

ATC Materials, Inc.), Westlake, OH; McLaughlin Research Corporation, New London, CT; Spatial Integrated Systems, Inc., Virginia Beach, VA; Advanced Acoustic Concepts, LLC, Hauppauge, NY; ENSCO, Inc., Falls Church, VA; Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ; American Lightweight Materials and Manufacturing Innovation Institute (ALMMII), Detroit, MI; HRL Laboratories, LLC, Malibu, CA; Kearfott Corporation, Little Falls, NJ; SkyBridge Tactical, Tampa, FL; MIKEL, Inc., Fall River, MA; Teledyne Scientific & Imaging, LLC, Thousand Oaks, CA; Honeybee Robotics, Ltd., Brooklyn, NY; Metadyne Inc. (dba Towanda Metadyne Inc), Towanda, PA; Barber-Nichols Inc., Arvada, CO; Fraser Optics LLC, Feasterville-Trevoze, PA; Advanced Technology and Research Corporation, Columbia, MD; Sonalysts, Inc., Waterford, CT; The University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MI; nanoMetallix LLC, Saint Louis, MO; Houston Mechatronics, Inc., Webster, TX; Davis Defense Group, Inc., Stafford, VA; Sheltered Wings, Inc. dba Vortex Optics, Middleton, WI; Curtiss-Wright Electro-Mechanical Corporation, Cheswick, PA; Hodges Transportation Inc., dba Nevada Automotive Test Center, Silver Spring, NV; CRM Solutions Inc., Huntsville, AL; Thompson Gray Inc., Huntsville, AL; Oshkosh Defense LLC, Oshkosh, WI; Riverside Research Institute, New York, NY; EOS Defense Systems, Inc., Tucson, AZ; Invariant Corporation, Huntsville, AL; Choctaw Defense Manufacturing LLC, McAlester, OK; and TS2, LLC, Whiteford, MD, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, CIRTEMO, LLC, Cayce, SC; Hydrossoft International, Livermore, CA; and Doolittle Institute, Inc., Fort Walton Beach, FL, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and NAC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 2, 2000, NAC filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 30, 2000 (65 FR 40693).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 3, 2017. A notice was published in the **Federal**

Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 6, 2017 (82 FR 12638).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2017-09617 Filed 5-11-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Spectrum Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on April 10, 2017, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), National Spectrum Consortium (“NSC”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, AuresTech, Inc., Tewksbury, MA; Motorola Solutions, Inc., Chicago, IL; Radiance Technologies, Inc., Huntsville, AL; OpenJAUS, LLC, Lake Mary, FL; JRC Integrated Systems, Inc., Washington, DC; Samsung Research America, Inc., Mountain View, CA; DynamicSignals LLC, Lockport, IL; AASKI Technology, Inc., Tinton Falls, NJ; and Warrior Support Solutions, LLC, Hollis, NH, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and NSC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 24, 2014, NSC filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 4, 2014 (72 FR 65424).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 3, 2017. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 6, 2017 (82 FR 12637).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2017-09619 Filed 5-11-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1110-0050]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension Without Change, of a Previously Approved Collection; FBI National Academy: End-of Session Student Course Questionnaire; FBI National Academy: General Remarks Questionnaire

AGENCY: Bureau of Justice Statistics, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Training Division’s Curriculum Management Section (CMS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until July 11, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Keith Shirley, Unit Chief, Evaluation and Assessment Unit, Training Division, FBI Academy, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Quantico, Virginia 22135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and