regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction. This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 2, 2017.

Gary Taverman,
Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–904]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain activated carbon from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) for the period of review (POR) April 1, 2015, through March 31, 2016. The Department selected two companies, Jacobi Carbons AB and Datong Juqi Genuine Activated Carbon Co., as mandatory respondents for individual examination. The Department preliminarily finds that subject merchandise has been sold in the United States at prices below normal value (NV) during the POR. The Department invites interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Palmer or John Anwesen, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9068, or (202) 482–0131, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Scope of the Order
The merchandise subject to the order is certain activated carbon. The products are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 3802.10.00.1 Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
Based on an analysis of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) information, and no shipment certifications submitted by Calgon Carbon (Tianjin) Co., Ltd., Shanxi Dapu International Trade Co., Ltd., and Sinoacarbon International Trading Co., Ltd., the Department preliminarily determines that these companies had no shipments during the POR. For additional information regarding this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology
The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). We calculated constructed export prices and export prices in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a NME within the meaning of Section 771(f)(18) of the Act, NV has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is available at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Verification
As provided in sections 782(i)(3)(A)–(B) of the Act, we intend to verify the information upon which we will rely in determining our final results of review with respect to the two mandatory respondents, Jacobi Carbons AB and Datong Juqi Genuine Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. Preliminary Results of the Review
The Department preliminarily finds that 186 companies for which a review was requested did not establish eligibility for a separate rate because they either failed to provide a separate rate application or separate rate certification (SRC). As such, we preliminarily determine that these 186 companies are part of the PRC-wide entity. While Ningxia Guanghua Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (Guanghua) submitted a timely SRC, it did not have any sales to the United States. Additionally, we note that Guanghua is a single entity with Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. See “Separate Rates” section of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum; see also, Preliminary Decision Memorandum at Attachment 1 for a complete list of the 186 companies which were not found eligible for a separate rate.

Because no interested party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity and the Department no longer considers the PRC-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we did not conduct a review of the PRC-wide entity.

Thus, the rate for the PRC-wide entity is not subject to change as a result of this review. See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963, 65969–70 (November 4, 2013). The PRC-wide entity rate of 2.42 U.S. dollars per kilogram was last reviewed in Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2012–2013, 79 FR 70163 (November 25, 2014).
Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties no later than ten days after the date of the public announcement of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Because, as noted above, the Department intends to verify the information upon which we will rely in making our final determination, interested parties may submit written comments in the form of case briefs within one week after the issuance of the last verification report and rebuttal comments in the form of rebuttal briefs within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.7 Rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs.8 Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument: 1) a statement of the issue; 2) a brief summary of the argument; and 3) a table of authorities.9

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs.10 If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a date and time to be determined.11 Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

All submissions, with limited exceptions, must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully within its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) on the due date. Documents excepted from the electronic submission requirements must be filed manually (e.g., in paper form) with the APO/Dockets Unit in Room 18022 and stamped with the date and time of receipt by 5 p.m. ET on the due date. Unless otherwise extended, the Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.12 The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. For any individually examined respondent whose (estimated) ad valorem weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, the Department will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales and the total quantity of those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).13 The Department will

For companies’ subject to this review that have established their eligibility for a separate rate, the Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR from April 1, 2015, through March 31, 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (U.S. dollars per kilogram)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jacobi Carbons AB</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinli Bright Future Chemicals Company, Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningxia Mineral and Chemical Limited</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaxi Tianxi Purification Filter Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tancarb Activated Carbon Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Majin Industries Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
also calculate (estimated) ad valorem importer-specific assessment rates with which to assess whether the per-unit assessment rate is de minimis. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific ad valorem assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is not zero or de minimis. Where either the respondent’s ad valorem weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific ad valorem assessment rate is zero or de minimis,\(^{14}\) we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales data submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the rate for the PRC-wide entity.\(^{15}\) Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that exporter’s cash deposit rate) will be liquidated at the rate for the PRC-wide entity.\(^{16}\)

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, the final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated antidumping duties, where applicable.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For each specific company listed in the final results of review, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if the ad valorem rate is de minimis, then the cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have received a separate rate in the completed segment of this proceeding for the most recent period, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific cash deposit rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR.

Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: May 1, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum:

1. Summary
2. Background
   a. Initiation
   b. Respondent Selection
   c. Scope of the Order
3. Discussion of the Methodology
   a. Preliminary Finding of No Shipments
   b. Non-Market Economy Country
   c. Separate Rates
   d. Weighted-Average Dumping Margin for Non-Examined Separate Rate Companies
   e. Surrogate Country and Surrogate Value
   f. Facts Available for Normal Value
   g. Date of Sale
   h. Comparisons to Normal Value
      i. U.S. Price
      j. Normal Value
      k. Currency Conversion
   4. Recommendation
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Call for Industrial Wireless Testbed Participation

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), an agency of the United States Department of Commerce, announces an opportunity for industrial wireless communications equipment suppliers and academic institutions to incorporate their use cases, techniques, and equipment into a NIST Industrial Wireless Testbed to help advance measurement science research in industrial wireless communication, with special emphasis on manufacturing applications.

DATES: The deadline for responding to this opportunity is June 30, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Applications to participate may be submitted in one of two ways.

• By sending an email to iwslab@nist.gov.

• By written request: National Institute of Standards and Technology
ATTN: Richard Candell, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 8230, Gaithersburg, MD 20899–8615.

Please direct media inquiries to NIST’s Office of Public Affairs at 301–975–2762.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Candell, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, MS 8230, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, 301–975–4287, email: iwslab@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As part of the NIST Wireless Systems for Industrial Environments project, NIST has constructed a hardware-in-the-loop Industrial Wireless Testbed that includes a radio frequency channel emulator used to recreate the factory radio environment, and simulated and real factory processes, controls, and equipment. The emulator which is an Intellegent Automation Inc. D–508 emulator supports up to eight (8) devices. Industrial wireless communication devices are connected to the emulator, and measurement methods to assess the impacts of various types of wireless systems on the performance of simulated factory operations will be developed and applied. Participants will include researchers from industry and academia interested in supporting the industrial