unless an amended agreement can be reached. Accordingly, the questions of the status of, and compliance, with the AD Agreement, whether suspension of the AD Agreement is in the “public interest,” including the availability of supplies of sugar in the U.S. market, and whether “effective monitoring” is practicable have been rendered moot because either the AD Agreement will be amended and suspension of the investigation will be continued with the Department’s issuance of a final amendment to the AD Agreement, or the AD Agreement will be terminated, according to the Department’s May 1, 2017, notice of intent to terminate, as modified by its June 5, 2017 letter. Therefore, the Department is rescinding the 2014–2015 and 2015–2016 administrative reviews of the AD Agreement.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 734(f), 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 6, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I: Scope of the AD Agreement

The product covered by the AD Agreement is raw and refined sugar of all polarimeter readings derived from sugar cane or sugar beets. The chemical sucrose gives sugar its essential character. Sucrose is a nonreducing disaccharide composed of glucose and fructose linked by a glycosidic bond via their anomeric carbons. The molecular formula for sucrose is C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}; the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) International Chemical Identifier (InChI) for sucrose is 1S/C12H22O11/c1-4-6(16)18(19)11(12-13)23-12-15(10)20(17)9/7(2-14)22-12/h4-11,13-20H,1-5H3b,2H2b/-/4-6-7-8-9-10,+11-12q; the InChI Key for sucrose is CZMRCDWAGMRECNU-UCDZNRZG8SA-N; the U.S. National Institutes of Health PubChem Compound Identifier (CID) for sucrose is 5988; and the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Number of sucrose is 57–50–1.

Sugar described in the previous paragraph includes products of all polarimeter readings described in various forms, such as raw sugar, estanar or standard sugar, high purity or semi-refined sugar, special white sugar, refined sugar, brown sugar, edible molasses, desugaring molasses, organic raw sugar, and organic refined sugar. Other sugar products, such as powdered sugar, colored sugar, flavored sugar, and liquids and syrups that contain 95 percent or more sugar by dry weight are also within the scope of the order. The scope of the order does not include (1) sugar imported under the Refined Sugar Re-Export Programs of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; (2) sugar produced in Mexico that contain 95 percent or more sugar by dry weight that originated outside of Mexico; (3) inedible molasses (other than inedible desugaring molasses noted above); (4) beverages; (5) candy; (6) certain specialty sugars; and (7) processed food products that contain sugar (e.g., cereals). Specialty sugars excluded from the scope of the order are limited to the following: caramelized slab sugar candy, pearl sugar, rock candy, dragées for cooking and baking, fondant, golden syrup, and sugar decorations.

Merchandise covered by the AD Agreement is typically imported under the following headings of the HTSUS: 1701.12.1000, 1701.12.5000, 1701.13.1000, 1701.13.5000, 1701.14.1000, 1701.14.5000, 1701.91.1000, 1701.91.3000, 1701.99.1010, 1701.99.1025, 1701.99.1050, 1701.99.5010, 1701.99.5025, 1701.99.5050, and 1702.90.4000. The tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive. [FR Doc. 2017–12115 Filed 6–9–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from India. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016. This review covers two producers or exporters of the subject merchandise: Ambica Steels Limited (Ambica), and Bhansali Bright Bars Pvt. Ltd. (Bhansali). We determine that Bhansali had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR and that Ambica did have an entry of subject merchandise during the POR.

DATES: Effective June 12, 2017.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Following the Preliminary Results, we received timely filed case briefs from Carpenter Technology Corporation, Crucible Industries LLC, Electralloy, a Division of G.O. Carlson, Inc., North American Stainless, Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., and Valbruna Slager Stainless, Inc. (the petitioners) and a timely filed rebuttal brief from Ambica.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SSB. SSB subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS).

Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the Order is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum


2 See Letter from the petitioners to the Department, “Stainless Steel Bar from India—Petitioners’ Case Brief,” (Petitioners’ CB) dated March 31, 2017; see also, Letter from Ambica to the Department, “Stainless Steel Bar from India: Rebuttal Brief,” dated April 7, 2017 (Ambica’s RB).

3 See the Memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Bar from India: 2015–2016,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

16 This exclusion applies to sugar imported under the Refined Sugar Re-Export Program, the Sugar-Containing Products Re-Export Program, and the Polyhydric Alcohol Program administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

17 See May 1, 2017 letter, as modified by the June 5, 2017 letter.
is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B–8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/fm/index.html.

Final Determination of No Shipments (Bhansali)

As stated in the Preliminary Results, we received a timely claim from Bhansali reporting that it had no shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR and preliminarily determined that it had no shipments during the POR. For the final results, we continue to find that Bhansali had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

Final Results of Review (Ambica)

As stated in the Preliminary Results, the Department preliminarily found that Ambica had one suspended entry of subject merchandise during this POR for which it had knowledge of its sale to an unaffiliated U.S. customer. For the final results, the Department finds that Ambica had one suspended entry of subject merchandise during the POR. However, as stated in the Preliminary Results, the Department inadvertently included the sales associated with this 2015-16 entry of subject merchandise in its analysis for the 2014–15 administrative review. Therefore, we have determined to apply the importer-specific assessment rate calculated for Ambica in the 2014–15 review to this suspended entry in the instant review. For all other entries of subject merchandise attributed to Ambica during the instant POR, Ambica has reasonably explained that it had no knowledge of these entries into the United States or the sales associated with these entries. Accordingly, these entries will be liquidated at the all-others rate. For additional information and analysis, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum; see also the Preliminary Analysis Memorandum.4

Assessment of Antidumping Duties

For the single suspended entry attributable to Ambica, we will instruct CBP to liquidate this entry at the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the 2014–15 administrative review.

In accordance with the Department’s practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which Ambica or Bhansali did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930: (1) The cash deposit rate for Ambica and Bhansali will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to each company in the completed segment for the most recent period for each company; (2) for other producers and exporters covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the completed segment for the most recent period of this proceeding in which that producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the completed segment for the most recent period of this proceeding for the producer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 12.45 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.5 These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction. These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 6, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
IV. Scope of the Order
V. Discussion of the Issues
   Comment: Whether the Department’s Liquidation Instructions Address All Applicable Entries
VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017–12107 Filed 6–9–17; 8:45 am]
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ACTION: Notice of SEDSAR 54 assessment webinar II for Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Sandbar Shark.

SUMMARY: The SEDSAR 54 assessment of the HMS Sandbar will consist of a series of assessment webinars. See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

DATES: The SEDSAR 54 assessment webinar II will be held from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m. on June 22, 2017.

ADDRESSES: