

safety fitness determinations. While the petitioners support the goal of an easily understandable, rational SFD system, they believe the NPRM should be withdrawn at this time.

**FMCSA Decision To Withdraw the NPRM**

Based on the current record, including comments received in response to the NPRM and the February 2017 correspondence to Secretary Chao, FMCSA has decided to withdraw the January 2016 NPRM and, accordingly, cancels the plans to develop a SNPRM as announced by the Agency on January 12, 2017. If FMCSA determines changes to the safety fitness determination process are still necessary and advisable in the future, a new rulemaking would be initiated that will incorporate any appropriate recommendations from the National Academies of Science and the comments received through this rulemaking. The NPRM concerning motor carrier safety fitness determinations is withdrawn.

Issued under the authority delegated in 49 CFR 1.87 on: March 17, 2017.

**Daphne Y. Jefferson,**  
Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2017-05777 Filed 3-22-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**50 CFR Part 660**

[Docket No. 161128999-7248-01]

RIN 0648-BG47

**Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries Off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; 2017 Tribal Fishery for Pacific Whiting**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS issues this proposed rule for the 2017 Pacific whiting fishery under the authority of the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP), the Magnuson Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), and the Pacific Whiting Act of 2006, as amended. This proposed rule would allocate 17.5 percent of the U.S. Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of Pacific whiting for 2017

to Pacific Coast Indian tribes that have a treaty right to harvest groundfish.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received no later than April 24, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments on this document, identified by NOAA-NMFS-2017-0005, by either of the following methods:

- *Electronic Submission:* Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to [www.regulations.gov/](http://www.regulations.gov/)

- *Mail:* Barry A. Thom, Regional Administrator, West Coast Region, NMFS, 7600 Sand Point Way NE., Seattle, WA 98115-0070, Attn: Miako Ushio.

- *Instructions:* Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period, may not be considered by NMFS. All comments received are part of the public record and will generally be posted for public viewing on [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) without change. All personal identifying information (e.g., name, address, etc.), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender will be publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter "N/A" in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Miako Ushio, phone: 206-526-4644, and email: [miako.ushio@noaa.gov](mailto:miako.ushio@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Electronic Access**

This proposed rule is accessible via the Internet at the Office of the Federal Register Web site at <https://www.federalregister.gov>. Background information and documents are available at the NMFS West Coast Region Web site at [http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/fisheries/management/whiting/pacific\\_whiting.html](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/fisheries/management/whiting/pacific_whiting.html) and at the Pacific Fishery Management Council's Web site at <http://www.pcouncil.org/>.

**Background**

The regulations at 50 CFR 660.50(d) address the implementation of the treaty rights that Pacific Coast treaty Indian tribes have to harvest groundfish in their usual and accustomed fishing areas in U.S. waters. Section 660.50(d) provides that an allocation or regulation specific to the tribes shall be initiated by a written request from a Pacific Coast

treaty Indian tribe with treaty fishing rights in the area covered by the FMP at the beginning of the biennial harvest specifications and management measures process. The Secretary will develop tribal allocations and regulations in consultation with the affected tribe(s) and, insofar as possible, with tribal consensus. The procedures that NMFS employs in implementing tribal treaty rights under the FMP were designed to provide a framework process by which NMFS can accommodate tribal treaty rights by setting aside appropriate amounts of fish in conjunction with the Pacific Fishery Management Council process for determining harvest specifications and management measures.

Since the FMP has been in place, NMFS has been allocating a portion of the U.S. TAC (called Optimum Yield (OY) or Annual Catch Limit (ACL) prior to 2012) of Pacific whiting to the tribal fishery, following the process established in 50 CFR 660.50(d). The tribal allocation is subtracted from the U.S. Pacific whiting TAC before allocation to the non-tribal sectors.

