persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the June 7, 2017, meeting was a public meeting, and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit comments on this proposed rule, including the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the order’s information collection requirements have been previously approved by OMB and assigned OMB No. 0581–0178 (Vegetable and Specialty Crops). No changes in those requirements as a result of this action are necessary. Should any changes become necessary, they would be submitted to OMB for approval.

This proposed rule would impose no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large South Texas onion handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

AMS is committed to complying with the E-Government Act, to promote the use of the internet and other information technologies to provide increased opportunities for citizen access to Government information and services, and for other purposes.

USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this action.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/rules-regulations/moa/small-businesses. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Richard Lower at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposed rule. Thirty days is deemed appropriate because: (1) The 2017–18 fiscal period begins on August 1, 2017, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable onions handled during such fiscal period; (2) the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses, which are incurred on a continuous basis; and (3) handlers are aware of this action, which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 959
Marketing agreements, Onions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 959 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 959—ONIONS GROWN IN SOUTH TEXAS

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 959 continues to read as follows:


Subpart A—[Amended]

2. Designate the subpart labeled “Order Regulating Handling” as subpart A.

Subpart B—Administrative Provisions

3. Designate the subpart labeled “Rules and Regulations” as subpart B and revise the heading as shown above.

Subparts “Assessment Rates” and “Handling Regulations”—[Amended]

4. Remove the subpart headings “Assessment Rates” and “Handling Regulations”.

5. Transfer §§ 959.237 and 959.322 to subpart B.

6. Section 959.237 is revised to read as follows:

§959.237 Assessment rate.
On and after August 1, 2017, an assessment rate of $0.065 per 50-pound equivalent is established for South Texas onions.

Dated: September 12, 2017.
Bruce Summers,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Airbus Model A330–200 series airplanes, Model A330–200 Freighter series airplanes, and Model A330–300 series airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by an evaluation by the design approval holder (DAH) indicating that certain fuselage structures are subject to widespread fatigue damage (WFD). This proposed AD would require reinforcement modifications of various structural parts of the fuselage, and related investigative and corrective actions if necessary. We are proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by November 3, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: 202–493–2251.

Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this NPRM, contact Airbus SAS, Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email airworthiness.A330–A340@airbus.com; Internet http://www.airbus.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0812; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2017–0812; Product Identifier 2016–NM–198–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion
Fatigue damage can occur locally, in small areas or structural design details, or globally, in widespread areas. Multiple-site damage is widespread damage that occurs in a large structural element such as a single rivet line of a lap splice joining two large skin panels. Widespread damage can also occur in multiple elements such as adjacent frames or stringers. Multiple-site damage and multiple-element damage cracks are typically too small initially to be reliably detected with normal inspection methods. Without intervention, these cracks will grow, and eventually compromise the structural integrity of the airplane. This condition is known as widespread fatigue damage. It is associated with general degradation of large areas of structure with similar structural details and stress levels. As an airplane ages, WFD will likely occur, and will certainly occur if the airplane is operated long enough without any intervention.

The FAA’s WFD final rule (75 FR 69746, November 15, 2010) became effective on January 14, 2011. The WFD rule requires certain actions to prevent structural failure due to WFD throughout the operational life of certain existing transport category airplanes and all of these airplanes that will be certificated in the future. For existing and future airplanes subject to the WFD rule, the rule requires that DAHs establish a limit of validity (LOV) of the engineering data that support the structural maintenance program. Operators affected by the WFD rule may not fly an airplane beyond its LOV, unless an extended LOV is approved.

The WFD rule (75 FR 69746, November 15, 2010) does not require identifying and developing maintenance actions if the DAHs can show that such actions are not necessary to prevent WFD before the airplane reaches the LOV. Many LOVs, however, do depend on accomplishment of future maintenance actions. As stated in the WFD rule, any maintenance actions necessary to reach the LOV will be mandated by airworthiness directives through separate rulemaking actions.

In the context of WFD, this action is necessary to enable DAHs to propose LOVs that allow operators the longest operational lives for their airplanes, and still ensure that WFD will not occur. This approach allows for an implementation strategy that provides flexibility to DAHs in determining the timing of service information development (with FAA approval), while providing operators with certainty regarding the LOV applicable to their airplanes.

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union, has issued EASA Airworthiness Directive 2016–0207, dated October 19, 2016 (referred to after this as the Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information, or “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for all Airbus Model A330–200 series airplanes, Model A330–200 Freighter series airplanes, and Model A330–300 series airplanes. The MCAI states:

An analysis conducted on A330 aeroplanes identified structural areas which are susceptible to widespread fatigue damage (WFD).

