DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[C–122–862]

Certain Uncoated Groundwood Paper From Canada: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 29, 2017, the Department of Commerce (Department) initiated a countervailing duty (CVD) investigation on certain uncoated groundwood paper from Canada. Currently, the preliminary determination in this investigation is due no later than November 2, 2017.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a CVD investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, section 703(c)(1) of the Act permits the Department to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation if: (A) The petitioner makes a timely request that we postpone the CVD preliminary determination. In its request, the petitioner cited the need for the Department to have sufficient time to thoroughly investigate each of the alleged subsidies, including by issuing any supplemental questionnaires. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner has stated the reasons for requesting a postponement of the preliminary determination, and the Department finds no compelling reason to deny the request. Therefore, pursuant to section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, we are extending the due date for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the date on which this investigation was initiated, i.e., to January 8, 2018. Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).


Gary Tavernar,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
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BILLING CODE 3510–OS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–520–804]

Certain Steel Nails From the United Arab Emirates: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determination by the Department of Commerce (Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails (nails) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of the antidumping duty order on nails from the UAE.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 23, 2012, the Department published in the Federal Register its final determination in the less-than-fair value investigation of nails from the UAE. On May 10, 2012, following an affirmative injury determination by the International Trade Commission (ITC), the Department published its amended final determination and the antidumping duty order on nails from the UAE.

On April 3, 2017, the Department published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the Order, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Act). The Department conducted the sunset review on an expedited basis, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), because it received a complete an adequate response from a domestic interested party, but no substantive responses from respondent interested parties. As a result of its expedited sunset review, the Department determined that revocation of the Order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping. The Department, therefore, notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail should the Order be revoked. On October 5, 2017, the ITC published notice of its determination,

2 In this investigation, the petitioner is North Pacific Paper Company.
6 See Notice of Extension of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews, 82 FR 16159 (April 3, 2017).