There are four tribes that can participate in the tribal Pacific whiting fishery: The Hoh Tribe, the Makah Tribe, the Quileute Tribe and the Quinault Indian Nation (collectively, the "Treaty Tribes"). The Hoh Tribe has not expressed an interest in participating to date. The Quileute Tribe and Quinault Indian Nation have expressed interest in commencing participation in the Pacific whiting fishery. However, to date, only the Makah Tribe has prosecuted a tribal fishery for Pacific whiting, having harvested Pacific whiting since 1996 using midwater trawl gear. Tribal allocations have been based on discussions with the Tribes regarding their intent for those fishing years. Table 1 below provides a history of U.S. TACs and annual tribal allocation in metric tons (mt).

**TABLE 1—U.S. TOTAL ALLOWABLE CATCH (TAC) AND ANNUAL TRIBAL ALLOCATION IN METRIC TONS (mt)**

Year	U.S. TAC <sup>1</sup> (mt)	Tribal allocation (mt)
2007	242,591	35,000
2008	269,545	35,000
2009	135,939	50,000
2010	193,935	49,939
2011	290,903	66,908
2012	186,037	48,556
2013	269,745	63,205
2014	316,206	55,336
2015	325,072	56,888

TABLE 1—U.S. TOTAL ALLOWABLE CATCH (TAC) AND ANNUAL TRIBAL ALLOCATION IN METRIC TONS (mt)—Continued

Year	U.S. TAC <sup>1</sup> (mt)	Tribal allocation (mt)
2016 .....	367,553	64,322

<sup>1</sup> Beginning in 2012, the United States started using the term Total Allowable Catch, or TAC, based on the Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Canada on Pacific Hake/Whiting. Prior to 2012, the terms Optimal Yield (OY) and Annual Catch Limit (ACL) were used.

In 2009, NMFS, the states of Washington and Oregon, and the Treaty Tribes started a process to determine the long-term tribal allocation for Pacific whiting; however, no long-term allocation has been determined. In order to ensure Treaty Tribes continue to receive allocations, this rule proposes the 2017 tribal allocation of Pacific whiting. This interim allocation is not intended to set precedent for future allocations.

#### Tribal Allocation for 2017

In exchanges between NMFS and the Treaty Tribes during January 2017, the Makah Tribe indicated their intent to participate in the tribal Pacific whiting fishery in 2017, and requested 17.5 percent of the U.S. TAC. The Quileute Tribe and the Quinault Indian Nation indicated that they are not planning to participate in 2017. NMFS proposes a tribal allocation that accommodates the Makah request, specifically 17.5 percent of the U.S. TAC. NMFS believes that the current scientific information regarding the distribution and abundance of the coastal Pacific whiting stock suggests that the 17.5 percent is within the range of the tribal treaty right to Pacific whiting.

The Joint Management Committee, which was established pursuant to the Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Canada on Pacific Hake/Whiting (the Agreement), is anticipated to recommend the coastwide and corresponding U.S./Canada TACs no later than March 25, 2017. The U.S. TAC is 73.88 percent of the coastwide TAC. Until this TAC is set, NMFS cannot propose a specific amount for the tribal allocation. The Pacific whiting fishery typically begins in May, and the final rule establishing the Pacific whiting specifications for 2017 is anticipated to be published by early May. Therefore, in order to provide for public input on the tribal allocation,

NMFS is issuing this proposed rule without the final 2017 TAC. However, to provide a basis for public input, NMFS is describing a range of potential tribal allocations in this proposed rule, applying the proposed approach for determining the tribal allocation to a range of potential TACs derived from past harvest levels.

In order to project a range of potential tribal allocations for 2017, NMFS is applying its proposed approach for determining the tribal allocation to the range of U.S. TACs over the last 10 years, 2007 through 2016 (plus or minus 25 percent to capture variability in stock abundance). The range of U.S. TACs in that time period was 135,939 mt (2009) to 367,553 mt (2016). Applying the 25 percent variability results in a range of potential TACs of 101,954 mt to 459,441 mt for 2017. Therefore, using the proposed allocation rate of 17.5 percent, the potential range of the tribal allocation for 2017 would be between 17,842 and 80,402 mt.

