
Stanley F. Mires, Attorney, Federal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–07424 Filed 4–12–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Express Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Products List.

DATES: Effective date: April 13, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.


Stanley F. Mires, Attorney, Federal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–07425 Filed 4–12–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—First-Class Package Service Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Products List.

DATES: Effective date: April 13, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.


Stanley F. Mires, Attorney, Federal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–07426 Filed 4–12–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE MKT LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Change Amending Its Price List

April 7, 2017.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) 1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) 2 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 3 notice is hereby given that, on March 28, 2017, NYSE MKT LLC (the “Exchange” or “NYSE MKT”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to provide that the monthly DMM credit for certain securities will be prorated to the number of trading days in a month that a security is assigned to a DMM. The Exchange proposes to implement this change to its Price List effective March 29, 2017. The proposed change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’sStatement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Price List to provide that the monthly DMM credit for certain securities will be prorated to the number of trading days in a month that a security is assigned to a DMM. The proposed change is the same as that adopted by the Exchange’s affiliate New York Stock Exchange LLC for its DMMs.4

The proposed changes would apply to both credits in transactions in securities priced $1.00 or more and those priced below $1.00.

---

The Exchange proposes to implement this change to its Price List effective March 29, 2017.

Currently, DMMs are eligible for a monthly credit of $100 for each security whose consolidated average daily volume or CADV during the current month is less than 50,000 shares per day and for which the DMM has met its 10% quoting requirement in that month. The flat dollar credit supplements the DMM credit in securities that do not trade actively and is applicable to all Exchange-listed securities regardless of price.

The Exchange proposes to revise its Price List to provide that the rebate would be prorated to the number of trading days in a month that a stock is assigned to a DMM. The Exchange believes prorating the rebate to the number of trading days that a stock is assigned to a DMM would ensure that the monthly rebate has a nexus to the time for which a DMM has affirmative obligations for that stock pursuant to Rule 104—Equities. For example, if a stock is assigned to more than one DMM unit within a month, such as when a stock is transferred temporarily from one DMM to another and then returned to the original DMM, the Exchange does not believe that it is appropriate that both DMMs that were assigned that stock in a given month should both be eligible for the full monthly rebate.

Similarly, if a stock begins trading at the Exchange mid-month, because of an initial public offering or transfer of a listed security from another exchange, the Exchange does not believe it is appropriate for a DMM to receive a full monthly credit. For example, in a month with 20 trading days, assume a less active security transfers from DMM 1 to DMM 2 after the 15th trading day. The DMM monthly rebate would be prorated for the two DMM firms as follows: DMM 1 would be rebated $75 (15 assigned trading days/20 trading days in the month × $100) and DMM 2 would be rebated $25 (5 assigned trading days/20 trading days in the month × $100).

The Exchange believes that prorating the rebate for the number of trading days in a month that a stock is assigned to a DMM is appropriate and would ensure that the DMM with responsibility for the stock receives the appropriate rebate for the responsibilities performed for that symbol in the month.5

The proposed changes are not otherwise intended to address any other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of any problems that member organizations would have in complying with the proposed change.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,6 in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act.7 In particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers.

The Exchange believes that the proposed prorating of the monthly rebate is reasonable because it would provide a nexus between the rebate paid to a DMM and the number of days that a DMM has been assigned a stock. The Exchange therefore believes that the proposed prorating of the monthly rebate is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it directly ties the monthly rebate to the number of trading days for which a DMM has regulatory responsibility for a stock pursuant to Rule 104—Equities. The Exchange also believes that the proposed prorating is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all DMMs would be treated the same.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange’s statement regarding the burden on competition.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,8 the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, the Exchange believes that the proposed change would not burden competition because it would be applicable to DMMs only and ensures that an existing rebate is associated more closely with when a DMM is assigned a stock, which may be shorter than a full month.

The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees and credits in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. As a result of all of these considerations, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of member organizations or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)9 of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–410 thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)11 of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

5 The Exchange also proposes a non-substantive change to the heading “Fees and Credits applicable to Designated Market Makers on Transactions in Securities with a Per Share Price of $1.00 or more” to capitalize the letter “v” in “applicable.”


IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEMKT–2017–18 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEMKT–2017–18. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEMKT–2017–18, and should be submitted on or before May 4, 2017.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Notice of Filing of Advance Notice To Address and Update Practices and Policies With Respect to the Credit Risk Rating Matrix and Make Other Changes

April 7, 2017.

Pursuant to Section 806(e)(1) of Title VIII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act entitled the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010 (“Clearing Supervision Act”) and Rule 19b–4(n)(1)(i) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), notice is hereby given that on March 22, 2017, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the advance notice SR–DTC–2017–801 (“Advance Notice”) as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by DTC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the Advance Notice from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Advance Notice

This Advance Notice consists of proposed modifications to DTC’s Rules, By-Laws and Organization Certificate (“Rules”). The proposed rule change would amend Rules 1 and 2 in order to (i) address and update DTC’s practices and policies with respect to the existing matrix (hereinafter referred to as the “Credit Risk Rating Matrix” or “CRRM”), which was, as described in an earlier DTC rule filing, developed by DTC to assign a credit rating to certain Participants (“CRRM-Rated Participants”) by evaluating the risks posed by CRRM-Rated Participants to DTC and its Participants from providing services to these CRRM-Rated Participants and (ii) make other amendments to the Rules to provide more transparency and clarity regarding DTC’s current ongoing membership monitoring process.

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Advance Notice

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the Advance Notice and discussed any comments it received on the Advance Notice. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A and B below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Comments on the Advance Notice Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments relating to this proposal have not been solicited or received. DTC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by DTC.

(B) Advance Notice Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Nature of the Proposed Change

The proposed rule change would amend Rules 1 and 2 in order to (i) address and update DTC’s practices and policies with respect to the CRRM and (ii) provide more transparency and clarity regarding DTC’s current membership monitoring process. In this regard, the proposed rule change would (i) add proposed definitions for the terms “Credit Risk Rating Matrix” and “Watch List” to Rule 1 (Definitions), as discussed below and (ii) amend Rule 2 (Participants and Pledgees) to (A) clarify a provision in Section 1 relating to the types of information a Participant must provide to DTC upon DTC’s request for the Participant to demonstrate its

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 53655 (April 14, 2006) 71 FR 20942 (April 20, 2006) (SR–DTC–2006–03) (order of the Commission) approving a proposed rule change (“2006 Rule Change”) of DTC to amend the criteria used by DTC to place Participants on surveillance status, including, but not limited to DTC’s application of the CRRM and the placement of lower rated CRRM-Rated Participants on an internal list in order to be monitored more closely (“Watch List”).