subcommittees, task forces, or working groups to support the Board, and all subcommittees must operate under the provisions of FACa and the Government in the Sunshine Act. Subcommittees will not work independently of the Board and must report all recommendations and advice solely to the Board for full deliberation and discussion. Subcommittees, task forces, or working groups have no authority to make decisions and recommendations, verbally or in writing, on behalf of the Board. No subcommittee or any of its members can update or report, verbally or in writing, directly to the DoD or any Federal officers or employees. The Board’s DFO, pursuant to DoD policy, must be a full-time or permanent part-time DoD employee, and must be in attendance for the duration of each and every Board/subcommittee meeting. The public or interested organizations may submit written statements to the Board membership about the Board’s mission and functions. Such statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned Board meetings. All written statements must be submitted to the Board’s DFO who will ensure the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration.

Aaron Siegel,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Meredith Bachman, 202–245–7494.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Title, Associated Form and OMB Number: DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office Victim-Related Inquiries: DD Form 2985 “Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office Request for SAPRO’s Assistance” and DD Form 2985–1 “Military Feedback Form”; OMB Control Number 0704–XXXX.
Type of Request: New Collection.
Number of Respondents: 33.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 33.
Average Burden per Response: 30 minutes.
Annual Burden Hours: 16.5 hours.
Needs and Uses: To ensure that each victim-related inquiry is answered in a timely manner, the Victim Related Inquiry (VRI) system is used to track and maintain the communication between SAPRO, the inquirer, and the agency that the inquiry is referred to, as well as records documenting permission for SAPRO.
Affected Public: Individuals or households.
Frequency: On occasion.
Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.
Comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be emailed to Ms. Jasmeet Seehra, DoD Desk Officer, at Oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Please identify the proposed information collection by DoD Desk Officer and the Docket ID number and title of the information collection.
You may also submit comments and recommendations, identified by Docket ID number and title, by the following method:
• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, Docket ID number and title for this Federal Register document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. Frederick Licari.
Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Licari at WHS/ESD Directives Division, 4900 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, Suite 03F09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.
Aaron Siegel,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
[Docket No. ED–2017–ICCD–0046]
Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; Impact Evaluation of Parent Messaging Strategies on Student Attendance

AGENCY: Institute of Education Sciences (IES), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department’s information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comments addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the
Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

**Title of Collection:** Impact Evaluation of Parent Messaging Strategies on Student Attendance.

**OMB Control Number:** 1850–NEW.

**Type of Review:** A new information collection.

**Respondents/Affected Public:** State, Local, and Tribal Governments; Individuals or Households.

**Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:** 2,195.

**Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:** 655.

**Abstract:** Most school districts have policies in place to systematically address student absenteeism, which remains a considerable problem across grade levels in many parts of the country. Typical attendance practices include parent notification by letters, phone calls, parent meetings, home visitation, and, for students with significant numbers of absences, referrals to truancy programs and family courts. Such practices can be costly.

Text messaging interventions are becoming increasingly popular—in fields such as public health and prevention—due to their low cost, scalability, and evidence of impact. School districts have increased capacity to use technology to implement messaging interventions. Thus, an evaluation to determine whether a text messaging intervention can improve student attendance in a cost effective manner is warranted.

To our knowledge, this project is the first multidistrict random assignment study of the impact of a text messaging intervention for parents on student attendance and achievement. In addition, consistent with the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015 (Pub. L. 113–235), which provides the legislative authority to conduct this study, this study will focus on low-performing schools with high levels of poverty and student absenteeism.

**Dated:** March 28, 2017.

**Kate Mullan,** Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Office of the Chief Privacy Officer, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2017–06369 Filed 3–30–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

**Docket No.: ED–2017–ICCD–0045**

**Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; Impact Evaluation of Academic Language Intervention**

**AGENCY:** Institute of Education Sciences (IES), Department of Education (ED).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, ED is proposing a new information collection.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 30, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use http://www.regulations.gov by searching the Docket ID number ED–2017–ICCD–0045. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ Room 224–84, Washington, DC 20202–4537.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Tracy Rindzius, 202–245–7283.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department’s information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

**Title of Collection:** Impact Evaluation of Academic Language Intervention.

**OMB Control Number:** 1850–NEW.

**Type of Review:** A new information collection.

**Respondents/Affected Public:** State, Local, and Tribal Governments; Individuals or Households.

**Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:** 546.

**Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:** 494.

**Abstract:** The purpose of the Impact Evaluation of Academic Language Intervention is to assess the impact of a promising academic language intervention on teachers’ instructional practice and students’ language and reading skills, with a particular focus on students who are English Learners (ELs) and disadvantaged non-EL students. Although prior studies of academic language instruction provide some initial evidence of the efficacy of instructional practices, confirmation of large-scale effectiveness is needed. This evaluation will contribute to the knowledge base of the instructional practices that improve language and literacy outcomes for these high need populations.

This submission covers data collection for the baseline period prior to implementing the selected academic language intervention, during the implementation year (the 2017–18 school year), and a follow-up year (spring 2019). The evaluation will examine the implementation and impact of an academic language program, using a random assignment design in which participating schools in each district are randomly assigned to a treatment group whose 4th and 5th grade teachers receive training and materials to implement the program or to a control group whose teachers do not. This