exception and in the second exception eliminates the prior approval requirement and expands the purposes for which a blocker corporation may be formed. The final rule also adds new reporting and other requirements for passive investments to help protect SBA’s financial interests and ensure adequate oversight and makes minor technical amendments. Finally, this rule makes a conforming change to the regulations regarding the amount of leverage available to SBICs under common control. This change is necessary for consistency with the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, which increased the maximum amount of such leverage to $350 million from $225 million.

Dated: March 10, 2017.

A. Joseph Shepard,
Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation.

§ 17.15 Filing a protest.

(b) Protests shall be filed with the ODRA, AGC–70, Federal Aviation Administration, telephone (202) 267–3290 as follows:

(1) 600 Independence Avenue SW., Room 2W100, Washington, DC 20591 for filing by hand delivery, courier or other form of in-person delivery;

(2) 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591 [Attention: AGC–70, Wilbur Wright Bldg., Room 2W100] for filing by U.S. Mail; or

(3) Numbers (202) 267–3720 or alternate (202) 267–1293 for filing by facsimile.

4. In § 17.59, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 17.59 Filing a Pre-dispute.

(b) Pre-disputes shall be filed with the ODRA, AGC–70, Federal Aviation Administration, telephone (202) 267–3290 as follows:

(1) 600 Independence Avenue SW., Room 2W100, Washington, DC 20591 for filing by hand delivery, courier or other form of in-person delivery;

(2) 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591 [Attention: AGC–70, Wilbur Wright Bldg., Room 2W100] for filing by U.S. Mail; or

(3) Numbers (202) 267–3720 or alternate (202) 267–1293 for filing by facsimile.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 13, 2017.

Anthony N. Palladino,
Director and Administrative Judge, Office of Dispute Resolution for Acquisition.

§ 17.27 Filing a contract dispute.

(b) Contract Disputes shall be filed with the ODRA, AGC–70, Federal Aviation Administration, telephone (202) 267–3290 as follows:

(1) 600 Independence Avenue SW., Room 2W100, Washington, DC 20591 for filing by hand delivery, courier or other form of in-person delivery;

(2) 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591 [Attention: AGC–70, Wilbur Wright Bldg., Room 2W100] for filing by U.S. Mail; or

(3) Numbers (202) 267–3720 or alternate (202) 267–1293 for filing by facsimile.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 13, 2017.

Anthony N. Palladino,
Director and Administrative Judge, Office of Dispute Resolution for Acquisition.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, 14 CFR part 17 is amended as follows:

PART 17—PROCEDURES FOR PROTESTS AND CONTRACT DISPUTES

1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:


2. In § 17.15, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 17.15 Filing a protest.

3. In § 17.27, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 17.27 Filing a contract dispute.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 13, 2017.

Anthony N. Palladino,
Director and Administrative Judge, Office of Dispute Resolution for Acquisition.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, 14 CFR part 17 is amended as follows:

PART 17—PROCEDURES FOR PROTESTS AND CONTRACT DISPUTES

1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:


2. In § 17.15, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 17.15 Filing a protest.

3. In § 17.27, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 17.27 Filing a contract dispute.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 13, 2017.

Anthony N. Palladino,
Director and Administrative Judge, Office of Dispute Resolution for Acquisition.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, 14 CFR part 17 is amended as follows:

PART 17—PROCEDURES FOR PROTESTS AND CONTRACT DISPUTES

1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:


2. In § 17.15, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 17.15 Filing a protest.

3. In § 17.27, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 17.27 Filing a contract dispute.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 13, 2017.

Anthony N. Palladino,
Director and Administrative Judge, Office of Dispute Resolution for Acquisition.
issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: This AD is effective April 5, 2017.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of March 28, 2017 (82 FR 11140, February 21, 2017).

We must receive comments on this AD by May 5, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.33 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: 202–493–2251.


• Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this final rule, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Contractual & Data Services (C&DS), 2600 Westminster Blvd., MC 110–SK57, Seal Beach, CA 90740–5606; telephone 562–797–1717; Internet https://www.myboeingfleet.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221. It is also available on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0129.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0129; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (phone: 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

On January 31, 2017, we issued AD 2017–03–04, Amendment 39–18795 (82 FR 11140, February 21, 2017) (“AD 2017–03–04”), for all The Boeing Company Model 737–500 series airplanes. AD 2017–03–04 required inspections to detect cracks in the fuselage skin panels, permanent repairs of time-limited repairs, skin panel replacement, and related investigative and corrective actions if necessary. AD 2017–03–04 resulted from an evaluation by the design approval holder (DAH) that indicates the fuselage skin is subject to widespread fatigue damage (WFD), and reports of cracks at the chem-milled steps in the fuselage skin. We issued AD 2017–03–04 to detect and correct cracking on the aft lower lobe fuselage skins, which could result in rapid decompression of the airplane.