This condition, if not corrected, could lead to crack initiation and undetected propagation, leading to reduced structural integrity of the aeroplane, possibly resulting in rapid depressurisation and consequent injury to occupants.

To address this potential unsafe condition, Airbus developed a number of modifications (Mod) and published associated Service Bulletins (SB) for embodiment in service, to provide instructions to reinforce the various structural parts of the fuselage.

For the reasons described above, this EASA AD requires accomplishment of these modifications and reinforcments (and related investigative and corrective actions). Related investigative actions include a rotating probe hole inspection for cracking. You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0812.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 1

Airbus has issued the following service information. This service information describes procedures for modifications and reinforcement of various structural parts of the fuselage. These documents are distinct since they apply to different airplane models in different configurations.

- Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3224, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated April 14, 2016.
- Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3225, Revision 02, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated June 8, 2016.
- Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3226, Revision 02, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendices 02, 03, and 04, dated October 27, 2016.
- Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3236, Revision 02, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendices 02 and 03, dated March 23, 2016.
- Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3263, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated December 1, 2015.
### Bilateral Agreement

This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the **ADDRESSES** section.

### FAA's Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of

Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of these same type designs.

### Explanation of Compliance Time

The compliance time for the reinforcement modifications specified in this proposed AD for addressing WFD was established to ensure that discrepant structure is addressed before

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement modifications</td>
<td>Up to 317 work-hours × $85 per hour = $26,945.</td>
<td>Up to $41,050 ......</td>
<td>Up to $67,995 ......</td>
<td>Up to $6,731,505.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

- **1.** The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

  **Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 99 airplanes of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement modifications</td>
<td>Up to 317 work-hours × $85 per hour = $26,945.</td>
<td>Up to $41,050 ......</td>
<td>Up to $67,995 ......</td>
<td>Up to $6,731,505.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in “Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

This proposed AD is issued in accordance with authority delegated by the Executive Director, Aircraft Certification Service, as authorized by FAA Order 8000.51C. In accordance with that order, issuance of ADs is normally a function of the Compliance and Airworthiness Division, but during this transition period, the Executive Director has delegated the authority to issue ADs applicable to transport category airplanes to the Director of the System Oversight Division.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

**Airbus:** Docket No. FAA–2017–0812; Product Identifier 2016–NM–198–AD.

(a) **Comments Due Date**

We must receive comments by November 3, 2017.

(b) **Affected ADs**

None.

(c) **Applicability**

This AD applies to the Airbus airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(3) of this AD, certified in any category, all manufacturer serial numbers.


(d) **Subject**

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 53, Fuselage.

(e) **Reason**

This AD was prompted by an evaluation by the design approval holder (DAH) indicating that certain fuselage structures are subject to widespread fatigue damage (WFD). We are issuing this AD to prevent crack initiation and undetected propagation in the fuselage, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

(f) **Compliance**

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) **Modifications**

Except as specified in paragraphs (i)(1) and (ii)(2) of this AD, before exceeding the applicable total flight cycles or total flight...
hours structural modification point (SMP) for each action, as specified in table 1 to paragraph (g) of this AD: Modify the airplane, including all applicable related investigative actions and corrective actions, based on the weight variant (WV) group designations specified in table 2 to paragraph (h) of this AD, and as specified in table 1 to paragraph (g) of this AD, except as specified in paragraph (i)(3) of this AD. Do all applicable related investigative actions and corrective actions before further flight. For the purposes of this AD, the short range (SR) and long range (LR) SMPs specified in table 1 to paragraph (g) of this AD must be used.

