the GOI and GOC via ACCESS. To the extent practicable, we will attempt to provide a copy of the public version of the Petitions to each exporter named in the Petitions, as provided under 19 CFR 351.203(c)(2).

### ITC Notification

We will notify the ITC of our initiation, as required by section 702(d) of the Act.

### Preliminary Determinations by the ITC

The ITC will preliminarily determine, within 45 days after the date on which the Petitions were filed, whether there is a reasonable indication that imports of fine denier PSF from India and the PRC are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, a U.S. industry. A negative ITC determination will result in the investigations being terminated. Otherwise, these investigations will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

### Submission of Factual Information

Factual information is defined in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) as: (i) Evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)-(iv). 19 CFR 351.301(b) requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subheading of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is rebutted, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. Time limits for the submission of factual information are addressed in 19 CFR 351.301, which provides specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. Interested parties should review the regulations prior to submitting factual information in these investigations.

### Extensions of Time Limits

Parties may request an extension of time limits before the expiration of a time limit established under 19 CFR 351.301, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the expiration of the time limit established under 19 CFR 351.301 expires. For submissions that are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. ET on the due date. Under certain circumstances, we may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, we will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. An extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission; under limited circumstances we will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. Parties should review <a href="http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_submission.html">Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule</a>, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013), available at <a href="http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm">http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm</a>, prior to submitting factual information in these investigations.

### Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials, as well as their representatives. Investigations initiated on the basis of petitions filed on or after August 16, 2013, and other segments of any AD or CVD proceeding initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats published <a href="http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_submission.html">in the Final Rule</a>, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013), available at <a href="http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_submission.html">http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_submission.html</a>, for company/government officials, as well as their representatives.

### Notification to Interested Parties


### Scope of the Investigations

The merchandise covered by these investigations is fine denier polyester staple fiber (fine denier PSF), not carded or combed, measuring less than 3.3 decitex (3 denier) in diameter. The scope covers all fine denier PSF, whether coated or uncoated. The following products are excluded from the scope:

1. PSF equal to or greater than 3.3 decitex (more than 3 denier, inclusive) currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 5503.20.0045 and 5503.20.0065.

2. Low-melt PSF defined as a bi-component fiber with a polyester core and an outer, polyester sheath that melts at a significantly lower temperature than its inner polyester core currently classified under HTSUS subheading 5503.20.0015.

Fines denier PSF is classifiable under the HTSUS subheading 5503.20.0025. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the investigations is dispositive. [FR Doc. 2017–13381 Filed 6–26–17; 8:45 am]
Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015. The review covers two mandatory respondents: (1) Canadian Solar International Limited, which we have treated as a single entity with five affiliated companies identified below, and (2) the collapsed entity Trina Solar, consisting of Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd., and Trina Solar (Changzhou) Science and Technology Co., Ltd., which we have continued to treat as a single entity with four additional affiliated companies identified below. We received comments from interested parties on our Preliminary Results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the Final Results of this administrative review. The final weighted-average dumping margins are listed below in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Krisha Hill and Jeff Pedersen, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement & Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4037 or (202) 482–2769, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 22, 2016, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the 2014–2015 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on solar cells from the PRC.1 For events subsequent to the Preliminary Results, see the Department’s Issues and Decision Memorandum.2 On March 30, 2017, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department extended the deadline for issuing the final results by 60 days.3 The deadline for the final results is June 20, 2017.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, and modules, laminates, and panels, consisting of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not partially or fully assembled into other products, including, but not limited to, modules, laminates, panels and building integrated materials.4 Merchandise covered by the order is classifiable under subheading 8501.61.0000, 8507.20.80, 8541.40.6020, 8541.40.6030, and 8501.31.8000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues that parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, follows as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our Preliminary Results, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made revisions to our preliminary calculations of the weighted-average dumping margins for mandatory

---

1 See Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments, 2014–2015, 81 FR 93888 (December 22, 2016) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

2 See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2014–2015 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with this notice.


