

instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department intends to issue the appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 41 days after the date of publication of these final results of review, in accordance with 19 CFR 356.8(a).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of these final results for all shipments of citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for JBL Canada will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a completed prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 23.21 percent, the all-others rate established in the *Order*. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), this notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction

of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We intend to issue and publish these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: April 13, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-07805 Filed 4-17-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-913]

Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 14, 2016, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires (OTR Tires) from People's Republic of China (PRC). This review covers 47 companies, only two of which were selected as mandatory respondents: Guizhou Tyre Co., Ltd. (Guizhou Tyre) and Xuzhou Xugong Tyres Co. Ltd. (Xuzhou Xugong). The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. Based on an analysis of the comments received, the Department has made changes to the subsidy rates that were preliminary determined for Guizhou Tyre and Xuzhou Xugong. The final subsidy rates are listed in the "Final Results of Administrative Review" section below.

DATES: Effective April 18, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chien-Min Yang or Jun Jack Zhao, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5484 or (202) 482-1396.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the scope are new pneumatic tires designed for off-the-road (OTR) and off-highway use. The subject merchandise is currently

classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4011.20.10.25, 4011.20.10.35, 4011.20.50.30, 4011.20.50.50, 4011.70.0010, 4011.62.00.00, 4011.80.1020, 4011.90.10, 4011.70.0050, 4011.80.1010, 4011.80.1020, 4011.80.2010, 4011.80.2020, 4011.80.8010, and 4011.80.8020. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope, which is contained in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, is dispositive.¹

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues raised by Guizhou Tyre, Xuzhou Xugong, Tianjin United Tire & Rubber International Co., Ltd. (TUTRIC), the Government of the People's Republic of China (GOC), and Titan Tire Corporation (Titan) and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO-CLC (the USW) (collectively, the petitioners) in their case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The issues are identified in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

The Department published the preliminary results of this administrative review of OTR Tires from

¹ For a full description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results in the Countervailing Duty Review of Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from the People's Republic of China; 2014," dated concurrently with this notice and herein incorporated by reference (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

² *Id.*

PRC on October 14, 2016.³ Based on the comments received from all interested parties, we made revisions to some of our benchmark and benefit calculations for both Guizhou Tyre and Xuzhou Xugong. For a discussion of these issues, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁴ For a description of the methodology underlying all of the Department's conclusions, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Administrative Review

In accordance with section 777A(e)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we determine the total net countervailable subsidy rates for the period January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014 for each of the mandatory respondents, Guizhou Tyre and Xuzhou Xugong. For the non-selected respondents, we followed the Department's practice, which is to base the subsidy rates on an average of the subsidy rates calculated for those companies selected for individual review, excluding *de minimis* rates or rates based on entirely on adverse facts available.⁵ In this case, we assigned to the non-selected respondents the simple average of the rates calculated for Guizhou Tyre and Xuzhou Xugong. We are using a simple, rather than a weighted, average due to inconsistent units of measure in the publicly ranged quantity and value data provided by Guizhou Tyre and Xuzhou Xugong. For a list of the non-selected companies, please see Appendix II.

We find the countervailable subsidy rates for the producers/exporters under review to be as follows:

³ See *Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 2014, 81 FR 71056 (October 14, 2016) (*Preliminary Results*).

⁴ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and, section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

⁵ See, e.g., *Certain Pasta from Italy: Preliminary Results of the 13th (2008) Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 18806, 18811 (April 13, 2010) unchanged in *Certain Pasta from Italy: Final Results of the 13th (2008) Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 37386 (June 29, 2010).

Manufacturer/exporter	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Guizhou Tyre Co., Ltd	34.46
Xuzhou Xugong Tyres Co., Ltd	46.01
Non Selected Companies	40.24

Assessment and Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), the Department intends to issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after publication of the final results of this review. The Department will instruct CBP to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by the companies listed above, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption from January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014, at the percent rates, as listed above for each of the respective companies, of the entered value.

The Department intends also to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties, in the amounts shown above for each of the respective companies shown above, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most-recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. Accordingly, the cash deposit requirements that will be applied to companies covered by this order, but not examined in this administrative review, are those established in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for each company. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 12, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Investigation
- IV. Subsidies Valuation Information
 - A. Allocation Period
 - B. Attribution of Subsidies
 - C. Denominator
 - D. Creditworthiness
- V. Benchmarks and Discount Rates
- VI. Analysis of Programs
 - A. Programs Determined To Be Countervailable
 - B. Programs Determined To Be Not Used
 - C. Programs Determined To Provide No Benefit During the POR
- VII. Analysis of Comments
 - Comment 1: Whether to Make Changes in LTAR Calculations
 - Comment 2: Whether to Change the Benchmark for Synthetic Rubber
 - Comment 3: Whether Brokerage and Handling Costs Should be Included in LTAR Benchmarks for Nylon Cord and Carbon Black
 - Comment 4: Whether the Department Should Continue To Rely on a Tier 2 Benchmark for Nylon Cord
 - Comment 5: Whether the "Well-Know Brand Reward" Benefit Should be Calculated Using Xuzhou Xugong's Total Sales or Export Sales
 - Comment 6: Whether the Department Should Make Changes to Land Purchases From Affiliates and Calculate a Benefit for Land Parcel #7 for Guizhou Tyre
 - Comment 7: Whether the VAT and Import Duty Exemptions Should be Countervailable
 - Comment 8: The Sales Denominator Used To Calculate Guizhou Tyre and Xuzhou Xugong
 - Comment 9: Whether to Countervail Additional Grants to Guizhou Tyre
 - Comment 10: Whether the Department Should Find the Export Buyer's Credit Program Used in This Case
- VIII. Conclusion

