Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 82, No. 130

Monday, July 10, 2017

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

ACTION: Notice and opportunity for public comment.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce.

Pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2341 et seq.), the Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of these firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE
[5/26/2017 through 7/3/2017]

Firm name	Firm address	Date accepted for investigation	Product(s)
Flinchbaugh Engineering, Inc	4387 Run Way, York, PA 17406	6/19/2017	The firm manufactures various engine and transmission components for heavy equipment.
Nickson Industries, Inc	336 Woodford Avenue, Plainville, CT 06062.	6/20/2017	The firm manufactures exhaust hardware and accessories (clamps, tubing products, flexible pipes, hangers, gaskets, saddles, u-bolts, fasteners, washers, and hanger/gasket components).
Machine Tech, Inc	203 Lacarpe Circle, Houma, LA 70360.	6/27/2017	The firm manufactures custom CNC parts for in- dustrial use including flanges, winches, jacks, hoists, sprockets, and pully tackles.
Mid Star Lab, Inc	1701 Commerce Road, Tonganoxie, KS 66086.	6/28/2017	The firm manufactures customized orthopedic shoes.
Acrylic Designs, Inc	36 Precision Drive, North Springfield, VT 05150.	6/29/2017	The firm manufactures counter boxes and floor display cases.

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Miriam Kearse,

Lead Program Analyst.

[FR Doc. 2017-14388 Filed 7-7-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-WH-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-870]

Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From the Republic of Korea: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending its final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from

the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is July 18, 2014 through August 31, 2015. The amended final weighted-average dumping margins are listed below in the section entitled, "Amended Final Results."

DATES: Effective July 10, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah Scott or Victoria Cho, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2657 or (202) 482–5075, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 17, 2017, the Department published the *Final Results* of the 2014–2015 administrative review in the **Federal Register**. On April 18, 2017, petitioner Maverick Tube Corporation (Maverick) and respondent NEXTEEL Co., Ltd. (NEXTEEL) timely filed ministerial error allegations concerning the *Final Results* and requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224, that the Department correct the alleged ministerial errors. On April 24, 2017, both Maverick and NEXTEEL submitted rebuttal comments.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is certain OCTG, which are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, regardless of end finish (e.g., whether or not plain end, threaded, or threaded and coupled) whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished (including limited service OCTG products) or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products), whether or not thread protectors are attached. The scope of the order also covers OCTG coupling stock.2

Amended Final Results

Section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), defines "ministerial errors" as including "errors in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error which the administering authority considers ministerial." 3 After analyzing parties' comments, we have determined, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f), that we made certain ministerial errors in the Final Results with respect to our treatment of certain sales expenses for NEXTEEL.⁴ For a detailed discussion of these ministerial errors, as well as the Department's analysis of these errors, see the Ministerial Error Memorandum.

In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the Final Results of this administrative review of OCTG from Korea. The rate for the companies not selected for individual examination is equal to the simple average 5 of the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for NEXTEEL in these amended final results and the weightedaverage dumping margin calculated for respondent SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH) (i.e., 2.76 percent) in the Final Results.⁶ The dumping margins calculated for these amended final results are as follows:

Exporter or producer	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
NEXTEEL Co., Ltd	29.76 16.26

Disclosure

The Department intends to disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department shall determine, and CBP

shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these amended final results in the **Federal Register**.

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer- (or customer-) specific ad valorem rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).8 Where the Department calculated a weightedaverage dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, the Department will direct CBP to assess importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.9 Where an importer- (or customer-) specific ad valorem or per-unit rate is greater than de minimis (i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.¹⁰ Where an importer- (or customer-) specific ad valorem or perunit rate is zero or de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.11

For the companies which were not selected for individual examination, we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the section "Amended Final Results," above.

Consistent with the Department's assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by SeAH, NEXTEEL, or the non-examined companies for which the producer did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the allothers rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹²

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective retroactively for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn

¹ See Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015, 82 FR 18105 (April 17, 2017) (Final Results), and accompanying Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2014–2015 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Republic of Korea," dated April 10, 2017 (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

² A full written description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Department is not making any changes to the scope of the order for these amended final results.