This proposed rule would be implemented under authority of section 305(d) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, which gives the Secretary responsibility to “carry out any fishery management plan or amendment approved or prepared by him, in accordance with the provisions of this Act.” With this proposed rule, NMFS, acting on behalf of the Secretary, would ensure that the FMP is implemented in a manner consistent with treaty rights of four Treaty Tribes to fish in their “usual and accustomed grounds and stations” in common with non-tribal citizens. See *United States v. Washington*, 384 F. Supp. 313 (W.D. 1974).

#### Classification

NMFS has preliminarily determined that the management measures for the 2017 Pacific whiting tribal fishery are consistent with the national standards of the Magnuson-Stevens Act and other applicable laws. In making the final determination, NMFS will take into account the data, views, and comments received during the comment period.

The Office of Management and Budget has determined that this proposed rule is not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

As required by section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) was prepared. The IRFA describes the economic impact this proposed rule, if adopted, would have on small entities. A summary of the analysis follows. A copy of this analysis is available from NMFS.

Under the RFA, the term “small entities” includes small businesses,

small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions. A small organization is any nonprofit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field. Small governmental jurisdictions such as governments of cities, counties, towns, townships, villages, school districts, or special districts are considered small jurisdictions if their populations are less than 50,000 (5 U.S.C. 601). The Small Business Administration has established size criteria for entities involved in the fishing industry (13 CFR 121.201). A wholesale business primarily engaged in servicing the fishing industry is a small business if it employs 100 or fewer persons on a full time, part time, temporary, or other basis, at all its affiliated operations worldwide. A business primarily engaged in seafood processing is a small business if it is independently owned and operated, not dominant in its field of operation, and employs 750 or fewer persons on a full time, part time, temporary, or other basis, at all its affiliated operations worldwide. For purposes of this rulemaking, NMFS is applying the seafood processor standard to catcher processors (C/Ps) because like mothership (MS) processor vessels, Pacific whiting C/Ps earn the majority of the revenue from processed seafood product. For RFA purposes only, NMFS has established a small business size standard for businesses, including their affiliates, whose primary industry is commercial fishing (50 CFR 200.2, December 29, 2015). A business primarily engaged in commercial fishing (NAICS code 11411) is classified by NMFS as a small business if it is independently owned and operated, is not dominant in its field of operation (including affiliates), and has combined annual receipts not in excess of \$11 million for all its affiliated operations worldwide.

This proposed rule would affect how Pacific whiting is allocated to the following sectors/programs: Tribal, Shorebased Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) Program Trawl Fishery, MS Coop Program—Whiting At-sea Trawl Fishery, and C/P Coop Program—Whiting At-sea Trawl Fishery. The amount of Pacific whiting allocated to these sectors is based on the U.S. TAC.

Currently, the Shorebased IFQ Program is composed of 172 Quota Share permits/accounts, 152 vessel accounts, and 44 first receivers, only a portion of which participate in the Pacific whiting fishery, listed below. These regulations also directly affect participants in the MS Coop Program, a general term to describe the limited

access program that applies to eligible harvesters and processors in the MS sector of the Pacific whiting at-sea trawl fishery. The MS Coop program currently consists of six MS processor permits, and a catcher vessel fleet currently composed of a single coop, with 34 Mothership/Catcher Vessel (MS/CV) endorsed permits (with three permits each having two catch history assignments). These regulations also directly affect the C/P Coop Program, composed of 10 C/P endorsed permits owned by three companies that have formed a single coop. These co-ops are considered large entities from several perspectives; they have participants that are large entities, have in total more than 750 employees worldwide including affiliates. Although there are three non-tribal sectors, many companies participate in two sectors and some participate in all three sectors. As part of the permit application processes for the non-tribal fisheries, based on the NMFS and Small Business Administration size criteria described above, permit applicants were asked if they considered themselves a small business, and they are asked to provide detailed ownership information. After accounting for cross participation, multiple QS account holders, and affiliation through ownership, NMFS estimates that there are 103 non-tribal entities directly affected by these proposed regulations, 89 of which are considered small businesses. We also expect one tribal entity to fish in 2017. Tribes are not considered small entities for the purposes of RFA. Impacts to tribes are nevertheless considered in this analysis.

