (symbol ES/1) is trading more than 20 points above or below the previous day’s closing values by 8:00 a.m. CT; or (iii) the intraday price of the S&P 500 Index moves more than 1% in any one hour interval during regular trading hours.

The Exchange reviewed approximately eight months of data and observed the previous day’s closing price of the S&P 500 Index being more than 2% away from the previous day’s opening price on fewer than five days; however, the Exchange believes that when such moves in the S&P 500 Index do occur openings and intraday options trading can be volatile. Additionally, the inclusion of this provision in the rule text will help to serve as notice to market participants as to when the Exchange might call a fast market.

With regards to when the front-month E-mini S&P 500 Future (symbol ES/1) is trading more than 20 points above or below the previous day’s closing values by 8:00 a.m. CT, the Exchange notes that E-mini S&P 500 Futures are often used as a way to measure the state of the overall market in similar manner to which the S&P 500 Index is generally used to measure the state of the overall market. The Exchange believes a 20 point move represents a fairly significant move in the E-mini S&P 500 Futures and could indicate that the opening and intraday options trading will be volatile. Additionally, as
previously noted, the Exchange references a 20 point move in the E-mini S&P 500 Futures in other contexts, such as reasonability checks. Furthermore, the inclusion of this provision in the rule text will help to serve as notice to market participants as to when the Exchange might call a fast market.

The Exchange reviewed approximately eight months of data and observed the intraday price of the S&P 500 Index moving more than 1% in any one hour interval during regular trading hours on at least 30 days. Although not an infrequent occurrence, the Exchange believes it is critically important to have an intraday variable that will be used by Floor Officials to guide them as they determine whether there is a fast market. The Exchange notes that this is simply an example of an unusual condition or circumstance that can be considered when making this determination as to whether a fast market should be called. The Exchange notes that a 1% move in any of the S&P 500 Index is not necessarily cause to call a fast market—just as a 2% move from the previous day open to the previous day close in the S&P 500 Index is not necessarily a cause to call a fast market. However, the Exchange notes that intraday moves of 1% per hour in the S&P 500 Index can cause intraday price swings of hundreds of thousands of dollars because the customer’s broker was required to systematize the customer’s order prior to representing the order during a fast market.

The Exchange believes that during fast markets, which have the potential to cause substantial losses for customers and market participants, the entire marketplace would be better served by receiving executions on orders as quickly as possible. Thus, the Exchange proposes, in limited and extraordinary circumstances, to delay (not waive) the requirement to systematize an order.

Rule 6.24 was adopted in its current form by SR-CBOE-2004-077 (sic). SR-CBOE-2004-077 was submitted to fulfill certain of the undertakings contained in an order issued by the Commission relating to the settlement of an enforcement action against CBOE and other options exchanges (collectively “Options Exchanges”). As part of the Order, the Options Exchanges agreed to, and were ordered to, design and implement the consolidated options audit trail system (“COATS”). The Options Exchanges were required to complete the undertaking in five phases. The final phase of the undertaking to implement COATS required each exchange to “incorporate into its audit trail all non-electronic orders” and SR-CBOE-2004-77 addressed the final phase.6 The Exchange recognizes the importance of non-electronic order and trade information to the Exchange’s audit trail with respect to its regulatory obligations. While the proposed rule change would delay the Exchange’s receipt of this information, the Exchange will still require TPHs to submit this information to the Exchange to complete the audit trail. The proposed rule provides that order information for non-electronic orders received while the requirement to systematize prior to representation is suspended under Rule 6.6 will still be incorporated into its audit trail.

Specifically, proposed paragraph (b) of Rule 6.24 states:

With respect to orders received during a malfunction or disruption of the Exchange’s systems under paragraph (a)(4) above or during a time period when a fast market has been declared under Rule 6.6(a) and the Exchange has suspended the requirement to systematize an order prior to its representation to the trading floor under Rule 6.6(b)(iii):

(1) Transmitted to the Floor. Each order transmitted to the Exchange must be recorded legibly in a written form that has been approved by the Exchange, and the Trading Permit Holder receiving such order must record the time of its receipt on the floor and legibly record the terms of the order, in written form.

