DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–201–830]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 16, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod (wire rod) from Mexico. The period of review (POR) is October 1, 2014, through September 30, 2015. The Department covered the POR.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod (wire rod) from Mexico. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 7213.91.3010, 7213.91.3090, 7213.91.4510, 7213.91.4590, 7213.91.6010, 7213.91.6090, 7213.99.0031, 7213.99.0038, 7213.99.0090, 7227.20.0010, 7227.20.0020, 7227.20.0090, 7227.20.0095, 7227.90.6051, 7227.90.6053, 7227.90.6058, and 7227.90.6059. Although the HTS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this proceeding are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is public.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we applied total adverse facts available (AFA) to Deacero and assigned it the highest margin alleged in the petition, i.e., 40.52 percent, as Deacero’s AFA rate. These changes are fully discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the following margin exists for the POR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer/exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deacero S.A.P.I. de C.V</td>
<td>40.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final Determination of No Shipments

As stated in the Preliminary Results, AMLT reported that it made no sales of subject merchandise during the POR. We received no comments from interested parties with respect to the Department’s preliminary determination of no shipments for AMLT, and we continue to determine that AMLT had no reviewable transactions during the POR. As noted in the “Assessment Rates” section below, the Department intends to issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for AMLT based on the final results of this review.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the

See Preliminary Results, 81 FR at 80639, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 2.
final results of this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

The Department will instruct CBP to apply an ad valorem assessment rate of 40.52 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by Deacero. Additionally, because the Department determined that AMLT had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that company’s case number (i.e., at that company’s rate) will be liquidated at the all-others rate effective during the period of review.7

Cash Deposit Requirements
The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for Deacero will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 20.11 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.8 These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers
This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order
This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).


Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I
List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum
I. Summary
II. Background
III. Use of Adverse Facts Available
IV. List of Comments
Comment 1: Whether the Department Should Apply AFA to Deacero
Comment 2: Whether the Department Should Reject Deacero’s Adjustment to its Billet Costs
Comment 3: Whether the Department Should Recalculate Mid Continent’s General and Administrative Expense (G&A) Rate
Comment 4: Whether the Department Should Reject Deacero’s Residual Values
Comment 5: Whether the Department Should Use the Average-to-Average Method
Comment 6: Clerical Error Allegations
VII. Recommendation
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States. Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before June 12, 2017. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 16–024. Applicant: The Hormel Institute, 801 16th Avenue NE., Austin, MN 55912. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to study biological samples such as human and animal normal and cancer cells, as well as to study protein-protein interactions and protein-compounds interactions. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 17, 2017.

Docket Number: 16–025. Applicant: The Hormel Institute, 801 16th Avenue NE., Austin, MN 55912. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to study biological samples such as human and animal normal and cancer cells, as well as to study protein-protein interactions and protein-compounds interactions. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 17, 2017.

Docket Number: 17–003. Applicant: Arizona State University, 550 E. Tyler Mall, PFP 470, Tempe, AZ 85287–1504. Instrument: Laser-lithography system for 3-dimensional microstructuring and nanostructuring. Manufacturer: Nanoscribe, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to develop new methods of determining the atomic