### Table 1 to Paragraph (g) of This AD—Modification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of action</th>
<th>SMP SR</th>
<th>SMP LR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve circumferential joints at frames (FR) 45 and 54 of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3144, Revision 04, dated November 23, 2015 (&quot;A330–53–3144, R4&quot;).</td>
<td>32,500 total flight cycles</td>
<td>26,600 flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve splicing area from FR48 to FR53–2 between stringers (STRG) 23 and 26 left hand (LH)/right hand (RH) of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3222, Revision 01, dated March 31, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3222, R1&quot;) (Airbus Modification 204315).</td>
<td>23,700 total flight cycles</td>
<td>20,400 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce circumferential joint at FR72 of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3224, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated April 14, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3224, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>24,200 total flight cycles</td>
<td>21,800 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce circumferential joint at FR58 of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3223, Revision 02, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated June 8, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3223, R2&quot;).</td>
<td>21,600 total flight cycles</td>
<td>17,700 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce circumferential joint between FR53—6—FR53–7 LH/RH of the forward fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3273, Revision 00, dated September 28, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3273, R0&quot;).</td>
<td>27,400 total flight cycles</td>
<td>27,400 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce circumferential joint between FR53.6—FR53–7 for emergency door TYPE 1 area of the center fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3226, Revision 02, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendices 02, 03, and 04, dated October 27, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3226, R2&quot;); or Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3273, Revision 00, dated September 28, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3273, R0&quot;).</td>
<td>30,900 total flight cycles</td>
<td>30,900 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve fatigue life of internal center fuselage structure on longitudinal beams above the center wing box, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3236, Revision 02, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendices 02 and 03, dated March 23, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3236, R2&quot;).</td>
<td>29,700 total flight cycles</td>
<td>25,500 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve circumferential joint between FR53.6–FR53–7 for emergency door TYPE 2 area of the center fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3226, Revision 02, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendices 02, 03, and 04, dated October 27, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3226, R2&quot;); or Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3273, Revision 00, dated September 28, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3273, R0&quot;).</td>
<td>25,400 total flight cycles</td>
<td>25,400 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve fatigue life of internal center fuselage structure on longitudinal beams above the center wing box, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3237, Revision 01, dated February 8, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3237, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>26,100 total flight cycles</td>
<td>21,000 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update lower/lateral frame splicing with corner fitting between FR53.3 and FR54 of the center fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3238, Revision 01, dated October 19, 2015 (&quot;A330–53–3238, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>34,400 total flight cycles</td>
<td>27,800 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce longitudinal butt joints in section 13, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3239, Revision 01, dated July 4, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3239, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>34,400 total flight cycles</td>
<td>27,800 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce circumferential joint at FR31 between STRG 7LH and STRG 8RH of forward fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3244, Revision 01, dated August 2, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3244, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>27,300 total flight cycles</td>
<td>27,300 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce frame couplings in section 13, 14, and 14A of the forward fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3248, Revision 02, dated July 27, 2016 (&quot;A330–53–3248, R2&quot;).</td>
<td>27,300 total flight cycles</td>
<td>27,300 total flight cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Reinforce frame couplings in rear area of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3257, Revision 01, dated March 15, 2016 (“A330-53-3257, R1”).

Reinforce corner fittings in section 13 of the forward fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3258, Revision 00, dated April 20, 2015 (“A330-53-3258, R0”).


Reinforce frames in rear area of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3263, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated December 1, 2015 (“A330-53-3263, R1”).

### Table 1 to Paragraph (g) of This AD—Modification—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of action</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>SMP SR</th>
<th>SMP LR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce circumferential joint/stringer coupling in area of FR37.1 of the forward fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3252, Revision 01, dated June 30, 2016 (&quot;A330-53-3252, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>Groups 33C and 33D Post-Modification 46636.</td>
<td>30,600 total flight cycles 99,500 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.</td>
<td>27,600 total flight cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce frame couplings in rear area of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3257, Revision 01, dated March 15, 2016 (&quot;A330-53-3257, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>Group 33E</td>
<td>32,200 total flight cycles</td>
<td>25,200 total flight cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce frame couplings in rear area of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3258, Revision 00, dated April 20, 2015 (&quot;A330-53-3258, R0&quot;).</td>
<td>Groups 33A and 33B</td>
<td>30,600 total flight cycles 99,500 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.</td>
<td>27,600 total flight cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce corner fittings in section 13 of the forward fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3258, Revision 00, dated April 20, 2015 (&quot;A330-53-3258, R0&quot;).</td>
<td>Group 32A</td>
<td>24,000 total flight cycles</td>
<td>24,000 total flight cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce corner fittings in section 13 of the forward fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3259, Revision 02, dated July 18, 2016 (&quot;A330-53-3259, R2&quot;).</td>
<td>Group 32E</td>
<td>18,500 total flight cycles 65,400 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.</td>
<td>14,600 total flight cycles or 95,700 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce frames in rear area of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3263, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated December 1, 2015 (&quot;A330-53-3263, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>Group 32A</td>
<td>27,600 total flight cycles</td>
<td>27,600 total flight cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce frames in rear area of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3263, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated December 1, 2015 (&quot;A330-53-3263, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>Group 32E</td>
<td>34,800 total flight cycles</td>
<td>28,400 total flight cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce frames in rear area of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3263, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated December 1, 2015 (&quot;A330-53-3263, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>Group 33A</td>
<td>33,500 total flight cycles</td>
<td>27,400 total flight cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforce frames in rear area of the fuselage, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330-53-3263, Revision 01, excluding Appendix 01 and including Appendix 02, dated December 1, 2015 (&quot;A330-53-3263, R1&quot;).</td>
<td>Group 33B</td>
<td>23,300 total flight cycles 67,700 total flight hours, whichever occurs first.</td>
<td>20,800 total flight cycles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 to Paragraph (h) of This AD—WV Group Designations