(2) Cancellations and Changes. Each cancellation of, or change to, an order that has been transmitted to the floor must be recorded legibly in a written form that has been approved by the Exchange, and the Trading Permit Holder receiving such cancellation or change must record the time of its receipt on the floor.

Thus, information regarding all non-electronic orders will remain a part of the Exchange’s audit trail in the same manner as non-electronic orders that cannot be systematized because of a malfunction or disruption of the Exchange’s system. Furthermore, to ensure market participants are aware of the procedures in Rule 6.24(b) that they must follow when the Exchange has suspended the systematization requirement pursuant to Rule 6.6, the Exchange is proposing to reference Rule 6.24(b) in new Interpretation and Policy .02 to Rule 6.6.

Additionally, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 6.6.01 to provide that as soon as a fast market ceases, TPHs must immediately resume systematizing orders prior to representing orders and shall use best efforts to, as soon as possible, input electronically into the Exchange’s systems all relevant order information received during the time period when there was a fast market but no later than close of business on the...
trade date during which the fast market occurred. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing that Rule 6.6.01 state:

In the event that the Exchange suspends the requirement to systematize an order prior to its representation pursuant to paragraph (b) of this Rule 6.6, Trading Permit Holders or TPH organizations shall follow the procedures as described in paragraph (b) of Rule 6.24. Upon the Floor Officials’ determination to reinstate the systematization requirement, Trading Permit Holders shall immediately resume systematizing orders prior to representing them on the trading floor. Additionally, Trading Permit Holders shall exert best efforts to input electronically into the Exchange’s systems all relevant order information received during the time period when there was a fast market as soon as possible, and in any event shall input such data electronically into the Exchange’s systems not later than close of business on the trade date during which the fast market existed.

The Exchange notes that proposed Rule 6.6.01 is patterned off of paragraph (a)(4) of Rule 6.24 regarding the inability of Trading Permit Holders to systematize order information in the event of an Exchange system malfunction.\(^\text{10}\)

The Exchange notes that the collection and reporting of quotation information to OPRA will not be affected by this rule filing because the Exchange will continue to “collect and promptly transmit to the OPRA System by means of its own facilities bids and offers at stated prices or limits with respect to individual Eligible Securities in which it provides a market,” which, by definition, means the marketplace will continue to have access to the “current state of the market” in all securities traded on the Exchange.\(^\text{11}\)

Additionally, even though in these very limited situations market participants will be able to represent a particular order in the trading crowd prior to systematizing the order, market participants must continue to report the execution of the order within 90 seconds.\(^\text{12}\)

Lastly, the proposed rule removes outdated provisions in Rule 6.6 that currently 4:20 p.m. (CT). See CBOE Regulatory Circular RG14–111.\(^\text{13}\)

The Commission found Rule 6.24(a) to be a reasonable plan for recording order details in the event of a systems outage or malfunction. See Approval Order at 2438. The Exchange believes proposed Rule 6.6.01 is a reasonable plan that allows the Exchange to maintain a complete and accurate audit trail during a fast market.

\(^\text{10}\) The Commission found Rule 6.24(a) to be a reasonable plan for recording order details in the event of a systems outage or malfunction. See Approval Order at 2438. The Exchange believes proposed Rule 6.6.01 is a reasonable plan that allows the Exchange to maintain a complete and accurate audit trail during a fast market.

\(^\text{11}\) See section 5.2(b) of the OPRA Plan [requiring the collection and reporting of quotations to OPRA “sufficient in number and timeliness to reflect the current state of the market in such security”], available at: https://www.opradata.com/pdf/opra_plan.pdf.

\(^\text{12}\) See Rule 6.51(a).

