Maintenance Requirements (CMRs), D622N001–9, Revision January 2016.

(4) For airplanes identified in paragraph (c)(3) of this AD with an original certificate of airworthiness or original export certificate of airworthiness issued on or before the effective date of this AD, this paragraph provides credit for the actions specified in paragraph (i)(3) of this AD if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using Boeing 767 Special Compliance Items/Airworthiness Limitations, D622T001–9–04, Revision May 2016 R1; Revision May 2016; Revision March 2016; or Revision July 2015.

(5) For airplanes identified in paragraph (c)(3) of this AD with an original certificate of airworthiness or original export certificate of airworthiness issued on or before the effective date of this AD, this paragraph provides credit for the actions specified in paragraph (i)(3) of this AD if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using Boeing 767 Special Compliance Items/Airworthiness Limitations, D622T001–9–04, Revision October 2014.

(I) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (m)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-AMN-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) For service information that contains steps that are labeled as Required for Compliance (RC), the provisions of paragraphs (i)(4)(i) and (i)(4)(ii) of this AD apply.

(i) The steps labeled as RC, including substeps under an RC step and any figures identified in an RC step, must be done to comply with the AD. If a step or substep is labeled “RC Exempt,” then the RC requirement is removed from that step or substep. An AMOC is required for any deviations to RC steps, including substeps and identified figures.

(ii) Steps not labeled as RC may be deviated from using accepted methods in accordance with the operator’s maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC, provided the RC steps, including substeps and identified figures, can still be done as specified, and the airplane can be put back in an airworthy condition.

(m) Related Information


(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Contractual & Data Services (Cd&S), 2600 Westminster Blvd., MC 110–SK57, Seal Beach, CA 90740–5600; telephone: 562–797–1717; Internet: https://www.myboeingfleet.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 28, 2017.

Michael Kaszycki,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
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Airworthiness Directives; CFM International S.A. Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain CFM International S.A. (CFM) CFM56–3, –3B, and –3C turbofan engines. This proposed AD was prompted by a report of dual-engine loss of thrust control that resulted in an air turn back. This proposed AD would require initial and repetitive checks of the variable stator vane (VSV) actuation system in the high-pressure compressor (HPC). We are proposing this AD to correct the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by April 24, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this NPRM, contact CFM International Inc., Aviation Operations Center, 1 Neumann Way, M/D Room 285, Cincinnati, OH 45125; phone: 877–432–3272; fax: 877–432–3329; email: aviation.fleetsupport@ge.com. You may view this service information at FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 781–238–7125.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2016–9592; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (phone: 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2016–9592; Directorate Identifier 2016–NE–30–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this
proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

We received a report of a dual-engine loss of thrust control that resulted in an air turn back. Investigation determined that loss of thrust control was the result of restricted movement of the VSV actuation rings in the HPC stator case. This restricted movement resulted from resistance caused by corrosion in the VSV bores. This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the VSV actuators, loss of engine thrust control, and reduced control of the airplane.

Related Service Information

We reviewed CFM Service Bulletin (SB) CFM56–3 S/B 72–1169, Revision 01, dated April 25, 2016. This SB describes procedures for examining the VSV bores on the inside of the HPC case. We also reviewed CFM CFM56–3 Engine Shop Manual (ESM) 72–32–01, Repair 031, dated February 8, 2016. This repair provides guidance on reaming and applying anti-corrosion paint to the VSV bores.

FAA’s Determination

We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

Proposed AD Requirements

This proposed AD would require initial and repetitive checks of stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3 of the HPC VSV actuation system.