This rule will allocate fish between tribal and non-tribal harvesters (a mixture of small and large businesses). Tribal fisheries consist of a mixture of fishing activities that are similar to the activities that non-tribal fisheries undertake. Tribal harvests may be delivered to both shoreside plants and motherships for processing. These processing facilities also process fish harvested by non-tribal fisheries. The effect of the tribal allocation on non-tribal fisheries will depend on the level of tribal harvests relative to their allocation and the reapportionment process. If the tribes do not harvest their entire allocation, there are opportunities during the year to reapportion unharvested tribal amounts to the non-tribal fleets. For example, in 2016 NMFS reapportioned 34,000 mt of the original 64,322 mt tribal allocation. This reapportionment was based on conversations with the tribes and the best information available at the time,

which indicated that this amount would not limit tribal harvest opportunities for the remainder of the year. In 2016, the tribal Pacific whiting catch was approximately 2,500 mt in a fishery that spanned late August to mid-October. This reapportioning process allows unharvested tribal allocations of Pacific whiting to be fished by the non-tribal fleets, benefitting both large and small entities. Following are the revised Pacific whiting allocations for 2016 after the reapportionment: The Tribal allocation was 30,322 mt; the C/P Coop allocation was 114,149 mt; the MS Coop allocation was 80,575 mt; and the Shorebased IFQ Program allocation was 141,007 mt.

For the years 2011 to 2016, the total Pacific whiting fishery (tribal and non-tribal) averaged harvests of approximately 292,000 mt annually. As the U.S. Pacific whiting TAC has been highly variable during this time, so have harvests and ex-vessel revenues. The prices for Pacific whiting are largely determined by the world market because most of the Pacific whiting harvested in the U.S. is exported.

In the last year for which detailed economic information is available, the MS fleet had \$46.4 million in wholesale revenue, generated \$42 million in income and supported 926 jobs on the west coast from Pacific whiting (2014 Economic Data Collection (EDC) Mothership Report). The C/P fleet, which had \$99.2 million in wholesale revenue in 2014, generated \$142 million in income and supported 1,895 jobs on the west coast from Pacific whiting (2014 Economic Data Collection (EDC) C/P Report). In 2014, eight shoreside Pacific whiting companies processed 61,000 mt of Pacific whiting, for a wholesale revenue of \$71 million.

Impacts to Makah catcher vessels who elect to participate in the tribal fishery are measured with an estimate of ex-vessel revenue. In lieu of more complete information on tribal deliveries, total ex-vessel revenue is estimated with the 2016 average IFQ ex-vessel price of Pacific whiting, which was \$165 per mt. At that price, the proposed 2017 Tribal allocation (potentially 17,842–80,402 mt) would have an ex-vessel value between \$2.9 million and \$13.2 million.

NMFS considered two alternatives for this action: The “No-Action” alternative and the “Proposed Action” alternative. NMFS did not consider a broader range of alternatives to the proposed allocation. The tribal allocation is based primarily on the requests of the tribes. These requests reflect the level of participation in the fishery that will allow them to exercise their treaty right to fish for Pacific whiting. Under the

Proposed Action alternative, NMFS proposes to set the tribal allocation percentage at 17.5 percent, as requested by the tribes. This would yield a tribal allocation of between 17,842 and 80,402 mt for 2017. Consideration of a percentage lower than the tribal request of 17.5 percent is not appropriate in this instance. As a matter of policy, NMFS has historically supported the harvest levels requested by the tribes. Based on the information available to NMFS, the tribal request is within their tribal treaty rights. A higher percentage would arguably also be within the scope of the treaty right. However, a higher percentage would unnecessarily limit the non-tribal fishery.

