determinations. The Petition identifies the proposed analytical method changes filed in this docket as Proposal Nine.

II. Proposal Nine

Background. The Postal Service proposes to change the current City Carrier Cost System (CCCS) methodology for estimating Delivery Point Sequence (DPS) volume proportions. Petition, Proposal Nine at 1. Presently, the Postal Service collects similar mail characteristic data, such as class and type of mail data, for two different systems: CCCS and Origin-Destination Information System—Revenue, Pieces, and Weight (ODIS–RPW). Id. at 1–2. CCCS data are used primarily to distribute costs to products delivered by city letter routes. ODIS–RPW data are used to estimate volume and revenue.

Currently, the Postal Service collects CCCS mail characteristics data manually. See id. at 3. In contrast, the Postal Service collects ODIS–RPW mail characteristics data from digitally captured images of letter and card shaped mail.2 The Postal Service states that the ODIS–RPW digital sampling method includes approximately 93 percent of CCCS sampled city letter routes. Petition, Proposal Nine at 2.

Proposal. The Postal Service proposes a methodology change to CCCS data collection procedures for Delivery Point Sequenced (DPS) mail. Id. at 1. The Postal Service seeks to use the ODIS–RPW digital data to enhance CCCS data for DPS mail destined for delivery by city letter routes. Id. at 2. The Postal Service explains that the proposal would eliminate the need to manually sample 93 percent of DPS mail for CCCS data collection purposes. Id.; see id. at 3. The Postal Service states that it would continue to manually sample mailpieces destined for city letter routes not included in ODIS–RPW’s digital data collection, approximately seven percent of city letter routes. Id. at 3.

Rationale and impact. The Postal Service states that the proposal would enhance the CCCS estimation of delivered DPS volumes. Id. The Postal Service explains that the automated, systematic method of collecting images of DPS letters and cards” would reduce the risk of undetected sampling errors. Id. Additionally, the Postal Service notes that data collectors and their supervisors are able to review and analyze the ODIS–RPW data because the system retains the data for 30 days. Id. at 3–4. The Postal Service also explains that the proposal would increase the number of DPS sampled mailpieces by approximately 400 percent and the number of CCCS tests by approximately 300 percent. Id. at 4.

The Postal Service discusses the likely effects of the proposed methodology change on product volume distribution and unit costs. Id. at 4–5. Based on these estimates, the Postal Service indicates minor differences in product volume distribution between the current and proposed CCCS methodologies. Id. at 4. These estimates also indicate that using ODIS–RPW digital data for DPS mail destined for city letter routes would result in very small estimated changes in unit costs or would leave unit costs unaffected. Id. at 4–5.

III. Notice and Comment


IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than November 21, 2017.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Lyudmila Y. Bzhilyanskaya to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Stacy L. Ruble,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–21591 Filed 10–6–17; 8:45 am]
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ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California; South Coast Moderate Area Plan for the 2006 PM2.5 Standards; Correction of Deficiency

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve California’s Reasonably Available Control Measures/Reasonably Available Control Technology and Reasonable Further Progress demonstrations for the 2006 24-hour fine particulate matter (PM2.5) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS or “standards”) in the Los Angeles–South Coast nonattainment area and to determine that the State has corrected the deficiency that formed the basis for the prior partial disapproval of the Moderate Area Plan submitted for these NAAQS. The proposed determination is based on the EPA’s final approval of revisions to the South Coast Air Quality Management District’s Regional Clean Air Incentives Market (RECLAIM) program and 2016 Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Demonstration. If today’s action is finalized as proposed, the sanctions clocks triggered by the partial disapproval will be terminated.

DATES: Any comments must arrive by November 9, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2015–0204 at http://www.regulations.gov, or via email to Wienie Tax, Air Planning Office, at tax.wienie@epa.gov. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be removed or edited from Regulations.gov. For either manner of submission, the EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not


2 Id. at 2; see Docket No. RM2015–11, Order No. 2739, Order on Analytical Principles Used in Periodic Reporting (Proposal Three), September 30, 2015.
consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the Web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Wienke Tax, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 947–4192, tax.wienke@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.
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I. Background
On October 17, 2006, the EPA revised the 24-hour NAAQS for PM$_{2.5}$, particulate matter with a diameter of 2.5 microns or less or “fine particles,” to provide increased protection of public health by lowering its level from 65 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m$^3$) to 35 µg/m$^3$ (40 CFR 50.13). Epidemiological studies have shown statistically significant correlations between elevated PM$_{2.5}$ levels and premature mortality. Other important health effects associated with PM$_{2.5}$ exposure include aggravation of respiratory and cardiovascular disease (as indicated by increased hospital admissions, emergency room visits, absences from school or work, and restricted activity days), changes in lung function and increased respiratory symptoms. Individuals particularly sensitive to PM$_{2.5}$ exposure include older adults, people with heart and lung disease, and children (78 FR 3086 at 3088, January 15, 2013). Fine particles can be emitted directly into the atmosphere as a solid or liquid particle or can be formed in the atmosphere as a result of various chemical reactions among precursor pollutants such as nitrogen oxides, sulfur oxides, volatile organic compounds, and ammonia.

