

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this investigation. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.⁶ Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this investigation are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioner. Section 351.210(e)(2) of the Department's regulations requires that a request by exporters for postponement of the final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not more than six months in duration.

On September 20, 2017, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(e), Elkem requested that the Department postpone the final determination and that provisional measures be extended to a period not to exceed six months.⁷ In accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because: (1) The preliminary determination is affirmative; (2) the requesting exporter accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, the Department is postponing the final determination and extending the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not greater than six months. Accordingly, the Department will make its final determination no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, the Department will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of its preliminary determination. If the final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the final determination whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: October 4, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The scope of this investigation covers all forms and sizes of silicon metal, including silicon metal powder. Silicon metal contains at least 85.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon, and less than 4.00 percent iron, by actual weight. Semiconductor grade silicon (merchandise containing at least 99.99 percent silicon by actual weight and classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 2804.61.0000) is excluded from the scope of this investigation.

Silicon metal is currently classifiable under subheadings 2804.69.1000 and

2804.69.5000 of the HTSUS. While HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Investigation
- IV. Scope Comments
- V. Preliminary Determination of No Sales
- VI. Discussion of the Methodology
 - A. Determination of the Comparison Method
 - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
- VII. Date of Sale
- VIII. Product Comparisons
- IX. Export Price and Constructed Export Price
- X. Normal Value
 - A. Home Market Viability
 - B. Level of Trade
 - C. Cost of Production Analysis
 1. Calculation of COP
 2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
 3. Results of the COP Test
 - D. Calculation of NV Based on Comparison Market Prices
 - E. Calculation of NV Based on Constructed Value
- XI. Currency Conversion
- XII. Critical Circumstances
- XIII. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2017-22065 Filed 10-11-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-815]

Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2015–2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 6, 2017, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the preliminary results of the 2015–2016 administrative review (AR) of the antidumping duty (AD) order on light-walled rectangular pipe and tube (LWRPT) from Turkey for the period May 1, 2015, through April 30, 2016 (POR). Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results of this AR. The final weighted-average dumping margins are listed below in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable October 12, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Hill, AD/CVD Operations,

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309; see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

⁷ See Letter from Elkem, “Request for Postponement of Final Determination,” dated September 20, 2017.

Office IV, Enforcement & Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3518.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 6, 2017, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the 2015–2016 AR of the AD order on LWRPT from Turkey.¹ For events subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, see the Department’s Issues and Decision Memorandum.² This review covers nine producers/exporters of subject merchandise, including the two respondents selected for individual examination: Agir Haddecilik A.S. (Agir), Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., CINAR Boru Profil Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Noksel Celik Boru Sanayi A.S., Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S., Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S., Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S., Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S., and Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is certain welded carbon quality light-walled steel pipe and tube, of rectangular (including square) cross section, having a wall thickness of less than 4 mm.³ The welded carbon-quality rectangular pipe and tube subject to this order is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7306.61.50.00 and 7306.61.70.60. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and CBP’s customs

purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues that parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, follows as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we made revisions to our preliminary calculations of the weighted-average dumping margins for the mandatory respondents, CINAR and Noksel.⁴ Regarding CINAR, the Department modified CINAR’s home market and margin calculation programs to capture all domestic brokerage and handling expenses and revised the date parameters that define home market and U.S. sales. Regarding Noksel, the Department modified Noksel’s U.S. margin program to exclude certain sales that were entered outside of the POR.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we found that one company, Agir Haddecilik A.S., had no shipments during the POR.⁵ Consistent with the Department’s assessment practice, the Department completed the review with respect to Agir.⁶ For these final results, we

continue to find that Agir had no shipments during the POR.⁷ As noted in the “Assessment” section below, the Department will issue appropriate instructions with respect to this company to CBP based on our final results.⁸ In addition, Agir will maintain its dumping margin from the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which it participated, *i.e.*, 0.00 percent.⁹

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Cinar Boru Profil Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S	18.16
Noksel Celik Boru Sanayi A.S ..	4.93
Agir Haddecilik A.S	(*)
Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S	7.22
Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S	7.22
Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S	7.22
Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S	7.22
Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S	7.22
Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S	7.22

*No shipments or sales subject to this review.

Consistent with the *Preliminary Results*, we calculated a weighted-average margin for the

companies not selected for individual examination (*i.e.*, Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S., Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S., Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S., Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S., and Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S.) using the weighted-average dumping margins of the individually-examined respondents, based on their publicly available, ranged total U.S. sales values of the selected respondents. The resulting weighted-average dumping margin being assigned

¹ See *Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Turkey: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016*, 82 FR 26044 (June 6, 2017) (*Preliminary Results*) and accompanying Decision Memorandum from Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary, Enforcement and Compliance, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of the 2015–2016 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Turkey,” dated May 31, 2017 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

² See Memorandum from James Maeder, Senior Director, performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Operations to Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance “2015–2016 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Turkey: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results,” dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ For a complete description of the scope of the order, see Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁴ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at comments 7, 8, and 11.

⁵ See *Preliminary Results*.

⁶ See, *e.g.*, *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 15951, 15952 (March

24, 2014), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, and Partial Rescission of Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR at 51306 (August 28, 2014).

⁷ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1.

⁸ See “Assessment” section of this notice, below.

⁹ See *Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 28823 (May 10, 2016).

to the non-individually examined respondents is 7.22 percent.¹⁰

Disclosure

The Department intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.¹¹ The Department calculated importer-specific ad valorem antidumping duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales of each importer and dividing each of these amounts by the total entered value associated with those sales. The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review where an importer-specific assessment rate is not zero or de minimis. Pursuant to the *Final Modification for Reviews*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis. Additionally, because the Department determined that Agir Haddecilik A.S. had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under the company's case numbers (*i.e.*, at the company's rate) will be liquidated at the all-others rate effective during the period of review, consistent with the Department's practice.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The

cash deposit rate for respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 27.04 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.¹² These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice of the final results of this antidumping duty administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

¹² See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Turkey*, 73 FR 19814 (April 11, 2008).

Dated: October 4, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary

Scope of the Order

Discussion of the Issues

I. General Issues

Comment 1: Cash Deposit Instructions

Comment 2: Assessment of Antidumping Duties

II. Company Specific Issues

Agir Haddecilik A.S.

Comment 3: Finding of No Shipments

CINAR Boru Profil Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.

Comment 4: Certificate of Service

Comment 5: Duty Drawback Adjustment

Comment 6: Product Characteristic Modification

Comment 7: Home Market and Margin SAS Program Date Parameters

Comment 8: U.S. Brokerage and Handling (B&H) Expenses

Noksel Celik Boru Sanayi A.S.

Comment 9: Duty Drawback Adjustment

Comment 10: Imputed Home Market Credit Expenses

Comment 11: Inclusion of Certain Sales Outside of the POR

Comment 12: Application of AFA to a U.S. Sale

Comment 13: Application of AFA Based on CBP Entry Data

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-22072 Filed 10-11-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-489-502]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Turkey: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Calendar Year 2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has completed the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes (pipes and tubes) from Turkey for the January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015, period of review (POR) in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). This review covers multiple exporters/producers, two of which are being individually examined as mandatory respondents. We have determined that

¹⁰ See Memorandum from Jonathan Hill, International Trade Compliance Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance to The File "Final Results of the 2015-2016 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Turkey: Calculation of the Rate for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination," dated concurrently with this notice.

¹¹ In these final results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).