

347. Title V is the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 (CIPSEA). Section 512 (Limitations on Use and Disclosure of Data and Information) of CIPSEA provides that data or information acquired by an agency under a pledge of confidentiality and for exclusively statistical purposes shall be used by officers, employees, or agents of the agency exclusively for statistical purposes. Data or information acquired by an agency under a pledge of confidentiality for exclusively statistical purposes shall not be disclosed by an agency in identifiable form for any use other than an exclusively statistical purpose, except with the informed consent of the respondent.

Responses will be kept confidential and will not be disclosed in identifiable form to anyone, other than employees or agents of BEA or agents of NIH, without prior written permission of the person filing the report. By law, each employee or agent is subject to a jail term of up to 5 years, a fine of up to \$250,000, or both, for disclosing to the public any identifiable information that is reported about a business or institution.

In addition, section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 2001 (Pub. L. 106-554, Appendix C, § 515) applies to this survey. The collection and use of this information complies with all applicable information quality guidelines of the Office of Management and Budget, DOC, and BEA.

III. Method of Collection

A survey with a cover letter that includes a brief description of, and rationale for, the survey will be sent by email to potential respondents by the first week of August in 2017, 2018 and 2019. A report of the respondent's expenditures of the NIH award amounts, including NIH awards received as a sub-recipient from another institution, following the proposed format for expenditure categories included with the survey form, will be requested to be completed and submitted online no later than December 8, which, in most years, will be approximately 120 days after mailing. Survey respondents will be selected on the basis of award levels, which determine the weight of the respondents in the biomedical research and development price index. Potential respondents will include (1) the top 100 organizations in total awards, which account for about 77 percent of total awards; (2) 40 additional organizations that are not primarily in the "Research and Development (R&D) contracts" category; and (3) 10 additional

organizations that are primarily in the "R&D contracts" category.

IV. Data

OMB Control Number: 0608-0069.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Universities or other organizations that are NIH award recipients.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 120.

Estimated Time per Response: 16 hours, but may vary among respondents because of differences in institution structure, size, and complexity.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,920 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

V. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the NIH, including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental PRA Lead, Office of Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017-10846 Filed 5-25-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-EA-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of California, Riverside, et al. Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and

Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 16-010. *Applicant:* University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 81 FR 71702-03, October 18, 2016.

Docket Number: 16-018. *Applicant:* UChicago Argonne, Lemont, IL 60439. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 81 FR 89433-34, December 12, 2016.

Docket Number: 16-022. *Applicant:* Regents of the University of Colorado, Denver, CO 80203. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Brno Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 81 FR 89433-34, December 12, 2016.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: May 22, 2017.

Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-10874 Filed 5-25-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-858]

Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From India: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from India, covering the period January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015.

DATES: Effective May 26, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum, AD/CVD Operations Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 8, 2016, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request administrative review of the CVD order on OCTG from India.¹ On September 30, 2016, Jindal SAW Ltd. (Jindal SAW) timely requested that the Department conduct an administrative review with respect to it.² Jindal SAW was the only party to request an administrative review. On November 9, 2016, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of administrative review of the CVD order on certain OCTG from India, covering the period January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015, with respect to Jindal SAW.³ On February 3, 2017, Jindal SAW timely withdrew its request for review.⁴

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above, the Department published the initiation on November 9, 2016.⁵ Jindal SAW's withdrawal of administrative review request was submitted within the 90-day period following the publication of the *Initiation Notice* and, thus, is timely.⁶ No other party requested an administrative review of this CVD order. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review of the CVD order on certain OCTG from India.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Patrol (CBP) to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries. Because the

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 81 FR 62096 (September 8, 2016).

² See Letter to the Department from Jindal SAW, dated September 30, 2016.

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 78778 (November 9, 2016) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁴ See Letter to the Department from Jindal SAW, dated February 3, 2017 (JS Withdrawal Request).

⁵ See *Initiation Notice*.

⁶ *Id.*; see also JS Withdrawal Request.

Department is rescinding this review in its entirety, the entries to which this administrative review pertained shall be assessed countervailing duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of the APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with regulations and terms of an APO is a violation, which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 22, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017-10873 Filed 5-25-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-860]

100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft From Canada: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective May 17, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Eastwood at (202) 482-3874, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement & Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petition

On April 27, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received

antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) petitions concerning imports of 100- to 150-seat large civil aircraft (aircraft) from Canada, filed in proper form, on behalf of The Boeing Company (the petitioner).¹ The petitioner is a domestic producer of aircraft.²

On May 1 and 2, 2017, the Department requested additional information and clarification of certain areas of the Petition.³ The petitioner filed responses to these requests on May 4, 2017.⁴ On May 9, 2017, the petitioner filed an additional amendment to the Petition.⁵

In accordance with section 702(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the petitioner alleges that the federal government of Canada (GOC), the provincial government of Quebec (GOQ), and the Government of the United Kingdom (U.K.) are providing countervailable subsidies, within the meaning of sections 701 and 771(5) of the Act, with respect to imports of aircraft from Canada, and that imports of aircraft are threatening material injury to an industry in the United States. Also, consistent with section 702(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.202(b), for those alleged programs on which we are initiating a CVD investigation, the Petition is accompanied by information reasonably available to the petitioner supporting its allegations.

The Department finds that the petitioner filed the Petition on behalf of the domestic industry because the petitioner is an interested party as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act. The Department also finds that the petitioner demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the

¹ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the petitioner "In the Matter of 100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft from Canada—Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties" (April 27, 2017) (the Petition).

² See Part Two of the Petition, at 26.

³ See letter to the petitioner from the Department concerning supplemental questions on Part Three of the Petition (May 1, 2017); see also letter to the petitioner from the Department concerning supplemental questions on general issues (May 2, 2017).

⁴ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the petitioner "100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft from Canada—Petitioner's Response to Supplemental Questions dated May 1, 2017" (May 4, 2017) (Petition Supplement); see also letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the petitioner "100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft from Canada—Petitioner's Response to Supplemental Questions dated May 2, 2017" (May 4, 2017).

⁵ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the petitioner "100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft from Canada—Proposed Scope Clarification" (May 9, 2017) (Scope Clarification).