For the purposes of this AD, table 2 to paragraph (h) of this AD identifies the WV group designations specified in the “Applicability” column of Table 1 to paragraph (g) and Table 3 to paragraph (i) of this AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airplane model</th>
<th>WV group</th>
<th>Weight variants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 32E</td>
<td>050, 051, 052, 053, 054, 055, 056, 057, 058, 059, 060, 061, 062, 063, 064, 080, 081, 082, and 083.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A330–200F</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>000, 001, and 002.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A330–300</td>
<td>Group 33A</td>
<td>000, 001, 002, 003, and 004.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 33B</td>
<td>010, 011, 012, 013, and 014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 33C</td>
<td>020, 024, 025, 026, and 027.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 33D</td>
<td>022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 33E</td>
<td>030, 031, 032, 033, 034, 035, 039, 050, 051, 052, 053, 054, 055, 056, 057, 058, 059, 060, 080, 081, 082, and 083.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Exceptions to Service Information and Compliance Times

(1) Do not do the applicable modifications required by paragraph (g) of this AD before the applicable times specified in table 3 to paragraph (i) of this AD. Where two limits (total flight cycles and total flight hours) within the same sub-row of the table are specified, both times must be exceeded before accomplishment of the modification. For airplanes already modified before the threshold specified in table 3 to paragraph (i) of this AD is reached, within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, obtain instructions for additional maintenance tasks (modifications/inspections) from and approved by the Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA; or the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA); or Airbus’s EASA Design Organization Approval (DOA), and accomplish those tasks within the compliance time specified therein.

(2) For airplanes that have already reached or exceeded the SMP threshold(s), as specified for each action in table 1 to paragraph (g) of this AD, as applicable, accomplishment of the modification can be deferred for a period not exceeding 12 months after the effective of this AD; except for accomplishment of the modifications specified in A330–53–3237, R1, which can be deferred for a period not exceeding 15 months after the effective date of this AD.

(3) If any service information specified in paragraph (g) or (i) of this AD specifies to contact Airbus for appropriate action: Before further flight, accomplish corrective actions in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (i)(2) of this AD.
TABLE 3 TO PARAGRAPH (i) OF THIS AD —COMPLIANCE TIME LOWER THRESHOLD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airbus Service Bulletin (modification)</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
<th>Modification not before:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A330–53–3225, R2 .........................</td>
<td>Group 33A.</td>
<td>8,900 total flight cycles and 26,600 total flight hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A330–53–3237, R1 .........................</td>
<td>Groups 32A, 33A, 33B, 33C, and 33D.</td>
<td>10,000 total flight cycles and 6,600 total flight hours.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(j) Additional Work for Certain Airplanes

For airplanes that have been modified before the effective date of this AD, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3144, Revision 00, dated August 23, 2005; Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3222, Revision 00, dated January 15, 2015; or Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3237, R1; as applicable. Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the additional work specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Service Bulletin A330–53–3144, Revision 01, dated July 25, 2006; A330–53–3222, Revision 01, and A330–53–3237, R1; as applicable.

(k) Credit for Previous Actions

This paragraph provides credit for applicable actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using the applicable service information specified in paragraphs (k)(1) through (k)(19) of this AD:


(l) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Section, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (m)(2) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the action must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA; or the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA); or Airbus’s EASA Design Organization Approval (DOA). If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA-authorized signature.

(3) Required for Compliance (RC): Except as required by paragraph (i) of this AD: If any service information contains procedures or tests that are identified as RC, those procedures and tests must be done to comply with this AD; any procedures or tests that are not identified as RC are recommended. Those procedures and tests that are not identified as RC may be deviated from using accepted methods in accordance with the operator’s maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC, provided the procedures and tests identified as RC can be done and the airplane can be put back in an airworthy condition. Any substitutions or changes to procedures or tests identified as RC require approval of an AMOC.

(m) Related Information

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information (MCAI) EASA AD 2016–0207, dated October 19, 2016, for related information. This MCAI may be found in the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0812.


(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Airbus SAS, Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com; Internet http://www.airbus.com. You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on September 7, 2017.

Jeffrey E. Duven,
Director, System Oversight Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2017–19760 Filed 9–18–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Parts 1, 5f, and 46

[REG–125374–16]

RIN 1545–BN60

Guidance on the Definition of Registered Form

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Partial withdrawal of notice of proposed rulemaking and notice of proposed rulemaking.
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