Under the No-Action alternative, NMFS would not make an allocation to the tribal sector. This alternative was considered, but the regulatory framework provides for a tribal allocation on an annual basis only. Therefore, the no-action alternative would result in no allocation of Pacific whiting to the tribal sector in 2017, which would be inconsistent with NMFS’ responsibility to manage the fishery consistent with the tribes’ treaty rights. Given that there is a tribal request for allocation in 2017, this alternative received no further consideration.

NMFS believes this proposed rule would not adversely affect small entities. The reapportioning process allows unharvested tribal allocations of Pacific whiting to be fished by the non-tribal fleets, benefitting both large and small entities. NMFS has prepared an IRFA and is requesting comments on this conclusion (see **ADDRESSES**).

There are no reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements in the proposed rule.

No Federal rules have been identified that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this action.

Pursuant to Executive Order 13175, this proposed rule was developed after meaningful consultation and collaboration with tribal officials from the area covered by the FMP. Consistent with the Magnuson-Stevens Act at 16 U.S.C. 1852(b)(5), one of the voting members of the Pacific Council is a representative of an Indian tribe with federally recognized fishing rights from the area of the Council’s jurisdiction. In addition, NMFS has coordinated specifically with the tribes interested in the Pacific whiting fishery regarding the issues addressed by this rule.

#### **List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 660**

Fisheries, Fishing, Indian fisheries.

Dated: March 17, 2017.

**Alan D. Risenhoover,**

Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for  
Regulatory Programs, National Marine  
Fisheries Service.

For the reasons set out in the  
preamble, 50 CFR part 660 is proposed  
to be amended as follows:

#### **PART 660—FISHERIES OFF WEST COAST STATES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 660  
continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.* and 16  
U.S.C. 773 *et seq.*, and 16 U.S.C. 7001 *et seq.*

■ 2. In § 660.50, revise paragraph (f)(4)  
to read as follows:

#### **§ 660.50 Pacific Coast treaty Indian fisheries.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(f) \* \* \*

(4) *Pacific whiting.* The tribal  
allocation for 2017 will be 17.5 percent  
of the U.S. TAC.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2017-05758 Filed 3-22-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

#### **50 CFR Part 679**

[Docket No. 161219999-7250-01]

RIN 0648-BG54

#### **Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Integrating Electronic Monitoring Into the North Pacific Observer Program**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries  
Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),  
Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; request for  
comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS proposes regulations to  
implement Amendment 114 to the  
Fishery Management Plan for  
Groundfish of the Bering Sea and  
Aleutian Islands Management Area and  
Amendment 104 to the Fishery  
Management Plan for Groundfish of the  
Gulf of Alaska (GOA), (collectively  
referred to as the FMPs). If approved,  
Amendments 114/104 and this  
proposed rule would integrate  
electronic monitoring (EM) into the  
North Pacific Observer Program. The  
proposed rule would establish a process  
for owners or operators of vessels using  
nontrawl gear to request to participate

in the EM selection pool and the  
requirements for vessel owners or  
operators while in the EM selection  
pool. This action is necessary to  
improve the collection of data needed  
for the conservation, management, and  
scientific understanding of managed  
fisheries. Amendments 114/104 are  
intended to promote the goals and  
objectives of the Magnuson-Stevens  
Fishery Conservation and Management  
Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), the FMPs,  
and other applicable laws.

**DATES:** Comments must be received no  
later than May 22, 2017.

Per section 313 of the Magnuson-  
Stevens Act, NMFS will conduct public  
hearings to accept oral and written  
comments on the proposed rule in  
Oregon, Washington, and Alaska during  
the public comment period.

The first public hearing will be held  
in conjunction with the April meeting of  
the North Pacific Fishery Management  
Council on April 6, 2017, 6 p.m. to 8  
p.m., Alaska local time, at the Hilton  
Hotel, 500 W. 3rd. Ave., Anchorage, AK  
99501.

The second public hearing will be on  
April 18, 2017, 10 a.m. to 12 p.m.,  
Pacific daylight time, at the  
International Pacific Halibut  
Commission Office, 2320 West  
Commodore Way, Suite 300, Seattle,  
WA 98199.

The third public hearing will be held  
on April 19, 2017, 1 p.m. to 3 p.m.,  
Pacific daylight time, at the Hatfield  
Marine Science Center, Lavern Weber  
Room, 2030 SE. Marine Science Drive,  
Newport, OR 97365.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments  
on this document, identified by NOAA-  
NMFS-2016-0154 by any of the  
following methods:

- **Electronic Submission:** Submit all  
electronic public comments via the  
Federal e-Rulemaking Portal. Go to  
[www.regulations.gov/  
#/docketDetail;D=NOAA-NMFS-2016-  
0154](http://www.regulations.gov/#/docketDetail;D=NOAA-NMFS-2016-0154), click the "Comment Now!" icon,  
complete the required fields, and enter  
or attach your comments.

- **Mail:** Submit written comments to  
Glenn Merrill, Assistant Regional  
Administrator, Sustainable Fisheries  
Division, Alaska Region NMFS, Attn:  
Ellen Sebastian. Mail comments to P.O.  
Box 21668, Juneau, AK 99802-1668.

- Submit oral or written comments to  
NMFS at the public hearings listed in  
this proposed rule under **DATES**.

**Instructions:** Comments sent by any  
other method, to any other address or  
individual, or received after the end of  
the comment period, may not be  
considered by NMFS. All comments  
received are a part of the public record

and will generally be posted for public  
viewing on [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov)  
without change. All personal identifying  
information (*e.g.*, name, address),  
confidential business information, or  
otherwise sensitive information  
submitted voluntarily by the sender will  
be publicly accessible. NMFS will  
accept anonymous comments (enter  
"N/A" in the required fields if you wish  
to remain anonymous).

Electronic copies of Amendments  
114/104 and the Draft Environmental  
Assessment/Regulatory Impact Review  
prepared for this action (collectively the  
"Analysis") may be obtained from  
[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

Written comments regarding the  
burden-hour estimates or other aspects  
of the collection-of-information  
requirements contained in this rule may  
be submitted by mail to NMFS at the  
above address; by email to [OIRA  
Submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov); or by fax to  
202-395-5806.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gretchen Harrington or Jennifer Watson,  
907-586-7228.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

NMFS manages the groundfish fisheries in the  
exclusive economic zone under the  
FMPs. The North Pacific Fishery  
Management Council (Council)  
prepared the FMPs under the authority  
of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, 16 U.S.C.  
1801 *et seq.* Regulations governing U.S.  
fisheries and implementing the FMPs  
appear at 50 CFR parts 600 and 679.

Management of the Pacific halibut  
fisheries in and off Alaska is governed  
by an international agreement, the  
Convention Between the United States  
of America and Canada for the  
Preservation of the Halibut Fishery of  
the Northern Pacific Ocean and Bering  
Sea (Convention), which was signed in  
Ottawa, Canada, on March 2, 1953, and  
was amended by the Protocol Amending  
the Convention, signed in Washington,  
DC, on March 29, 1979. The Convention  
is implemented in the United States by  
the Northern Pacific Halibut Act of  
1982.

This proposed rule would implement  
Amendments 114/104 to the FMPs. The  
Council has submitted Amendments  
114/104 for review by the Secretary of  
Commerce, and a Notice of Availability  
(NOA) of these amendments was  
published in the **Federal Register** on  
March 10, 2017, with comments invited  
through May 9, 2017 (82 FR 13302).

This proposed rule and Amendments  
114/104 to the FMPs amend the  
Council's fisheries research plan  
prepared under the authority of section  
313 of the Magnuson-Stevens Act.  
NMFS published regulations