\(^\text{13}\) See section 5.2(b) of the OPRA Plan (requiring the collection and reporting of quotations to OPRA “sufficient in number and timeliness to reflect the current state of the market in such security”), available at: https://www.opradata.com/pdf/opra_plan.pdf.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.\(^\text{14}\) Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)\(^\text{15}\) requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)\(^\text{16}\) requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. In particular, the Exchange believes the proposed amendment promotes just and equitable principles of trade by giving Floor Officials the ability to declare a fast market when there is extraordinary market volatility that hinders the maintenance of fair and orderly markets. In addition, the proposed amendment protects investors and the public interest by further specifying the actions Floor Officials may take once they declare a fast market. Specifically, the Exchange believes having the ability to suspend the requirement to systematize an order prior to representing the order to the trading floor serves investors and the public interest because it provides floor brokers with the ability to better accommodate traders during times of extreme volatility and high order volume, which can prevent or limit significant customer losses during those times. Furthermore, the Exchange believes the proposed amendment is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices because the rule is narrowly applied to situations in which two Floor Officials (one of which must be an Exchange employee) believe the maintenance of fair and orderly markets necessitates a fast market declaration. Additionally, the Exchange believes delaying the systemization of an order under these limited and extraordinary circumstances will not significantly impact the integrity of the audit trail. In fact, the Exchange believes that if there is any impact on the audit trail it is outweighed by the benefits to customers and other market participants.

Additionally, impacts to individual market surveillances, if any, can be remedied through manual reviews of the required order tickets.\(^\text{17}\)

Additionally, as proposed in Rule 6.6.01, if the requirement to systematize an order prior to representing the order is suspended, Trading Permit Holders are required to record order information in written form, which provides an adequate audit trail for regulatory purposes. Additionally, as proposed by Rule 6.6.01 and 6.6.02 [sic], Trading Permit Holders are required to input electronically into the Exchange’s systems all relevant order information received during the time period when there was a fast market as soon as possible, and in any event shall input such data electronically into the Exchange’s systems not later than close of business on the trade date during which the fast market existed, which will provide an adequate audit trail for regulatory purposes.

The Exchange notes that the collection and reporting of quotation information to OPRA will not be affected by this rule filing because the Exchange will continue to “collect and promptly transmit to the OPRA System by means of its own facilities bids and offers at stated prices or limits with respect to individual Eligible Securities in which it provides a market,” which, by definition, means the marketplace will continue to have access to the “current state of the market” in all securities traded on the Exchange.\(^\text{17}\)

Finally, the Exchange does not believe the proposal permits unfair discrimination because the benefit of receiving executions in a more timely fashion will likely outweigh any perceived negatives. For example, a broker that does not need to spend crucial time systematizing an order prior to representing an order better serves the client by accessing liquidity as soon as possible.
B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. More specifically, the Exchange does not believe the proposed amendment will impose any burden on intramarket competition because it provides the same relief to all floor brokers in the same manner under the same limited and extraordinary circumstances. In addition, the Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will impose any burden on intermarket competition. The proposed rule change relates solely to information that floor brokers must submit to the Exchange with respect to orders they represent and execute on the Exchange’s trading floor. The proposed rule change has little to no effect on market participants because OPRA will be receiving timely quotations during fast markets, which will give all market participants an up-to-date view of the market during a fast market. Any perceived burden on market participants is outweighed by the fact that market participants will be able to receive executions in a timelier manner during times of high market volatility.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

A. by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or

B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE–2017–010 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE–2017–010. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE–2017–010, and should be submitted on or before March 27, 2017.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.18

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–04207 Filed 3–3–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations;
NASDAQ PHXL LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Related to Qualified Contingent Cross Orders


Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on February 21, 2017, NASDAQ PHXL LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule at Section II, entitled “Multiply Listed Options Fees,”3 to increase the maximum Qualified Contingent Cross (“QCC”) orders rebate which will be paid in a given month.

While the changes proposed herein are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated that the amendments be operative on March 1, 2017.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://nasdaqphlx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

3 These fees include options overlying equities, ETFs, ETNs and indexes which are Multiply Listed.