Facility Operating License No. DPR–72: This amendment revised the License.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: November 10, 2015 (80 FR 69711).

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 5, 2016.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.


Date of amendment request: March 3, 2016, as supplemented by letter dated June 7, 2016.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment approves the implementation of an alternate repair criteria (ARC) called C-star, for the portion of the steam generator (SG) tubes within the cold-leg tubesheet. In addition, the amendment clarifies the intent and improves the wording of the technical specifications regarding the previously incorporated ARC for the hot-leg side of the SG’s tubesheet. This was previously approved by letter dated May 31, 2007, and Amendment No. 225.

Date of issuance: December 19, 2016.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment No.: 261. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML16307A013; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–57 and NPF–5: Amendments revised the Renewed Facility Operating Licenses and TSs.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: February 16, 2016 (81 FR 7841). The supplemental letter dated April 11, 2016, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff’s original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the Federal Register.

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 19, 2016.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Tennessee Valley Authority, Docket No. 50–390, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN), Unit 1, Rhea County, Tennessee.

Date of amendment request: February 23, 2016, as supplemented by letter dated July 22, 2016.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment approved revisions to the WBN Dual Unit Fire Protection Report and revised the associated License Condition regarding the WBN fire protection program.

Date of issuance: December 12, 2016.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

Amendment No.: 108. A publicly-available version is in ADAMS under Accession No. ML16307A013; documents related to this amendment are listed in the Safety Evaluation enclosed with the amendment.

Facility Operating License No. NPF–90: Amendment revised the Facility Operating License.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: May 10, 2016 (81 FR 28901). The supplemental letter dated July 22, 2016, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff’s original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination as published in the

Federal Register.

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 12, 2016.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of December 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

George A. Wilson,
Deputy Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2016–31813 Filed 12–30–16; 8:45 am]
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II. Contents of Filing

The Postal Service’s filing consists of the Notice, two attachments, and redacted and unredacted versions of an Excel file with supporting financial workpapers. Notice at 2. Attachment 1 is an application for non-public treatment of material filed under seal with the Commission. Id. Attachment 2 is a redacted copy of the Agreement. Id.

The Postal Service states the intended effective date of the Agreement is February 1, 2017; asserts it is providing at least the 45 days advance notice required under 39 CFR 3010.41; and identifies the parties to the Agreement as the United States Postal Service and Australia Post, the designated postal operator for Australia. The Postal Service states that the Agreement includes: A process for collecting tracking events associated with small packets with delivery scanning sent from Australia to the United States; the establishment of improved accounting and settlement processes for small packets with delivery scanning; sortation recommendations; and additional data exchange requirements and measurement objectives. Notice at 4–5.

Reporting requirements. Rule 3010.43 requires the Postal Service to submit a detailed data collection plan. In lieu of a special data collection plan for the Agreement, the Postal Service proposes to report information on the Agreement through the Annual Compliance Report. Id. at 7. The Postal Service also invokes, with respect to service performance measurement reporting under 39 CFR 3055.3(a)(3), the standing exception the Commission allowed in Order No. 996 for all agreements filed in the Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product grouping.

Consistency with applicable statutory criteria. The Postal Service observes that Commission review of a negotiated service agreement addresses three statutory criteria under 39 U.S.C. 3622(c)(10), as identified in 39 CFR 3010.40, i.e., whether the agreement: (1) Improves the Postal Service’s net financial position or enhances the performance of operational functions; (2) will not cause unreasonable harm to the marketplace; and (3) will be available on public and reasonable terms to similarly situated mailers. Id. The Postal Service asserts that it addresses the first two criteria in its Notice and that the third is inapplicable, as there are no entities similarly situated to Australia Post in terms of its ability to tender small packet with delivery scanning flows from Australia or serve as the designated operator for letter post originating in Australia. Id. at 7–8.

Functional equivalence. The Postal Service addresses reasons why it considers the Agreement functionally equivalent to the China Post 2010 Agreement filed in Docket No. R2010–6. The Postal Service identifies differences between the Agreement and the baseline agreement but asserts that these differences do not detract from the conclusion that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement. Notice at 9–11.

III. Commission Action


The Commission appoints Katalin K. Clendenin to represent the interests of the general public (Public Representative) in this docket.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Katalin K. Clendenin is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

3. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than January 5, 2017.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–31770 Filed 12–30–16; 8:45 am]
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