

producer/exporter chains from the antidumping duty *Order*.²⁸ Accordingly, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to release any bonds or other security and refund cash deposits pertaining to any suspended entries from the three aforementioned producer-exporter combinations. This exclusion does not apply beyond the three producer-exporter combinations referenced above.

We note, however, that pursuant to *Timken* the suspension of liquidation must continue during the pendency of the appeals process. Thus, we will instruct CBP to suspend liquidation of all unliquidated entries from the three aforementioned producer-exporter combinations at a cash deposit rate of 0.00 percent which are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption after August 27, 2017, which is ten days after the CIT's final decision, in accordance with section 516A of the Act.²⁹ If the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or if appealed and upheld, the Department will instruct CBP to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to liquidate entries subject to the three producer-exporter combination rates stated above without regard to antidumping duties. As a result of the exclusion, the Department is discontinuing the ongoing fifth administrative review covering the period June 1, 2016, through May 31, 2017, which only pertains to BTIC's entries during that period of review,³⁰ and the Department will not initiate any new administrative reviews of BTIC's entries pursuant to the antidumping *Order*.³¹

Lastly, we note that, at this time, the Department remains enjoined by Court order from liquidating entries that were exported by BTIC, and were entered, or

²⁸ See Third Remand Redetermination at 8. There continues to be a countervailing duty order covering BTIC's entries. This countervailing duty order is unaffected by this *Timken* notice and notice of amended final determination. See *High Pressure Cylinders from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 77 FR 37384 (June 21, 2012).

²⁹ See *Drill Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with International Trade Commission's Injury Determination, Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders Pursuant to Court Decision, and Discontinuation of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 79 FR 78037, 78038 (December 29, 2014) (*Drill Pipe*).

³⁰ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 35749 (August 1, 2017).

³¹ See *Drill Pipe*, 79 FR at 78038; see also *Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with the Final Determination and Amended Final Determination of the Less Than Fair Value Investigation*, 80 FR 77316 (December 14, 2015).

withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period December 16, 2011, through May 31, 2016. These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunction during the pendency of any appeals process.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c)(1) and (e) of the Act.

Dated: September 29, 2017.

Carole Showers,

Executive Director, Office of Policy performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-21582 Filed 10-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-980]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending the final results of the countervailing duty administrative review of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells), from the People's Republic of China (PRC) to correct ministerial errors. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014.

DATES: Applicable October 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gene H. Calvert, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-3586.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), on July 17, 2017, the Department published its final results in the countervailing duty administrative review of solar cells from the PRC.¹ On July 28, 2017,

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the*

Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu) Inc. and its cross-owned affiliates (collectively, Canadian Solar) timely alleged that the Department made two ministerial errors in the *Final Results*.² No other parties submitted ministerial error allegations or comments on Canadian Solar's allegations.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, and modules, laminates, and panels, consisting of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not partially or fully assembled into other products, including, but not limited to, modules, laminates, panels and building integrated materials. The merchandise covered by this order is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 8501.61.0000, 8507.20.80, 8541.40.6020, 8541.40.6030, and 8501.31.8000. While these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope, which is contained in the Decision Memorandum accompanying the *Final Results*, is dispositive.³

Ministerial Errors

Section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f) define a "ministerial error" as an error "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." As discussed in the Department's Ministerial Error Memorandum, the Department finds that the errors alleged by Canadian Solar constitute ministerial errors within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.224(f).⁴ Specifically, we made ministerial errors with regard to calculating the benefit Canadian Solar received from the

People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014, 82 FR 32678 (July 17, 2017) (*Final Results*) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (Decision Memorandum).

² See Canadian Solar Letter, "Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules from the People's Republic of China: Ministerial Error Comments," dated July 28, 2017 (Canadian Solar Ministerial Comments).

³ See the Decision Memorandum for a full description of the scope of the order.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Ministerial Error Comments Regarding the Final Results," dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Ministerial Error Memorandum).