Following promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS, section 107(d) of the CAA requires the EPA to designate areas throughout the nation as attaining or not attaining the NAAQS. On November 13, 2009, the EPA designated the Los Angeles–South Coast Air Basin (“South Coast”) as nonattainment for the 2006 24-hour PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS of 35 µg/m$^3$ (74 FR 58668). This designation became effective on December 14, 2009 (40 CFR 81.305). The South Coast nonattainment area is also designated nonattainment for the 1997 annual and 24-hour PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS and the 2012 annual PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS. Today’s proposed action addresses only requirements for the 2006 24-hour PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS in the South Coast nonattainment area. On February 13, 2013 and March 4, 2015, California submitted SIP revisions to address planning requirements for the 2006 PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS in the South Coast nonattainment area. We refer to these submissions collectively as the “2012 PM$_{2.5}$ Plan” or “Plan.” On April 14, 2016, we finalized a partial approval and partial disapproval of the 2012 PM$_{2.5}$ Plan (81 FR 22025). Our partial disapproval of the 2012 PM$_{2.5}$ Plan was based on deficiencies in the Plan with respect to the Reasonably Available Control Measures/Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACM/RACT) and Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) requirements.

Additionally, on May 22, 2017, CARB submitted the final “Supplemental AQMP Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Demonstration” (“2016 AQMP RACT SIP”) (81 FR 76547, November 3, 2016). On June 6, 2017, the EPA proposed to approve the submitted NOX RECLAIM program amendments as satisfying general CAA requirements for SIP revisions (82 FR 25996). The EPA finalized this action on September 14, 2017 (82 FR 43176). On June 15, 2017, the EPA proposed to approve the 2016 AQMP RACT SIP and the 2017 RACT Supplement as satisfying the RACT requirements of CAA sections 182(b) and (f) and 40 CFR 51.1112 for the South Coast and Coachella Valley nonattainment areas for the 2008 ozone NAAQS (82 FR 27451). The EPA finalized this action on September 20, 2017 (82 FR 43850).

II. Proposed Action
We are proposing to determine that the RECLAIM program amendments submitted by CARB on March 17, 2017, and the 2017 RACT Supplement submitted by CARB on May 22, 2017, together correct the deficiency in the RACM/RACT element of the 2012 PM$_{2.5}$ Plan that had provided the basis for the EPA’s prior partial disapproval of the Plan. As explained in our June 6, 2017 proposed action on the RECLAIM program amendments, the revised program lowers the NOX emission cap in the RECLAIM program and establishes requirements for removing RECLAIM trading credits (RTCs) from...
the trading market to prevent NOx RTCs associated with facilities that have shut down from entering the RECLAIM market and potentially delaying the installation of pollution controls at other facilities (82 FR 25996, 25998, June 6, 2017). These revisions to the RECLAIM program strengthen the SIP by requiring major NOx emission sources covered by the program to collectively achieve additional emission reductions, and were fully approved into the California SIP on September 14, 2017 (see 82 FR 43176). Additionally, as explained in our June 15, 2017 proposed action on the 2016 AQMP RACT SIP and 2017 RACT Supplement, the 2017 RACT Supplement contains the District’s demonstration of how the SIP-approved RECLAIM program has achieved and continues to achieve, in the aggregate, RACT level of control for major NOx sources in the South Coast (82 FR at 27454–27455, June 15, 2017). As part of our September 20, 2017 final approval of the 2016 AQMP RACT SIP and 2017 RACT Supplement, we concluded that major NOx sources covered by the RECLAIM program are now subject to RACT level control requirements (82 FR 43850, 43856). Implementation of RACT-level control requirements at major NOx sources covered by the RECLAIM program satisfies the RACM/RACT requirement in CAA sections 172(c)(1) and 189(a)(1)(C) for these sources. We propose to determine that these SIP submissions correct the RACM/RACT deficiency that we identified in our partial disapproval of the 2012 PM2.5 Plan and to approve the RACT/RACT demonstration in the Plan, as revised.

In addition, we are proposing to determine that these SIP submissions correct the RFP deficiency that we identified in our partial disapproval of the 2012 PM2.5 Plan for failure to satisfy the RFP requirement in CAA section 172(c)(2) was predicated on our disapproval of the Plan with respect to the RACM/RACT requirement (81 FR at 22028, April 14, 2016). The Plan, as revised, demonstrates that the State is now implementing RACM/RACT for NOx from covered sources in the South Coast nonattainment area. Therefore, based on our proposal to determine that the State has corrected the RACT/RACT deficiency, we also propose to determine that the State has corrected the RFP deficiency that we identified in our partial disapproval of the 2012 PM2.5 Plan and to approve the RFP demonstration in the Plan, as revised.

If finalized as proposed, these determinations will permanently stop the sanctions clocks triggered by our April 14, 2016 partial disapproval of the 2012 PM2.5 Plan.

III. Request for Public Comment

We will accept comments from the public on this proposal for the next 30 days. The deadline and instructions for submission of comments are provided in the DATES and ADDRESSES sections at the beginning of this preamble.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this proposed action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

• Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
• Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 26355, May 22, 2001);
• Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
• Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Ammonia, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: September 26, 2017.

Alexis Strauss,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.
[FR Doc. 2017–21610 Filed 10–6–17; 8:45 am]
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2 The revisions to the RECLAIM program are projected to reduce NOx emissions by 12 tons per day by 2023. See SCAQMD, Summary Minutes of the Board of the South Coast Air Quality Management District, December 4, 2015, at 15; see also U.S. EPA, Region IX Air Division, “Technical Support Document for EPA’s Rulemaking for the California State Implementation Plan, South Coast Air Quality Management District Regional Clean Air Incentives Market Program Rules,” May 2017, at 9, 10.

3 For more information on our evaluation of the RECLAIM program in accordance with CAA RACT requirements, see the Technical Support Document accompanying our June 15, 2017 proposed rule (82 FR 27451) and our responses to comments on that proposal (82 FR 43856, September 20, 2017).