advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.


**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the amended complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on September 8, 2017, ordered that—

1. Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain pool and spa enclosures by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–14 and 16 of the ’160 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

2. For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
   (a) The complainant is: Aqua Shield, Inc., 114 Bell Street, West Babylon, NY 11704.
   (b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the amended complaint is to be served: Inter Pool Cover Team, Orel 8, 538 21 Slatínany, Czech Republic 64257746. Alukov HZ Spol. S.R.O., Orel 8, 538 21 Slatínany, Czech Republic 64257746. Alukov, Spol. S.R.O., Škultétyho 1597, 95301 Tepoľ’žany Nitra—Slovakia. Pool & Spa Enclosures, LLC, 10 Centre Drive, Monroe Township, NJ 08831. Poolandspa.com, 672 Los Feliz Street, Las Vegas, NV 89110.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the amended complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the amended complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the amended complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the amended complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both resulting against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.


Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**Investigation No. 731–TA–1339 (Final)**

**Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From Taiwan**

**Determination**

On the basis of the record developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of steel concrete reinforcing bar from Taiwan, provided for in subheadings 7213.10, 7214.20, and 7228.30 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”).

**Background**

The Commission, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)), instituted this investigation effective September 20, 2016, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by the Rebar Trade Action Coalition and its individual members: Bayou Steel Group, LaPlace, Louisiana; 2 Byer Steel Group, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio; Commercial Metals Company, Irving, Texas; Gerdau Ameristeel U.S. Inc., Tampa, Florida; Nucor Corporation, Charlotte, North Carolina; and Steel Dynamics, Inc., Pittsboro, Indiana. The final phase of the investigation was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by Commerce that imports of rebar from Taiwan were sold at LTFV within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on March 15, 2017 (82 FR 13854). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 18, 2017, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determination in this investigation on September 11, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4724 (September 2017), entitled Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Taiwan: Investigation No. 731–TA–1339 (Final). By order of the Commission.


Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

**[FR Doc. 2017–19557 Filed 9–14–17; 8:45 am]**

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

---

2 Bayou Steel Group was no longer a petitioner in the final phase of this investigation.