Differences Between This Proposed AD and the Service Information

CFM SB CFM56–3 S/B 72–1169, Revision 01, dated April 25, 2016, only recommends inspection of CFM56–3 engines if 50% or more of their operation occurs in tropical rainforest climate zones and the utilization rate is less than 150 hours per month. We find that corrosion could occur in other climate zones, and would be a function of hours as well as utilization. We also find it is not practical to base AD requirements on geography and, to a lesser extent, utilization. Therefore, we are proposing that this AD be applicable to all CFM56–3 engines not previously repaired as described in CFM CFM56–3 ESM 72–32–01, Repair 031, dated February 8, 2016. In addition, CFM SB CFM56–3 S/B 72–1169 requires that repair be performed within 5 flight cycles if the pull force is measured to be greater than 100 lbs. Given that pull force greater than 100 lbs may result in loss of thrust control, we are proposing in this AD that repair be done prior to further flight.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 460 engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of the HPC VSV actuation system</td>
<td>2 work-hours × $85 per hour = $170 .............</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$78,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII. We find it is not practical to base AD requirements on geography and, to a lesser extent, utilization. Therefore, we are proposing that this AD be applicable to all CFM56–3 engines not previously repaired as described in CFM CFM56–3 ESM 72–32–01, Repair 031, dated February 8, 2016. In addition, CFM SB CFM56–3 S/B 72–1169 requires that repair be performed within 5 flight cycles if the pull force is measured to be greater than 100 lbs. Given that pull force greater than 100 lbs may result in loss of thrust control, we are proposing in this AD that repair be done prior to further flight.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 460 engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of the HPC VSV actuation system</td>
<td>2 work-hours × $85 per hour = $170 .............</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$78,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII. We find it is not practical to base AD requirements on geography and, to a lesser extent, utilization. Therefore, we are proposing that this AD be applicable to all CFM56–3 engines not previously repaired as described in CFM CFM56–3 ESM 72–32–01, Repair 031, dated February 8, 2016. In addition, CFM SB CFM56–3 S/B 72–1169 requires that repair be performed within 5 flight cycles if the pull force is measured to be greater than 100 lbs. Given that pull force greater than 100 lbs may result in loss of thrust control, we are proposing in this AD that repair be done prior to further flight.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 460 engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of the HPC VSV actuation system</td>
<td>2 work-hours × $85 per hour = $170 .............</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$78,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(d) Subject
Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC) Code 7220, Turbine Engine Compressor Section.

(e) Unsafe Condition
This AD was prompted by a report of dual engine loss of thrust control that resulted in an air turn back. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the variable stator vane (VSV) actuators, loss of engine thrust control, and reduced control of the airplane.

(f) Compliance
Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done. Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD:
(1) Inspect the affected engines to determine if the compressor front stator case is marked with “RP031” adjacent to the part number. If the case is marked with “RP031,” no further action required. If the case is not marked with “RP031,” follow the remaining steps in paragraph (f) of this AD.
(2) Perform an initial pull force check of stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3 of the compressor VSV actuation system.
(i) If any stage requires more than 100 lbs force to move the actuation ring, ream the VSV bores and apply anti-corrosion coating to stage 1, 2, and 3, prior to further flight.
(ii) If any stage requires more than 75 lbs and less than or equal to 100 lbs force to move the actuation ring, repeat the inspection within 3 months since last inspection.
(iii) If all stages require 75 lbs force or less to move the actuation rings, repeat the inspection within 12 months since last inspection.
(3) Thereafter, continue to perform repetitive pull force checks of stage 1, 2, and 3 of the compressor VSV actuation system and disposition as specified in paragraphs (2)(i) through (2)(iii) of this AD.

(g) Optional Terminating Action
Reaming the VSV bores and applying anti-corrosion coating, as specified in paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this AD, is terminating action to the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (f)(3) of this AD.

(h) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)
The Manager, Engine Certification Office, FAA, may approve AMOCs for this AD. Use the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19 to make your request. You may email your request to: ANE–AD–AMOC@faa.gov.

(i) Related Information
(1) For more information about this AD, contact David Bethka, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, F AA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: 781–238–7129; fax: 781–238–7199; email: david.bethka@faa.gov.
(2) CFM Service Bulletin CFM56–3 S/B 72–1169, Revision 01, dated April 25, 2016, and CFM CFM56–3 Engine Shop Manual 72–32–01, Repair 031, dated February 8, 2016, can be obtained from CFM using the contact information in paragraph (j)(3) of this proposed AD.

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact CFM International Inc., Aviation Operations Center, 1 Neumann Way, M/D Room 285, Cincinnati, OH 45125; phone: 877–432–3272; fax: 877–432–3329; email: aviation.fleetsupport@ge.com.
(4) You may view this service information at the FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 781–238–7125.
Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on February 28, 2017.

Carlos A. Pestana,
Acting Assistant Manager, Engine & Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
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RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all The Boeing Company Model 737–300, –400, and –500 series airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by a manufacturer’s review that showed that the tank access door at a certain wing position, and –500 series airplanes. This proposed AD would require replacing the tank access door, doing a check of the electrical bond, doing related investigative and corrective actions if necessary, and revising the maintenance or inspection program by incorporating an airworthiness limitation (AWL). We are proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by April 24, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:
• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: 202–493–2251.
• Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.


Examination of the AD Docket
You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0128; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (phone: 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Comments Invited
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2017–0128; Directorate Identifier 2016–NM–194–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.