Appendix II—Companies Not Selected for This Review

1. Air Sea Transport Inc.
2. Beijing Kang Jie Kong Intl Cargo Agent Co Ltd.
3. C&D Intl Freight Forward Inc.
4. Caesar Intl Logistics Co Ltd.
5. CD Intl Freight Forwarding.
6. Cheng Shin Rubber (Xiamen) Ind Ltd.
7. China Intl Freight Co Ltd.
8. Chonche Auto Double Happiness Tyre Corp Ltd.
9. City Ocean Logistics Co Ltd.
10. Consolidator Intl Co Ltd.
11. CTS Intl Logistics Corp.

12. De Well Container Shipping Inc.
13. England Logistics (Qingdao) Co Ltd.
14. Extra Type Co Ltd.
15. Fedex International Freight Forwarding Services Shanghai Co Ltd.
16. FG Intl Logistic Ltd.
17. JHJ Intl Transportation Co.
18. Kendra Rubber (China) Co Ltd.
19. Landmax Intl Co Ltd.
20. Orient Express Container Co Ltd.
21. Pudong Prime Intl Logistics Inc.
22. Qingdao Aotai Rubber Co Ltd.
23. Qingdao Chengtai Handtruck Co Ltd.
24. Qingdao Chuangtong Founding Co Ltd.
25. Qingdao Ftz Full-World Intl Trading Co Ltd.
26. Qingdao Haomai Hongyi Mold Co Ltd.
27. Qingdao Kaoyoung Intl Logistics Co Ltd.
28. Qingdao Milestone Tyres Co Ltd.
29. Qingdao Nexten Co Ltd.
30. Qingdao Wonderland.
31. Schenker China Ltd.
32. SGL Logistics South China Ltd.
33. Shanghai Grand South Intl Transportation Co Ltd.
34. Shanghai Hua Shen Imp & Exp Co Ltd.
35. Shanghai Part-Rich Auto Parts Co Ltd.
36. Thi Group (Shanghai) Ltd.
37. Tianjin United Tire & Rubber International Co., Ltd.
38. Toll Global Forwarding China Ltd.
39. Translink Shipping Inc.
40. Trelleborg Wheel Systems Hebei Co.
41. Universal Shipping Inc.
42. UTI China Ltd.
43. Weiss-Rohlig China Co Ltd.
44. World Bridge Logistics Co Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2017-07807 Filed 4-17-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Large Pelagic Fishing Survey.

OMB Control Number: 0648-0380.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Request: Regular (extension of a currently approved information collection).

Number of Respondents: 15,024.

Average Hours per Response: 11 minutes for a telephone interview; 5 minutes for a dockside interview; 1½ minutes to respond to a follow-up validation call for dockside interviews; 1 minute for a biological sampling of catch.

Burden Hours: 3,608.

Needs and Uses: This request is for extension of a currently approved information collection. The Large Pelagic Fishing Survey consists of dockside and telephone surveys of recreational anglers for large pelagic fish (tunas, sharks, and billfish) in the Atlantic Ocean. The survey provides the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) with information to monitor catch of bluefin tuna, marlin and other federally managed species. Catch monitoring in these fisheries and collection of catch and effort statistics for all pelagic fish is required under the Atlantic Tunas Convention Act and the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. The information collected is essential for the United States (U.S.) to meet its reporting obligations to the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tuna.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: Annually, weekly or on occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

This information collection request may be viewed at reginfo.gov. Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov or fax to (202) 395-5806.

Dated: April 12, 2017.

Sarah Brabson,

NOAA PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017-07756 Filed 4-17-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DOD-2017-OS-0016]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary of Defense, DoD.

ACTION: Rescindment of a System of Records notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Secretary of Defense is rescinding a system of records, DPR 31, Personal Commercial Solicitation Evaluation. These files document service member experiences with sales representatives soliciting on DoD installations.

DATES: Comments will be accepted on or before May 18, 2017. This proposed

action will be effective the date following the end of the comment period unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination. The specific date when this system ceased to be a Privacy Act System of Records is unknown.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

* *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

* *Mail:* Department of Defense, Office of the Deputy Chief Management Officer, Directorate for Oversight and Compliance, 4800 Mark Center Drive, Mailbox #24, Suite 08D09B, Alexandria, VA 22350-1700.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To submit general questions about the rescinded system, please contact Mrs. Luz D. Ortiz, Chief, Records, Privacy and Declassification Division (RPDD), 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155, or by phone at (571) 372-0478.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Based on a recent program review, it was determined that these records are presently retrieved by company and sales representative name, rather than the personal identifier of the service member.

The Office of the Secretary systems of records notices subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** or at the Defense Privacy, Civil Liberties and Transparency Division Web site at <http://defense.gov/privacy>.

The proposed rescindment is not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of a new or altered system report.

SYSTEM NAME AND NUMBER:

Personal Commercial Solicitation Evaluation, DPR 31.

HISTORY:

July 19, 2006, 71 FR 41000.