

pyrite; 1 lot of 1 copper awl with antler handle; 1 lot of 2 modified antler fragments; 1 lot of 17 unworked rodent incisor fragments, likely beaver; 1 lot of 2 unworked turtle shell fragments; 1 lot of 1 sandstone platform pipe; 1 lot of 1 slab of igneous rock; 1 lot of 1 sandstone abrader; 1 lot of 1 possible fire-cracked rock; 1 lot of 6 rocks and 10 possible ochre concretions; 1 lot of 10 charcoal fragments plus many tiny fragments; 1 lot of 1 small, thin unworked stone; 1 lot of 1 lithic biface and 1 retouched flake; 1 lot of 16 lithic debitage fragments; 1 lot of 1 unworked clam shell fragment; 1 lot of 94 Wayne earthenware sherds; 1 lot of 55 Wayne earthenware sherds likely from same vessel; 1 lot of 13 unworked faunal long bone fragments; 1 lot of 1 small stone celt; 1 lot of 1 earthenware platform pipe; 1 lot of 1 sandstone abrader; 1 lot of 12 projectile points; 1 lot of 1 side-notched lithic drill; 1 lot of 13 triangular retouched flakes; 1 lot of 5 lithic debitage; 1 lot of 8 large antler billets and fragments; 1 lot of 1 unworked faunal metapodial fragment; 1 lot of 3 harpoon-style antler points; 1 lot of 1 carved antler tool and 1 hollowed faunal long bone fragment; 1 lot of 2 unworked animal bone fragments; 1 lot of 53 unworked turtle shell fragments, 6 small unworked animal bone fragments, and 1 rock; 1 lot of 1 earthenware sherd; 1 lot of 1 retouched flake and 1 small earthenware sherd; 1 lot of 1 small stone, possibly quartz, with ochre; 1 lot of 21 unworked rodent incisor fragments, likely beaver; 1 lot of 5 antler tool fragments, 1 unworked animal bone fragment, and 1 earthenware body sherd; 1 lot of 4 lithic debitage and 1 possibly retouched flake; 1 lot of 1 possible fire-cracked rock; and 1 lot of 1 quartzite stone, possibly debitage.

#### Determinations Made by the University of Michigan

Officials of the University of Michigan have determined that:

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described in this notice are Native American based on cranial morphology, dental traits, accession documentation, and archeological context.
- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described in this notice represent the physical remains of 20 individuals of Native American ancestry.
- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(3)(A), the 106 objects described in this notice are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), a relationship of shared group identity cannot be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and any present-day Indian Tribe.

- According to final judgments of the Indian Claims Commission or the Court of Federal Claims, the land from which the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects were removed is the aboriginal land of the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan.

- Treaties, Acts of Congress, or Executive Orders, indicate that the land from which the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects were removed is the aboriginal land of The Consulted and Invited Tribes.

- Pursuant to 43 CFR 10.11(c)(1), the disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects may be to The Consulted and Invited Tribes.

#### Additional Requestors and Disposition

Representatives of any Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains and associated funerary objects should submit a written request with information in support of the request to Dr. Ben Secunda, NAGPRA Project Manager, University of Michigan Office of Research, 4080 Fleming Building, 503 Thompson Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1340, telephone (734) 647-9085, email [bsecunda@umich.edu](mailto:bsecunda@umich.edu), by October 25, 2017. After that date, if no additional requestors have come forward, transfer of control of the human remains and associated funerary objects to The Consulted and Invited Tribes may proceed.

The University of Michigan is responsible for notifying The Consulted and Invited Tribes that this notice has been published.

Dated: August 15, 2017.

**Sarah Glass,**

*Acting Manager, National NAGPRA Program.*  
[FR Doc. 2017-20382 Filed 9-22-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-P**

#### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1052]

#### Certain Thermoplastic-Encapsulated Electric Motors, Components Thereof, and Products and Vehicles Containing Same; Commission's Determination To Grant Complainant's Motion To Withdraw the Complaint and Deny Complainant's Motion for Vacatur; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to grant Complainant's motion to withdraw the complaint and terminates the investigation. As a result of the Commission's determination, Order No. 7 is moot. Complainant's motion to vacate Order No. 7 is denied.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amanda P. Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on May 3, 2017, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Intellectual Ventures II LLC ("Complainant") of Bellevue, Washington. 82 FR 20633 (May 3, 2017). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain thermoplastic-encapsulated electric motors, components thereof, and products and vehicles containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,154,200; U.S. Patent No. 7,067,944;

U.S. Patent No. 7,067,952; U.S. Patent No. 7,683,509; and U.S. Patent No. 7,928,348. The complainant named as respondents Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd. of Aichi, Japan; Aisin Holdings of America, Inc. of Seymour, Indiana; Aisin Technical Center of America, Inc. of Northville, Michigan; Bayerische Motoren Werke AG of Munich, Germany; BMW of North America, LLC of Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey; BMW Manufacturing Co., LLC of Greer, South Carolina; Denso Corporation of Aichi, Japan; Denso International America, Inc. of Southfield, Michigan; Honda Motor Co., Ltd. of Tokyo, Japan; Honda North America, Inc. of Torrance, California; American Honda Motor Co., Inc. of Torrance, California; Honda of America Mfg., Inc. of Marysville, Ohio; Honda Manufacturing of Alabama, LLC of Lincoln, Alabama; Honda R&D Americas, Inc. of Torrance, California; Mitsuba Corporation of Gunma, Japan; American Mitsuba Corporation of Mount Pleasant, Michigan; Nidec Corporation of Kyoto, Japan; Nidec Automotive Motor Americas, LLC of Auburn Hills, Michigan; Toyota Motor Corporation of Aichi, Japan; Toyota Motor North America, Inc. of New York, New York; Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. of Torrance, California; Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc. of Erlanger, Kentucky; Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Indiana, Inc. of Princeton, Indiana; and Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc. of Georgetown, Kentucky (collectively, "Respondents"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is participating in the investigation.

On June 20, 2017, Respondents filed a motion to terminate the investigation on the ground that Complainant lacked standing to sue. On August 3, 2017, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 7) granting Respondents' motion. Specifically, the ALJ found that the Complainant does not own the asserted patents and that the Commission does not have the authority to remedy a standing defect. Order No. 7. No petitions for review were filed. On August 22, 2017, the Commission determined to extend the deadline for determining whether to review this ID until September 29, 2017. Notice of the Commission's Determination to Extend the Date for Determining Whether to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Lack of Standing (Aug. 22, 2017).

On August 15, 2017, Complainant filed a motion with the Commission to withdraw the complaint and vacate Order No. 7. Motion at 1. On August 25, 2017, Respondents and OUII each filed

responses supporting withdrawal of the complaint but opposing Complainant's motion to vacate Order No. 7.

The Commission has determined to grant Complainant's motion to withdraw the complaint, and hereby terminates the investigation. As a result of the Commission's determination, Order No. 7 is moot. The Commission denies Complainant's motion to vacate Order No. 7.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 19, 2017.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-20370 Filed 9-22-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Reusable Diapers, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same, DN 3254*; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The

public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Cotton Babies, Inc. on September 19, 2017. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain reusable diapers, components thereof, and products containing the same. The complaint names as respondents Alvababy.com of China; Shenzhen Adsel Trading Co., Ltd. d/b/a Alva of China; and Huizhou Huapin Garment Co., Ltd of China. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited and/or general exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles