DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice and opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of these firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm’s workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE

[9/20/2017 through 9/30/2017]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firm name</th>
<th>Firm address</th>
<th>Date accepted for investigation</th>
<th>Product(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solmet Technologies, Inc</td>
<td>2716 Shepler Church Avenue SW., Canton, OH 44706, 8 Mear Road, Holbrook, MA 02343.</td>
<td>9/20/2017</td>
<td>The firm manufactures large steel forgings, primarily for boring and sinking machinery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.G. Marston Co., Inc</td>
<td>8 Mear Road, Holbrook, MA 02343</td>
<td>9/28/2017</td>
<td>The firm manufactures custom, non-metallic components such as washers, gaskets, seals, tags, insulators, and discs made of plastic, rubber, neoprene, nylon, and many other non-metallic materials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micromatic Spring and Stamping Co., Inc.</td>
<td>45 North Church Street, Addison, IL 60101, 300 Old Baptist Road, North Kingstown, RI 02852.</td>
<td>9/28/2017</td>
<td>The firm manufactures springs, stampings, and wire forms made of iron or steel wire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic Machine Products Co.</td>
<td>400 Constitution Drive, Taunton, MA 02780.</td>
<td>9/29/2017</td>
<td>The firm manufactures two-part polyurethane resins (clear polyurethane resin which is auto-grade, non-yellowing, UV-stable, and mercury-free; clear polyurethane coating; clear urethane resin; urethane adhesive; epoxy primer; and wire and cable coating) for many applications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice. These petitions are received pursuant to section 251 of the Trade Act 1974, as amended.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA’s regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Irette Patterson,
Program Analyst.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 6, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the 2015–2016 administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (pipes and tubes) from Thailand. This review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise, Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd. (Saha Thai) and Pacific Pipe Public Company Limited (Pacific Pipe). The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2015, through February 29, 2016. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes to our preliminary findings for Saha Thai. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed producers/exporters are listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of Review.”


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration,

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 6, 2017, the Department published in the Federal Register the Preliminary Results.¹ For a history of events that have occurred since the Preliminary Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping order are certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand. The subject merchandise has an outside diameter of 0.375 inches or more, but not exceeding 16 inches. For a full description of the scope of this order, please see the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.³

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of issues raised, and to which we responded, in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix.

Final Determination of No Shipments

The Department preliminarily found that Pacific Pipe had no shipments and, therefore, no reviewable transactions during the POR. The Department received no further comments or information that refute this finding. Thus, the Department continues to find that Pacific Pipe had no reviewable transactions during the POR.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our Preliminary Results, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we have made certain changes to Saha Thai’s margin calculation.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period March 1, 2015, through February 29, 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer/exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd.</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Pipe Company Limited</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ No shipments or sales subject to this review. The company has an individual rate from a prior segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments or sales.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), the Department determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise, in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review.

For Saha Thai, we will base the assessment rate for the corresponding entries on the margin listed above. Additionally, because the Department determined that Pacific Pipe had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, any suspended entries that entered under Pacific Pipe’s name will be liquidated at the all-others rate effective during the POR.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the company under review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above in the Final Results of Review, including those for which the Department may determine had no shipments during the POR, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or another completed segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previously completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the “all-others” rate of 15.67 percent established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.⁴ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notifications to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).


³ See Issues and Decision Memorandum for a full description of the scope of order.

⁴ See Antidumping Duty Order; Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand; 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986).
Gary Taverman,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix
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Scope of the Order
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1. Whether to Disregard Saha Thai’s Reported Pipe Specification/Grade Designations
2. Whether to Adjust Saha Thai’s Reported Coil Costs
3. Whether to Grant a Duty Drawback Adjustment to Saha Thai
4. Whether to Revise the Date Range for Saha Thai’s Home Market and U.S. Sales

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A-580–870]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2015 through August 31, 2016. This review covers 31 producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The Department preliminarily determines that NEXTEEL Co., Ltd. (NEXTEEL) and SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH), the two companies selected for individual examination, sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victoria Cho or Deborah Scott, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5075 or (202) 482–2657, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Background

The Department initiated this administrative review on November 9, 2016.¹ We selected two mandatory respondents in this review, NEXTEEL and SeAH. For a detailed description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with these preliminary results and hereby adopted by this notice.² The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic System (ACCESS). Access to ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as Appendix 1. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is certain OCTG, which are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, regardless of end finish (e.g., whether or not plain end, threaded, or threaded and coupled) whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished (including limited service OCTG products) or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products), whether or not thread protectors are attached. The scope of the order also covers OCTG coupling stock. For the full text of the scope of the order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Among the companies under review, Hyundai RB Co., Ltd. (Hyundai RB), Samsung, Samsung C&T Corporation (Samsung C&T), and SeAH Besteel Corporation (SeAH Besteel) properly filed certifications reporting that they had no exports, sales, or entries of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.³ Based on the certifications submitted by these companies and our analysis of information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), we preliminarily determine that Hyundai RB, Samsung, Samsung C&T, and SeAH Besteel had no shipments during the POR. For a full explanation of the Department’s analysis, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

The Department finds that it is not appropriate to preliminarily rescind the review with respect to these companies but, rather, intends to complete the review with respect to these companies and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review.

Rates for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and the Department’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for examination when the Department limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, the Department looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy.

¹ See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 81 FR 78778 (November 9, 2016).