“Preferential Policy Lending Program,” and in calculating the inland freight values when constructing Canadian Solar’s benchmark for programs regarding the provision of inputs for less than adequate remuneration.⁵

In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the *Final Results*.⁶ Specifically, we are amending the net subsidy rates for Canadian Solar and for the 17 companies for which a review was requested that were not selected as mandatory company respondents (*i.e.*, the non-selected companies).⁷ The revised net subsidies rates are provided below.

Amended Final Results

As result of correcting the ministerial errors, we determine that that the countervailable subsidy rates for the producers/exporters under review to be as follows:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Changshu) Inc. and its Cross-Owned Affiliates ⁸	18.16
Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. and its Cross-Owned Affiliates ⁹ ...	17.14
BYD (Shangluo) Industrial Co., Ltd	17.49
Chint Solar (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd	17.49
ET Solar Energy Limited	17.49
ET Solar Industry Limited	17.49
Hangzhou Sunny Energy Science and Technology Co., Ltd	17.49
Jiawei Solarchina Co., Ltd	17.49
Jiawei Solarchina (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd	17.49
Lightway Green New Energy Co., Ltd	17.49
Luoyang Suntech Power Co., Ltd	17.49

⁵ See the Ministerial Error Memorandum for a complete discussion of these alleged errors.

⁶ See *Final Results*, 82 FR at 32680.

⁷ Consistent with the *Final Results*, for the non-selected companies, we calculated a rate by weight-averaging the calculated subsidy rates of the two mandatory respondents (*i.e.*, Canadian Solar and Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. and its cross-owned affiliates) using their publicly-ranged sales data for exports of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

⁸ See *Final Results*, 82 FR at 32680. Cross-owned affiliates are: Canadian Solar Inc.; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Luoyang) Inc.; CSI Cells Co., Ltd.; CSI Solar Power (China) Inc.; CSI Solartronics (Changshu) Co., Ltd.; CSI Solar Technologies Inc.; and CSI Solar Manufacture Inc.

⁹ *Id.* Cross-owned affiliates are: Trina Solar Limited; Trina Solar (Changzhou) Science & Technology Co., Ltd.; Yancheng Trina Solar Energy Technology Co., Ltd.; Changzhou Trina Solar Yabang Energy Co., Ltd.; Hubei Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; Turpan Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd.; and Changzhou Trina PV Ribbon Materials Co., Ltd.

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd	17.49
Shanghai BYD Co., Ltd	17.49
Shenzhen Topray Solar Co. Ltd	17.49
Systemes Versilis, Inc	17.49
Taizhou BD Trade Co., Ltd ..	17.49
tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd	17.49
Toenergy Technology Hangzhou Co., Ltd	17.49
Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd	17.49

Assessment Rates/Cash Deposits

Normally, the Department would issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) 15 days after the date of publication of these amended final results of review, to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by the companies listed above entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. However, on August 3, 8, and 17, 2017, and on September 8, 2017, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) preliminarily enjoined liquidation of certain entries that are subject to the *Final Results*.¹⁰ Accordingly, the Department will not instruct CBP to assess countervailing duties on those enjoined entries pending resolution of the associated litigation.

The Department intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties, in the amounts shown above for the companies listed above, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after July 17, 2017, which is the date of publication of the *Final Results*. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits at the most-recent company specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves a reminder to parties that are subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which

¹⁰ The CIT issued the preliminary injunctions in case numbers 17–00207, 17–00198, 17–00220, and 17–00221, respectively.

continues to government business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results to interested parties within five business days of the date of the publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: October 3, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–21589 Filed 10–5–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–351–809, A–201–805; A–580–809, A–583–814 and A–583–008]

Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Brazil, Mexico, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan and Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Taiwan: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Applicable October 6, 2017.

SUMMARY: As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Brazil, Mexico, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan and certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail are indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and