security-based swaps.\footnote{17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(b)(3).} Rule 17Ad–22(e)(4) requires that a covered clearing agency involved in activities with a more complex risk profile shall establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to effectively identify, measure, monitor, and manage its credit exposures to participants and those arising from its payment, clearing, and settlement processes, including by, in relevant part, (i) maintaining sufficient financial resources to cover its credit exposure to each participant fully with a high degree of confidence, (ii) maintaining additional financial resources at the minimum to enable it to cover a wide range of foreseeable stress scenarios that include, but are not limited to, the default of the two participant families that would potentially cause the largest aggregate credit exposure for the covered clearing agency in extreme but plausible market conditions, (iv) including prefunded financial resources, exclusive of assessments for additional guaranty fund contributions or other resources that are not prefunded, when calculating the financial resources available, and (v) maintaining the financial resources required under Rule 17Ad–22(e)(4)(ii) in combined or separately maintained clearing or guaranty funds, as applicable.\footnote{17 CFR 240.17Ad–22(e)(4) requires that a covered clearing agency shall establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for governance arrangements that are clear and transparent and support the public interest requirements of Section 17A of the Act applicable to clearing agencies, and the objectives of owners and participants.\footnote{11} The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A of the Act and Rule 17Ad–22 thereunder. Because ICE Clear Europe has not proposed changing the amount of financial resources it contributes to cover default losses, but rather, to give itself the authority to apply some or all of those amounts in a default scenario sooner than it otherwise would, the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F)\footnote{12} and Rules 17Ad–22(b)(3) and (e)(4).\footnote{13} ICE Clear Europe’s flexibility in deciding whether and, if so, how much, of the Clearing House CDS GF Contribution to designate is consistent with the public interest in light of ongoing industry discussions, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act. Finally, ICE Clear Europe’s proposal to vest redesignation authority with its Board, in consultation with its CDS Risk Committee and publication by circular, is consistent with the requirement in Rule 17Ad–22(e)(2) concerning governance arrangements that are clear and transparent and support the public interest requirements of Section 17A of the Act applicable to clearing agencies and the objectives of participants.\footnote{13} IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act that the proposed rule change (SR–ICEEU–2017–005) be, and hereby is, approved.\footnote{16}

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

\footnote{16} In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation, 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(3).}

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act that the proposed rule change (SR–ICEEU–2017–005) be, and hereby is, approved.\footnote{16}

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

\footnote{16} In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation, 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(3).}
Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;
Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings; and
Other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.
For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed; please contact Brent J. Fields from the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

Dated: July 6, 2017.
Brent J. Fields,
Secretary.

SUMMARY:

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 15200 B and for economic injury is 15201 0. The States which received an EIDL Declaration # are Nebraska, Iowa.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 59006)

Linda E. McMahon,
Administrator.

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 10053]

Notice of Issuance of a Presidential Permit to NuStar Logistics, L.P.

AGENCY: Department of State

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: The Acting Assistant Secretary of State for Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs issued a Presidential permit to NuStar Logistics, L.P. ("NuStar") on June 28, 2017, authorizing NuStar to operate and maintain existing pipeline facilities ("Dos Laredos pipeline facilities") at the U.S.-Mexico border near Laredo, Texas for the transport of refined petroleum products, to include liquefied petroleum gas, regular and premium gasoline, kerosene, and diesel. In accordance with Executive Order 13337 (April 30, 2004), the Acting Assistant Secretary determined that issuance of this permit would serve the national interest.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Additional information concerning the Dos Laredos pipeline facilities and documents related to the Department of State’s review of the application for a Presidential permit can be found at https://www.state.gov/e/eb/rls/港澳/announcements/100524.htm. Following is the text of the permit, as issued:

Presidential Permit

Authorizing NUSTAR Logistics, L.P. To Operate And Maintain Existing Pipeline Facilities at the International Boundary Between the United States and Mexico

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Acting Assistant Secretary of State for Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs, including those authorities under Executive Order 13337, as amended, authorizing operations of pipelines and the like at the United States and Mexico borders, acting under the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403), and the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, 2017, as amended (22 U.S.C. 6502), by the Secretary of State,

Iowa: Fremont, Mills
The Interest Rates are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For Physical Damage:</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homeowners With Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>3.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeowners Without Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>1.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesses With Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>6.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesses Without Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>3.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit Organizations With Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>2.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit Organizations Without Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>2.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Economic Injury:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesses &amp; Small Agricultural Cooperatives With Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>3.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit Organizations Without Credit Available Elsewhere</td>
<td>2.500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The States which received an EIDL Declaration # are Nebraska, Iowa.

(ALERTS)

Billings, 49 Federal Register 33155, (2004), and the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536), and other statutes relating to environmental concerns; having considered the proposed action consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (86 Stat. 832; 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536), and other statutes relating to environmental concerns; having considered the proposed action consistent with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 917, 16 U.S.C. 470f et seq.); and having requested and received the views of members of the public, various federal and state agencies, and various Indian tribes; I hereby grant permission, subject to the conditions herein set forth, to NuStar Logistics, L.P., formerly known as Valero Logistics Operations, L.P. (hereinafter referred to as the "permittee"), a limited partnership formed under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in San Antonio, Texas, to operate and maintain existing pipeline facilities at the border of the United States and Mexico for the transport of refined petroleum products, to include liquefied petroleum gas, regular and premium gasoline, kerosene, and diesel between the United States and Mexico.

The term "facilities" as used in this permit means the relevant portion of the pipeline and any land, structures, installations or equipment appurtenant thereunto.

The term "United States facilities" as used in this permit means those parts of