comment period soliciting comments, of the following collection of information on July 6, 2017, 82 FR 31341. TSA developed and implemented a plan to review the security plans and inspect critical pipeline systems to comply with a requirement in the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (9/11 Act).

**DATES:** Send your comments by November 2, 2017. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB. Comments should be addressed to Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/TSA, and sent via electronic mail to *dhsdeskofficer@omb.eop.gov.*

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christina A. Walsh, TSA PRA Officer, Office of Information Technology (OIT), TSA–11, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598–6011; telephone (571) 227–2062; email *TSAPRA@tsa.dhs.gov.*

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The ICR documentation will be made available at *http://www.reginfo.gov* upon its submission to OMB. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including using appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Consistent with the requirements of Executive Order (EO) 13771, Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs, and EO 13777, Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda, TSA is also requesting comments on the extent to which this request for information could be modified to reduce the burden on respondents.

**Information Collection Requirement**

*Title:* Critical Facility Information of the Top 100 Most Critical Pipelines.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 1652–0050.

*Forms(s):* Critical Facility Security Review.

*Affected Public:* Pipeline companies.

*Abstract:* The 9/11 Act specifically tasked TSA to develop and implement a plan for reviewing the pipeline security plans and inspecting critical facilities of the 100 most critical pipeline systems. *See* sec. 1557 of the 9/11 Act (Pub. L. 110–53; 121 Stat. 266, 475, Aug. 3, 2007; codified at 6 U.S.C. 1207(b)). TSA visits critical pipeline facilities and collects site-specific information from pipeline operators on facility security policies, procedures, and physical security measures. TSA uses the information to determine strengths and weaknesses at the nation's critical pipeline facilities, areas to target for risk reduction strategies, pipeline industry implementation of the TSA Pipeline Security Guidelines, and operator implementation of recommendations made during TSA critical facility visits.

*Number of Respondents:* 160.

*Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* An estimated 720 hours annually.

Dated: September 28, 2017.

**Christina A. Walsh,**

*TSA Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of Information Technology.*

[FR Doc. 2017–21251 Filed 10–2–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–05–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation No. 337–TA–1072]**

### Certain Wi-Fi Enabled Electronic Devices and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on August 29, 2017, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Sharp Corporation of Japan and Sharp Electronics Corporation of Montvale, New Jersey. Supplements to the complaint were filed on August 30, 2017 and September 21, 2017. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain Wi-Fi enabled electronic devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,325,838 (''the '838 patent'') and U.S. Patent No. 8,279,809 (''the '809 patent''). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.*

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2017).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on September 26, 2017, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after

importation of certain Wi-Fi enabled electronic devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–18 of the '838 patent and claims 1–4, 6–9, 11–14, and 16 of the '809 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Sharp Corporation, 1 Takumi-cho, Sakai-ku, Sakai City, Osaka, 590–8522 Japan

Sharp Electronics Corporation, 100 Paragon Drive, Montvale, NJ 07645

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Hisense Co., Ltd., Hisense Tower, 17 Donghaixi Road, Qingdao, China 266071

Hisense Electric, Co. Ltd., No. 218 Qianwangang Road, QingDao Economic & Technological Zone, QingDao China 266555

Hisense International (Hong Kong) Co. Ltd., Room 3104–06, Singga Commerical Centre, No. 148 Connaught Road West, Hong Kong

Hisense USA Corporation, 7130 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024

Hisense Electronics Manufacturing Company of America Corporation, 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024

Hisense USA Multimedia R&D Center, Inc., 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024

Hisense Inc., 16541 Gothard Street, Suite 108, Huntington Beach, CA 92647

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 27, 2017.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017–21157 Filed 10–2–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

### Hearings of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

**AGENCY:** Advisory Committee on the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Judicial Conference of the United States.

**ACTION:** Notice of cancellation of public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The following public hearing on proposed amendments to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure has been canceled: Criminal Rules Hearing on October 24, 2017, in Chicago, Illinois.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rebecca A. Womeldorf, Rules Committee Secretary, Rules Committee Staff, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502–1820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Announcement for this hearing was previously published in 82 FR 37610.

Dated: September 27, 2017.

**Rebecca A. Womeldorf,**

*Rules Committee Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017–21030 Filed 10–2–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210–55–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Meeting of the NDCAC Executive Advisory Board

**AGENCY:** Justice Department.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this notice is to announce the meeting of the Department of Justice's National Domestic Communications Assistance Center's (NDCAC) Executive Advisory Board (EAB). The meeting is being called to address the items identified in the Agenda detailed below. The NDCAC EAB is a federal advisory committee established pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA).

**DATES:** The NDCAC EAB meeting is open to the public, subject to the registration requirements detailed below. The EAB will meet in open session from 9:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m. on November 1, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will take place at 5000 Seminary Rd, Alexandria, VA 22311. Entry into the meeting room will begin at 8:00 a.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Inquiries may be addressed to Ms. Alice Bardney-Boose, Designated Federal Officer, National Domestic Communications Assistance Center, Department of Justice, by email at *NDCAC@ic.fbi.gov* or by phone at (540) 361–4600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Agenda: The meeting will be called to order at 9:00 a.m. by EAB Chairman Preston Grubbs. All EAB members will be introduced and EAB Chairman Grubbs will provide remarks. The EAB will receive an update presentation and hold a discussion on the National Domestic Communications Assistance Center; receive a status report from its Administrative sub-committee; review the EAB Charter; and discuss the process of filling the NDCAC Deputy Director position. Note: Agenda items are subject to change.

The purpose of the EAB is to provide advice and recommendations to the Attorney General or designee, and to the Director of the NDCAC that promote public safety and national security by advancing the NDCAC's core functions: