DC 20230, at a date and time to be determined. Parties should confirm the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

The Department intends to publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief, no later than 120 days after publication of these preliminary results, unless extended.

### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of this administrative review, the Department shall determine, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If the preliminary results are unchanged for the final results, we will instruct CBP to apply an ad valorem assessment rate of 60.81 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the period of review which were produced and/or exported by Hyundai, and the aforementioned companies which were not selected for individual examination. We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Hyundai and Hyundai and other companies listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or reviewed in the investigation but the producer or exporter will continue to be the all-others rate of 22.00 percent, the rate established in the investigation of this proceeding. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Gary Tavenor, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Order
IV. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
A. Application of Facts Available
B. Use of Adverse Inference
C. Selection and Corroboration of the Adverse Facts Available Rate
V. Discussion of The Issues
A. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
B. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
C. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
D. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
E. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
F. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
G. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
H. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
I. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
J. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
K. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
L. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
M. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
N. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
O. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
P. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
Q. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
R. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
S. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
T. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
U. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
V. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
W. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
X. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
Y. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues
Z. Hyundai-Specific Issues Failure to Report Separately Service-Related Revenues

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On October 14, 2016, the Department published in the Federal Register the notice of initiation of an administrative review of the AD order on certain steel nails (Nails) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) for the period of review August 1, 2015, through July 31, 2016. The Department initiated a review with respect to 31 companies. The

---

1 See 19 CFR 351.310(d).
3 See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at “Rate for Non-Selected Companies” (for an explanation of how we preliminarily determined the rate for non-selected companies).

---

Department selected two mandatory respondents, Stanley and Tianjin Lianda, based on highest volume of exports. On April 21, 2017, the Department extended the preliminary results of review to August 31, 2017.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes certain steel nails having a shaft length up to 12 inches. Certain steel nails subject to the order are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7317.00.55, 7317.00.65, 7317.00.75, and 7907.00.6000. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Based on the no-shipments letters filed by two companies, the Department preliminarily determines that these companies had no shipments during the POR. For additional information regarding this determination, including a list of these companies, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. Consistent with our assessment practice in non-market economy (NME) administrative reviews, the Department is not rescinding this review for these companies, but intends to complete the review and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.

Therefore, SDC International Aust. Pty. Ltd. is the party under review; SDC International Australia Pty Ltd. is not under review as a distinct company.

Separate Rates

The Department preliminarily determines that information placed on the record by the mandatory respondents Stanley and Tianjin Lianda, as well as by the 22 other separate rate applicants, demonstrates that these companies are entitled to separate rate status. See Preliminary Results of Review section below. For additional information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

PRC-Wide Entity

The Department’s policy regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity applies to this administrative review. Under this policy, the PRC-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity in this review, the entity is not under review and the weighted-average dumping margin determined for the PRC-wide entity is not subject to change (i.e., 118.04 percent) as a result of this review.8 Aside from the companies discussed above, the Department considers all other companies for which a review was requested9 to be part of the PRC-wide entity. For additional information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum; see also Appendix 2 for a list of companies considered as part of the PRC-wide entity.

Rate for Separate-Rate Companies Not Individually Examined

The statute and the Department’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to respondents not selected for individual examination when the Department limits its examination of companies subject to the administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Generally, the Department looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies not individually examined in an administrative review. Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act articulates a preference for not calculating an all-others rate using rates which are zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available (FA). Accordingly, the Department’s usual practice has been to determine the dumping margin for companies not individually examined by averaging the weighted-average dumping margins for the individually examined respondents, excluding rates that are zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts available. Consistent with this practice, in this review, we calculated weighted-average dumping margins for both Stanley and Tianjin Lianda that are both not zero, de minimis or based entirely on FA; therefore, the Department assigned to the companies not individually examined, but which demonstrated their eligibility for a separate rate, the weighted average of the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Stanley and Tianjin Lianda in these preliminary results. This average has been weighted by the ranged, publicly available sale quantities for Stanley and Tianjin Lianda in the U.S. market.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act. Constructed export prices and export prices have been calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a non-market economy country within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value (NV) has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the

See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76
Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Preliminary Results of Review**

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period August 1, 2015, through July 31, 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter/producer</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stanley</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Lianda</td>
<td>332.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dezhou Hualude Hardware Products Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebei Cangzhou New Century Foreign Trade Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebei Minmetals Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanjing CAIQING Hardware Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanjing Touda Hardware &amp; Tools Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qingdao D&amp;L Group Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDC International Aust. PTY, LTD</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong Dinglong Import &amp; Export Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong Oriental Cherry Hardware Group Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong Qingyun Hongyi Hardware Products Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai Curvet Hardware Products Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai Yueda Nails Industry Co., Ltd a.k.a. Shanghai Yueda</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi Hairui Trade Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi Pioneer Hardware Industrial Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi Tianli Industries Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suntec Industries Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Mart (Tianjin) Technology Development Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Jinchii Metal Products Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Jinghai County Hongli Industry &amp; Business Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Universal Machinery Imp. &amp; Exp. Corporation</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Zhonglian Metals Ware Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xi’an Metals &amp; Minerals Import &amp; Export Co., Ltd</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disclosure**

The Department intends to disclose to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days of its public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days after the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Public Comment**

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results, unless the Secretary alters the time limit. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this administrative review are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of the date of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, unless extended.

**Assessment Rates**

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

For any individually examined respondent whose weighted average dumping margin is not zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, the Department will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific ad valorem rate is zero or de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation. Where either a respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific ad valorem assessment rate is zero or de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon

---

11 See 19 CFR 351.209; see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

12 See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

13 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

14 See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).
publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is de minimis, then cash deposit rate will be zero); (2) for previously examined PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that at the time of entry are eligible for a separate rate based on a prior completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific cash deposit rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate at the time of entry, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity (i.e., 118.04 percent); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which at the time of entry are not eligible for a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(i)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This preliminary determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Gary Taverman,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
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BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; EU–U.S. Privacy Shield; Invitation for Applications for Inclusion on the List of Arbitrators

AGENCY: International Trade Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before November 6, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at PRAcomments@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Nasreen Djojini at the U.S. Department of Commerce, either by email at Nasreen.Djojini@trade.gov, or by fax at: 202–482–5522. More information on the arbitration mechanism may be found at: https://www.privacyshield.gov/article?id=ANNEX-I-introduction.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The EU–U.S. Privacy Shield Framework was designed by the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) and the European Commission (Commission) to provide companies on both sides of the Atlantic with a mechanism to comply with data protection requirements when transferring personal data from the European Union to the United States in support of transatlantic commerce. On July 12, 2016, the Commission deemed the EU–U.S. Privacy Shield Framework (Privacy Shield) adequate to enable data transfers under EU law, and on August 1, 2016, the DOC began accepting self-certifications from U.S. companies to join the program (81 FR 47752; July 22, 2016). For more information on the Privacy Shield, visit www.privacyshield.gov.

As described in Annex I of the Privacy Shield, the DOC and the Commission have committed to implement an arbitration mechanism to provide European individuals with the ability to invoke binding arbitration to determine, for residual claims, whether an organization has violated its obligations under the Privacy Shield. Organizations voluntarily self-certify to the Privacy Shield and, upon certification, the commitments the organization has made to comply with the Privacy Shield become legally enforceable under U.S. law. Organizations that self-certify to the Privacy Shield commit to binding arbitration of residual claims if the individual chooses to exercise that option. Under the arbitration option, a Privacy Shield Panel (consisting of one or three arbitrators, as agreed by the parties) has the authority to impose individual-specific, non-monetary equitable relief (such as access, correction, deletion, or return of the individual’s data in question) necessary to remedy the violation of the Privacy Shield only with respect to the individual. The parties will select the arbitrators from the list of arbitrators described below.

The DOC and the European Commission seek to develop a list of at least 20 arbitrators. To be eligible for inclusion on the list, applicants must be admitted to practice law in the United States and have expertise in both U.S. privacy law and EU data protection law. Applicants shall not be subject to any instructions from, or be affiliated with, any Privacy Shield organization, or the U.S., EU, or any EU Member State or any other governmental authority, public authority or enforcement authority.