4 For a complete description of the scope of the order, see Issues and Decision Memorandum.

5 Respondents, Canadian Solar and Trina.

6 Final Determination of No Shipments

In the Preliminary Results, we found that seven companies had no shipments during the POR.8 Consistent with the Department’s assessment practice in NME cases, we completed the review with respect to the above-named companies. However, we have reexamined the record and determined that we made an error in the Preliminary Results by not recognizing that Shenzhen Glory Industries Co., Ltd. (Shenzhen Glory) timely filed a no-shipment certification.9 We have reviewed Shenzhen Glory’s no shipment certification and have found that Shenzhen Glory had no shipments during this POR. In addition, we found that Hangzhou Sunny Energy Science & Technology Co., Ltd. (Sunny), a company we preliminarily determined had no shipments during the POR, had a reviewable sale/entry of subject merchandise during this POR. Therefore, for these Final Results, we find that a total of seven companies had no shipments during the POR.10 As noted

---

8 In these final results of review, the Department has continued to treat the following six companies as a single entity: Canadian Solar International Limited/Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu), Inc./Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang), Inc./CSI Cells Co., Ltd./CSI-GCL Solar Manufacturing (YanCheng) Co., Ltd./CSI Solar Power (China) Inc. (collectively, Canadian Solar). See Preliminary Results, 81 FR at 93886, 93889 and PDM at 67.

9 In these final results of review, the Department has continued to treat the following six companies as a single entity: Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd./Trina Solar (Changzhou) Science & Technology Co., Ltd./Yancheng Trina Energy Technology Co., Ltd./Changzhou Trina Solar Yabang Energy Co., Ltd./Turpan Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd./Huabei Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. (collectively, Trina). See Preliminary Results, 81 FR at 93886, 93889 and PDM at 67.

in the “Assessment” section below, the Department will issue appropriate instructions with respect to these companies to CBP based on our Final Results. In addition, these companies will maintain their rate from the most recent segment in which they participated.

Separate Rates

In the Preliminary Results, the Department determined that Canadian Solar, Trina, and 24 other companies/company groups demonstrated their eligibility for separate rates, but that Jiangsu Sunlink PV Technology Co., Ltd., Ningbo Hisheen Electrical Co., Ltd., and Shenzhen Glory had not demonstrated their entitlement to separate rates status because they did not file either a separate rate application or certification with the Department.

However, as noted above, for these Final Results, the Department has determined that Shenzhen Glory timely filed a no shipments claim and record information supports its claim. Additionally, as explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, the Department has determined that Sunny is eligible for separate rate status, but that Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd. (Ningbo Qixin) did not meet the requirements for obtaining a separate rate. Therefore, for these Final Results, the Department finds that Jiangsu Sunlink PV Technology Co., Ltd., Ningbo Hisheen Electrical Co., Ltd., and Ningbo Qixin are not eligible for separate rate status and thus, are part of the PRC-wide entity. The Department assigned a dumping margin to the separate rate companies that it did not individually examine, but which demonstrated their eligibility for a separate rate, based on the mandatory respondents’ dumping margins.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margins (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Solar International Limited</td>
<td>13.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA Solar Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET Solar Energy Limited</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hangzhou Sunny Energy Science &amp; Technology Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henigian Group DMEGC Magnetics Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA Solar Technology Yangzhou Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiawei Solarchina (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiawei Solarchina Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JingAo Solar Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightway Green New Energy Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningbo ETDZ Holdings, Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risen Energy Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai JA Solar Technology Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenzhen Sungold Solar Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenzhen Topray Solar Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star Power International Limited</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems Versilis, Inc</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taizhou BD Trade Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toenergy Technology Hangzhou Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuxi Trianran Photovoltaic Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Era Solar Technology Co., Ltd</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity and the Department no longer considers the PRC-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we did not conduct a review of the PRC-wide entity. Thus, the weighted-average dumping margin for the PRC-wide entity (i.e., 238.95 percent) is not subject to change as a result of this review.

Assessment

The Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days...

---

12 See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65964 (October 24, 2011) (Assessment of Anti-Dumping Duties); see also the “Assessment” section of this notice, below.
13 Id.
14 See Memorandum, “Calculation of the Final Dumping Margin for Separate Rate Recipients,” dated concurrently with this notice.
15 See Memorandum, “Calculation of the Final Dumping Margin for Separate Rate Recipients,” dated concurrently with this notice.
after the publication date of these Final Results of review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we are calculating importer- (or customer)-specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review. For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis (i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will calculate importer- (or customer)-specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, the Department calculated importer- (or customer)-specific ad valorem rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to the importer- (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to the importer- (or customer).16 Where the Department calculated an importer- (or customer)-specific weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to the importer- (or customer) by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, the Department will direct CBP to assess importer- (or customer)-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.17 Where an importer- (or customer)-specific ad valorem or per-unit rate is greater than de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation. Where either the respondent’s weighted average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer (or customer)-specific ad valorem or per-unit rate is zero or de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.18 For merchandise whose sale/entry was not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by an exporter individually examined during this review, but that entered under the case number of that exporter (i.e., at the individually-examined exporter’s cash deposit rate), the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.19

