Revocation of the AD Order on Shrimp From Brazil

As a result of the determination by the ITC that revocation of the AD order on shrimp from Brazil would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department is revoking the AD order on shrimp from Brazil. Pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the effective date of revocation is April 29, 2016 (i.e., the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the Federal Register of the notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders).7

Cash Deposits and Assessment of Duties on Shrimp From Brazil

The Department will notify CBP, 15 days after publication of this notice, to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to discontinue the collection of cash deposits on entries of shrimp from Brazil, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after April 29, 2016. The Department will further instruct CBP to refund with interest all cash deposits on unliquidated entries made on or after April 29, 2016. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and AD deposit requirements and assessments.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO which may be subject to sanctions.

These five-year (sunset) reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and (d)(2), and 777(i) the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).


Gary Taverman,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017–11323 Filed 5–31–17; 8:45 am]
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Cash Deposit Instructions

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(k)(3)(iv), because we determined a countervailable subsidy rate for Catalyst that is de minimis, in the final results of the expedited review we excluded Catalyst from the countervailing duty order.9 Because Catalyst’s rate remains de minimis, we will not issue new instructions to CBP.

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results to interested parties within five business days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(k) and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: May 24, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–11204 Filed 5–31–17; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–122–854]

Supercaledered Paper From Canada: Amended Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Expedited Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending the Final Results1 of the expedited review of the countervailing duty order on supercaledered paper from Canada to correct a ministerial error.

DATES: Effective June 1, 2017.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 24, 2017, we received a timely ministerial error allegation from Catalyst Paper Corporation, Catalyst Pulp and Paper Sales Inc., Catalyst Paper (USA) Inc., and their affiliated companies (collectively Catalyst) regarding the Department’s final subsidy rate calculations.2

Ministerial Errors

We analyzed Catalyst’s ministerial error3 comments and determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), that there was a ministerial error in our calculation of Catalyst’s net subsidy rate for the Final Results.4 In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the net subsidy rate for Catalyst from 0.94 percent (de minimis) to 0.93 percent (de minimis).

82 FR 18896 (April 24, 2017) (Final Results), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.
9 A “ministerial error” is defined by 19 CFR 351.224(f) as an error “in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial.”

1 See Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Expedited Review, 82 FR 18896 (April 24, 2017) (Final Results), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.
3 A “ministerial error” is defined by 19 CFR 351.224(f) as an error “in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial.”

82 FR at 18897 (explaining that only merchandise produced and exported by Catalyst is excluded from the Order, and that the exclusion does not apply to merchandise produced by Catalyst and exported by any other company or merchandise produced by any other company and exported by Catalyst).
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Jennifer Watson, (907) 586–7228.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Abstract
This request is for extension of a currently approved information collection.

Scale and catch weighing requirements address performance standards designed to ensure that all catch delivered to the processor is accurately weighed and accounted for. Scale and catch-weighing monitoring is required for Western Alaska Community Development Quota Program (CDQ) catcher/processors (C/Ps), American Fisheries Act (AFA) C/Ps, AFA motherships, AFA shoreside processors and stationary floating processors, Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Program trawl C/Ps, non-AFA trawl C/Ps participating in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) trawl fisheries, and longline C/Ps participating in BSAI Pacific cod fisheries.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has identified three primary objectives for monitoring catch. First, monitoring must ensure independent verification of catch weight, species composition, and location data for every delivery by a catcher vessel or every pot by a C/P. Second, all catch must be weighed accurately using NMFS-approved scales to determine the weight of total catch. Third, the system must provide a verifiable record of the weight of each delivery. To effectively manage fisheries, NMFS must have data that will provide reliable independent estimates of the total catch.

II. Method of Collection
Respondents have a choice of either electronic or paper forms. Methods of submittal include email, online, mail, and facsimile transmission. Daily flow scale and hopper scale tests are reported using an electronic logbook. Printed reports are generated automatically by software. Video monitoring systems record and store video data automatically.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0648–0330.
Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Regular submission (extension of a current information collection).

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations; Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 140.

Estimated Time per Response: 6 minutes for at-sea flow scales inspection request; 45 minutes for record of daily flow scale test and record of daily hopper scale test; 1 minute for groundfish catch weight recorded report, groundfish audit trail printed report, groundfish calibration log printed report, groundfish fault log printed report, crab catch weight printed report, crab audit trail printed report, and State of Alaska scale printed report; 1 hour for electronic bin monitoring system, record and store data; 2 hours for observer sampling station inspection request, bin video monitoring inspection request, flow scale video monitoring inspection request, flow scale video monitoring inspection request, Chinook salmon bycatch video monitoring inspection request, and freezer longline video monitoring inspection request; 30 minutes for notification of Pacific cod freezer longline monitoring option; 16 hours for crab catch monitoring plan (CMP); 8 hours for CMP addendum; 5 minutes for CMP inspection request and catch monitoring and control plan (CMCP) inspection request; 40 hours for CMCP; 8 hours for CMCP addendum; 2 minutes to notify observer of flow scale test and notify observer of hopper scale test; and 5 minutes to notify observer of pollock, CDQ, or rockfish program deliveries.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 11,037.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: $895,706 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
RIN 0648–XE201
Notice of Availability of the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill Texas Trustee Implementation Group Draft 2017 Restoration Plan and Environmental Assessment: Restoration of Wetlands, Coastal, and Nearshore Habitats; and Oysters; Correction

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of availability; correction.

SUMMARY: NMFS published a document in the Federal Register of May 18, 2017, to announce two meetings to inform the public of the availability of the Draft Restoration Plan and Environmental Assessment and to invite the public to provide written and oral comments. The document contained an error in the date for persons with disabilities to request special accommodations. This document corrects the error by clarifying that no request for American Sign Language accommodations is necessary. All other information contained in the original document remains unchanged.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
• National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—Jamie Schubert, Jamie.Schubert@noaa.gov;
• Texas Parks and Wildlife Department—Don Pitts, Don.Pitts@tpwd.texas.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Correction

In the Federal Register of May 18, 2017, in FR Doc. 2017–10008, on page 22812, in the third column, under the heading, “Public Meeting Schedule,” the last paragraph is corrected to read: “Written and oral comments on the Draft RP/EA may be submitted at the public meetings. American Sign Language translation services will be provided at both public meetings.”

Authority

The authority for this action is OPA (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.) and the OPA NRDA regulations at 15 CFR part 990.