Actions Since AD 2017–03–04 Was Issued

Since we issued AD 2017–03–04, we determined that airplanes were inadvertently included in the applicability of AD 2017–03–04. AD 2017–03–04 superseded AD 2012–16–07, Amendment 39–17154 (77 FR 48423, August 14, 2012) (“AD 2012–16–07”), which applied to certain The Boeing Company Model 737–500 series airplanes. The identified unsafe condition only applies to the airplanes identified in AD 2012–16–07 and, therefore, the applicability of AD 2017–03–04 should not have included additional airplanes.

The affected airplanes are identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–53–1315, Revision 1, dated June 30, 2015 (which is referred to as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishing the actions required by AD 2017–03–04). In order to correct the applicability, we have referenced Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–53–1315, Revision 1, dated June 30, 2015, in paragraph (c)(1) of this AD. We are issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

We reviewed Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–53–1315, Revision 1, dated June 30, 2015. The service information describes procedures for inspections to detect cracks in the fuselage skin panels, permanent repairs of time-limited repairs, skin panel replacement, and related investigative and corrective actions. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

FAA’s Determination

We are issuing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

AD Requirements

This AD requires accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under “Differences Between this AD and the Service Information.” This AD also reduces the applicability of AD 2017–03–04. For information on the procedures and compliance times, see this service information at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0129.

The phrase “related investigative actions” is used in this AD. Related investigative actions are follow-on actions that (1) are related to the primary action, and (2) further investigate the nature of any condition found. Related investigative actions in an AD could include, for example, inspections.

The phrase “corrective actions” is used in this AD. Corrective actions correct or address any condition found. Corrective actions in an AD could include, for example, repairs.

Differences Between This AD and the Service Information

Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–53–1315, Revision 1, dated June 30, 2015, specifies to contact the manufacturer for instructions on how to repair certain conditions and also to obtain certain work instructions, but this AD requires repairing those conditions and also to obtain those work instructions in one of the following ways:

• In accordance with a method that we approve; or

• Using data that meet the certification basis of the airplane, and that have been approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) whom we have authorized to make those findings.
FAA’s Justification and Determination of the Effective Date

We determined that airplanes were inadvertently included in the applicability of AD 2017–03–04, which applies to all Model 737–500 series airplanes. However, only airplanes identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–53–1315, Revision 1, dated June 30, 2015, are affected by the identified unsafe condition. The actions required by this AD are not required to be done on airplanes that are not identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–53–1315, Revision 1, dated June 30, 2015. Therefore, we are superseding AD 2017–03–04 to correct the applicability. We find that notice and opportunity for prior public comment are unnecessary and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited

This AD is a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment. However, we invite you to send any written data, views, or arguments about this AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include the docket number FAA–2017–0129 and Directorate Identifier 2017–NM–020–AD at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this AD.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD affects 33 airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate the following costs to comply with this AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspections (actions retained from AD 2017–03–04).</td>
<td>Up to 1,538 work-hours × $85 per hour = $130,730 per inspection cycle.</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Up to $130,730 per inspection cycle.</td>
<td>Up to $4,314,090 per inspection cycle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin panel replacement (action retained from AD 2017–03–04).</td>
<td>688 work-hours × $85 per hour = $58,480.</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>$154,480</td>
<td>$5,097,840.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We estimate the following costs to do any necessary repairs that would be required based on the results of the inspection. We have no way of determining the number of aircraft that might need these repairs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time-limited repair</td>
<td>24 work-hours × $85 per hour = $2,040</td>
<td></td>
<td>($1) $2,040.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent repair</td>
<td>31 work-hours × $85 per hour = $2,635</td>
<td></td>
<td>($1) $2,635.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent repair inspection</td>
<td>4 work-hours × $85 per hour = $340 per inspection cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td>($1) $340 per inspection cycle.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have received no definitive data that would enable us to provide parts cost estimates for the on-condition actions specified in this AD.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

This AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
(2) Is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (49 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
(3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
(4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.
§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2017–03–04, Amendment 39–18795 (82 FR 11140, February 21, 2017), and adding the following new AD:

2017–06–01 The Boeing Company:


(a) Effective Date

This AD is effective April 5, 2017.

(b) Affected ADs


(c) Applicability

(1) This AD applies to The Boeing Company Model 737–500 series airplanes, certificated in any category, as identified in Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–53–1315, Revision 1, dated June 30, 2015 (“SASB 737–53–1315 R1”).

(2) Installation of Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) ST01219SE (http://rgl.faa.gov/Regulatory_Guidance_Library/ST01219SE.pdf) does not affect the ability to accomplish the actions required by this AD.

(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 53, Fuselage.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by an evaluation by the design approval holder (DAH) that indicates the fuselage skin is subject to widespread fatigue damage (WFD), and reports of cracks at the chem-milled steps in the fuselage skin. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct cracking on the aft lower lobe fuselage skins, which could result in rapid decompression of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Retained Inspections, Related Investigative and Corrective Actions, With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraphs (j)(1) and (k)(2) of this AD: Replace the applicable skin panels, and do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of SASB 737–53–1315 R1.