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the Final Results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the Federal Register, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed for each exporter in the table in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice, except if the rate is zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), then the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate previously established for the PRC-wide entity (i.e., 238.95 percent); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these Final Results within five days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

16 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).
17 Id.
Comment 19: Calculation of Warranty Expenses
Comment 20: Insurance Costs Related to Warranties
Comment 21: Treatment of Overhead Items
Comment 22: Debt Restructuring Income
Comment 23: Exclusion of Import Data with Values but Quantities of Zero
Comment 24: Clerical Errors
Comment 25: Separate Rate Status for Shenzhen Glory Industries Co., Ltd.
Comment 26: Separate Rate Status for Hangzhou Sunny Energy Science & Technology Co., Ltd.
Comment 27: Separate Rate Status for Ningbo Qin Xin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd.
Comment 28: Toenergy Technology Hangzhou Co., Ltd.’s Liquidation Instructions

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
Meeting of the Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda for a meeting of the Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee (CINTAC).

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for Thursday, July 13, 2017, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT).

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 1412, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jonathan Chesebro, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries, International Trade Administration, Mail Stop 28018, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230. (Phone: 202–482–1297; Fax: 202–482–5665; email: jonathan.chesebro@trade.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The CINTAC was established under the discretionary authority of the Secretary of Commerce and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.), in response to an identified need for consensus advice from U.S. industry to the U.S. Government regarding the development and administration of programs to expand United States exports of civil nuclear goods and services in accordance with applicable U.S. laws and regulations, including advice on how U.S. civil nuclear goods and services export policies, programs, and activities will affect the U.S. civil nuclear industry’s competitiveness and ability to participate in the international market.

Topics to be considered: The agenda for the Thursday, July 13, 2017 CINTAC meeting is as follows:

Closed Session (9:00 a.m.–3:00 p.m.)

1. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. App. §§(10)(a)(1) and 10(a)(3) as information will be disclosed that would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency actions were it to be disclosed prematurely (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(9)(B)) and as trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential information will be disclosed. (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)).

Public Session (3:00 p.m.–4:00 p.m.)

1. Public comment period. Public attendance is limited and available on a first-come, first-served basis. Members of the public wishing to attend the meeting must notify Mr. Jonathan Chesebro at the contact information above by 5:00 p.m. EDT on Friday, July 7, 2017 in order to pre-register. Please specify any requests for reasonable accommodation at least five business days in advance of the meeting. Last minute requests will be accepted, but may not be possible to fill.

A limited amount of time will be available for pertinent brief oral comments from members of the public attending the meeting. To accommodate as many speakers as possible, the time for public comments will be limited to two (2) minutes per person, with a total public comment period of 60 minutes. Individuals wishing to reserve speaking time during the meeting must contact Mr. Chesebro and submit a brief statement of the general nature of the comments and the name and address of the proposed participant by 5:00 p.m. EDT on Friday, July 7, 2017. If the number of registrants requesting to make statements is greater than can be reasonably accommodated during the meeting, ITPA may conduct a lottery to determine the speakers.

Any member of the public may submit pertinent written comments concerning the CINTAC’s affairs at any time before and after the meeting. Comments may be submitted to the Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee, Office of Energy & Environmental Industries, U.S. Department of Commerce, Mail Stop 28018, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230. For consideration during the meeting, and to ensure transmission to the Committee prior to the meeting, comments must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. EDT on Friday, July 7, 2017. Comments received after that date will be distributed to the members but may not be considered at the meeting.

Copies of CINTAC meeting minutes will be available within 90 days of the meeting.

Dated: June 22, 2017.

Adam O’Malley,
Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XF495

Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC); Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice: public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council’s (MAFMC’s) Demersal Committee will hold a public meeting, jointly with a subset of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission’s (ASMFC) Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Board (Board).

DATES: The meeting will be held on Tuesday, July 11, 2017, from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. and on Wednesday, July 12, 2017, from 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Hilton Baltimore BWI Airport Hotel, 1739 W. Nursery Rd., Linthicum, MD 21090; telephone: (410) 694–0808. Council address: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 800 N. State Street, Suite 201, Dover, DE 19901; telephone: (302) 674–2331 or on their Web site at www.mafmc.org.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher M. Moore, Ph.D., Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, telephone: (302) 526–5255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Demersal Committee and members of the Board will meet to review and