³ See also 19 CFR 351.224(f).

⁴ See Ministerial Error Memorandum at Comment

⁵ We calculated the rate for the companies not selected for individual examination using a simple average of the dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents because complete publicly ranged sales data were not available. *See Final Results*, 82 FR at 18106.

⁶ Id

⁷ See Appendix I for a full list of these companies.

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

⁹ Id.

¹⁰ Id.

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹² For a full discussion of this practice, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

from warehouse, for consumption on or after the April 17, 2017, the date of publication of the *Final Results* of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the companies listed in these amended final results will be equal to the weightedaverage dumping margins established in the section "Amended Final Results," above; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original less-than-fairvalue (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.24 percent, 13 the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the

regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These amended final results and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: July 3, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Companies Not Individually Examined

A.R. Williams Materials AJU Besteel Co., Ltd. AK Steel **BDP** International **Cantak Corporation** Daewoo International Corporation Dong-A Steel Co., Ltd. Dong Yang Steel Pipe Dongbu Incheon Steel Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. Dongkuk S and C DSEC EEW Korea Erndtebruecker Eisenwerk and Company GS Global H K Steel Hansol Metal HG Tubulars Canada Ltd. Husteel Co., Ltd. Hyundai HYSCO 14 Hyundai HYSCO Co., Ltd. Hyundai Steel Company Hyundai Steel Co., Ltd. **ILJIN Steel Corporation** Kukbo Logix Kukje Steel Kumkang Industrial Co., Ltd. McJunkin Red Man Tubular **NEXTEEL O&T** Nippon Arwwl and Aumikin Vuaan Korea Co., Ltd. Phocennee POSCO Processing and Acy Service Samson Sedae Entertech Steel Canada Steel Flower Steelpia Sung Jin TGS Pipe Toyota Tsusho Corporation

[FR Doc. 2017–14384 Filed 7–7–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

UNI Global Logistics

Yonghyun Base Materials

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Docket No.: 161020988-6988-02]

RIN 0625-XC026

User Fees for Export and Investment Promotion Services/Events

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of implementation of user fees.

SUMMARY: The International Trade Administration (ITA) solicited public feedback on its proposal to adjust export and investment promotion user fees in light of an independent cost study which concluded that ITA is not fully covering its costs for providing services under the current fee structure. Federal agencies are directed by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-25 to ensure they recoup their costs when providing certain services. ITA provides a wide range of export and investment promotion information and services to U.S. individuals and entities. The services announced here assist U.S. individuals and entities with their exporting needs and help attract foreign direct investment. These services are a subset of ITA activities that involve relatively more intensive time engagements with particular client firms. ITA will continue to provide information and services that are less intensive and/or benefit the general public without charge. In response to public feedback, amendments have been made to the proposed adjusted user fees. As part of this announcement, ITA announces the final user fees schedule and revised standards related to company size for determining the fees to be charged.

DATES: The user fees schedule will be effective on October 1, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Aditi Palli, International Trade Administration, Office of Strategic Planning, 1400 Constitution Avenue

NW., Rm. 21022, Washington, DC 20230, Phone: (202) 482–2025.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

OMB Circular A–25 requires the recovery of an appropriate share of the full cost through user fees for goods and services provided to recipients of benefits beyond those accruing to the general public. Specifically, section 6 of Circular A–25 states that "when a service (or privilege) provides special benefits to an identifiable recipient

¹³ See Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Determination, 81 FR 59603 (August 30, 2016).

¹⁴ On September 21, 2016, the Department published the final results of a changed circumstances review with respect to OCTG from Korea, finding that Hyundai Steel is the successorin-interest to Hyundai HYSCO for purposes of determining antidumping duty cash deposits and liabilities. See Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Republic of Korea, 81 FR 64873 (September 21, 2016). Hyundai Steel Company is also known as Hyundai Steel Corporation and Hyundai Steel Co. Ltd.