(h) Retained Exceptions to SASB 737–53–1315 R1, With No Changes

This paragraph restates the service information exceptions specified in paragraph (h) of AD 2017–03–04, with no changes.

(i) Retained Action for Airplanes With a Limited Repair Installed, With No Changes

(1) Do the applicable inspections to detect missing or lose fasteners and any disbonding or cracking of bonded doublers; and do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions; in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of SASB 737–53–1315 R1. As required by paragraph (h)(3) of this AD, do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight. Repeat the applicable inspections thereafter at the applicable intervals specified in SASB 737–53–1315 R1, except as required by paragraph (h)(3) of this AD, is terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by this paragraph at the repaired locations only.

(2) Make the time-limited repair permanent and do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of SASB 737–53–1315 R1, except as required by paragraph (h)(5) of this AD. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight. Accomplishing the permanent repair required by this paragraph terminates the inspections required by paragraph (i)(1) of this AD for the permanently repaired area only.

(j) Retained AD Provisions for Part 26 Supplemental Inspections, With No Changes

This paragraph restates the provisions specified in paragraph (j) of AD 2017–03–04, with no changes. Table 1 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of SASB 737–53–1315 R1, specifies post-modification airworthiness limitation inspections in compliance with 14 CFR 25.571(a)(3) at the modified locations, which support compliance with 14 CFR 121.1109(c)(2) or 129.1009(b)(2). As airworthiness limitations, these inspections are required by maintenance and operational rules. It is therefore unnecessary to mandate them in this AD. Deviations from these inspections require FAA approval, but do not require an alternate method of compliance.

(k) Retained Skin Panel Replacement, With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (k) of AD 2017–03–04, with no changes. At the later of the times specified in paragraphs (k)(1) and (k)(2) of this AD: Replace the applicable skin panels, and do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of SASB 737–53–1315 R1. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight. Doing the skin panel replacement required by this paragraph terminates the inspection requirements of paragraph (g) of this AD for that skin panel only, provided the skin panel replacement was done with a production skin panel at or after 53,000 total flight cycles.

(1) Before 53,000 total flight cycles, but not before 53,000 total flight cycles.

(2) Within 6,000 flight cycles after March 28, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–03–04), but not before 53,000 total flight cycles.

(l) Retained Credit for Previous Actions, With No Changes

This paragraph restates the credit specified in paragraph (l) of AD 2017–03–04, with no changes. This paragraph provides credit for the zone 1 actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD, as described in SASB 737–53–1315 R1, if the zone 1, 2, and 3 actions, as described in Boeing Special Attention...

(m) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (n) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-AMN-LAACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certification holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) AMOCs approved previously for AD 2012–16–07 are approved as AMOCs for the corresponding provisions of paragraph (g) of this AD.

(n) Related Information

For more information about this AD, contact Jennifer Tsakoumakis, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, AMN–120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 3660 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, CA 90712–4137; phone: 562–627–5264; fax: 562–627–5210; email: jennifer.tsakoumakis@faa.gov.

(o) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(3) The following service information was approved for IBR on March 28, 2017 (82 FR 11140, February 21, 2017).

(i) Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–53–1315, Revision 1, dated June 30, 2015.

(ii) Reserved.


(5) You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

(6) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 7, 2017.

Michael Kaszycki,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2017–05162 Filed 3–20–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 91
[Docket No.: FAA–2011–0246; Amdt. No. 91–321C]

RIN 2120–AK99

Extension of the Prohibition Against Certain Flights in the Tripoli (HLLL) Flight Information Region (FIR)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action extends the prohibition of flight operations in the Tripoli (HLLL) Flight Information Region (FIR) by all U.S. air carriers; U.S.-registered civil aircraft, and U.S.-certificated airman throughout the world. The FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety is found in title 49, U.S. Code, Subtitle I, sections 106(f) and (g) describe the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII of title 49, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority. Section 40101(d)(1) provides that the Administrator shall consider in the public interest, among other matters, assigning, maintaining, and enhancing safety and security as the highest priorities in air commerce. Section 40105(b)(1)(A) requires the Administrator to exercise his authority consistently with the obligations of the U.S. Government under international agreements.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, subpart III, section 44701. General requirements. Under that section, the FAA is charged broadly with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing, among other things, regulations and minimum standards for practices, methods, and procedures that the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce and national security.

This regulation is within the scope of FAA’s authority under the statutes cited previously, because it continues to prohibit the persons subject to paragraph (a) of 14 CFR 91.1603, (SFAR No. 112), from conducting flight operations in the Tripoli (HLLL) FIR due to the continued hazards to the safety of such persons’ flight operations,