

Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314; 703–292–8224; email: ACApermits@nsf.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 5, 2017, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of a permit applications received. The permits were issued on November 6, 2017 to:

1. Vernon G. Chu, BBC Worldwide Americas, Inc. Permit No. 2018–018
2. David Schutt Permit No. 2018–003

Nadene G. Kennedy,
Polar Coordination Specialist, Office of Polar Programs.

[FR Doc. 2017–24423 Filed 11–8–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2017–0001]

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

DATE: Weeks of November 13, 20, 27, December 4, 11, 18, 2017.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

Week of November 13, 2017

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of November 13, 2017.

Week of November 20, 2017—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of November 20, 2017.

Week of November 27, 2017—Tentative

Tuesday, November 28, 2017

10:00 a.m. Briefing on Security Issues (Closed—Ex. 1).

Thursday, November 30, 2017

10:00 a.m. Briefing on Equal Employment Opportunity, Affirmative Employment, and Small Business (Public); (Contact: Larniece McKoy Moore: 301–415–1942).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—<http://www.nrc.gov/>.

Week of December 4, 2017—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of December 4, 2017.

Week of December 11, 2017—Tentative

Tuesday, December 12, 2017

9:00 a.m. Hearing on Combined Licenses for Turkey Point, Units 6 and 7: Section 189a. of the Atomic Energy Act Proceeding (Public Meeting); (Contact: Manny Comar: 301–415–3863).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—<http://www.nrc.gov/>.

Week of December 18, 2017—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of December 18, 2017.

* * * * *

The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. For more information or to verify the status of meetings, contact Denise McGovern at 301–415–0681 or via email at Denise.McGovern@nrc.gov.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html>.

* * * * *

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (*e.g.*, braille, large print), please notify Kimberly Meyer, NRC Disability Program Manager, at 301–287–0739, by videophone at 240–428–3217, or by email at Kimberly.Meyer-Chambers@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

* * * * *

Members of the public may request to receive this information electronically. If you would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or email Brenda.Akstulewicz@nrc.gov or Patricia.Jimenez@nrc.gov.

Dated: November 7, 2017.

Denise L. McGovern,
Policy Coordinator Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–24565 Filed 11–7–17; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2016–59; MC2018–20 and CP2018–42; MC2018–21 and CP2018–43]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* November 14, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633,

39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: CP2016–59; *Filing Title*: USPS Notice of Change in Prices Pursuant to Amendment to Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 9: November 3, 2017; *Filing Authority*: 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative*: Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due*: November 14, 2017.

2. *Docket No(s)*: MC2018–20 and CP2018–42; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 25 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: November 3, 2017; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: November 14, 2017.

3. *Docket No(s)*: MC2018–21 and CP2018–43; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 372 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: November 3, 2017; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: November 14, 2017.

This notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Stacy L. Ruble,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–24412 Filed 11–8–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–82013; File No. SR–NASDAQ–2017–074]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 2 and Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, To Adopt the Midpoint Extended Life Order

November 3, 2017.

I. Introduction

On July 21, 2017, the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“Exchange” or “Nasdaq”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule

19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to adopt the Midpoint Extended Life Order (“MELO”). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on August 9, 2017.³ On August 9, 2017, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.⁴ On September 21, 2017, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁶ The Commission has received three comment letters on the proposal.⁷ On October 30, 2017, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.⁸ The Commission is publishing this notice and order to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, from interested persons and to institute proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act⁹ to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2.

II. Description of the Proposal

The Exchange proposed to offer the MELO order type. A MELO would be a non-displayed order priced at the midpoint between the National Best Bid

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 81311 (August 3, 2017), 82 FR 37248 (“Notice”).

⁴ In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange updated the proposal to reflect the approval of the proposal by the Exchange’s Board of Directors on July 21, 2017. Amendment No. 1 is available at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nasdaq-2017-074/nasdaq2017074.htm>. Because Amendment No. 1 is a technical amendment that does not alter the substance of the proposed rule change, it is not subject to notice and comment.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 81668, 82 FR 45095 (September 27, 2017). The Commission designated November 7, 2017 as the date by which the Commission shall approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove, the proposed rule change.

⁷ See Letters to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Commission, from Stephen John Berger, Managing Director, Government & Regulatory Policy, Citadel Securities, dated August 30, 2017 (“Citadel Letter”); Ray Ross, Chief Technology Officer, The Clearpool Group, dated September 12, 2017 (“Clearpool Letter”); and Joanna Mallers, Secretary, FIA Principal Traders Group, dated September 19, 2017 (“FIA PTG Letter”).

⁸ In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange: (1) Modified the proposal to prevent MELOs from trading when better-priced non-displayed orders rest on the Nasdaq book; (2) provided additional description, clarification, and rationale for certain aspects of the proposal; and (3) responded to several concerns raised by commenters on the proposal. Amendment No. 2 is available at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nasdaq-2017-074/nasdaq2017074.htm>.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

and Offer (“NBBO”) and would not be eligible to execute until a minimum period of one half of a second (“Holding Period”) has passed after acceptance of the order by the system.¹⁰ Once eligible to trade, MELOs would be ranked in time priority at the NBBO midpoint among other MELOs.¹¹ If a limit price is assigned to a MELO, the order would be: (1) Eligible for execution in time priority if upon acceptance of the order by the system, the midpoint price is within the limit set by the participant; or (2) held until the midpoint falls within the limit set by the participant, at which time the Holding Period would commence and thereafter the system would make the order eligible for execution in time priority.¹²

If a MELO is modified by a member (other than to decrease the size of the order or to modify the marking of a sell order as long, short, or short exempt) during the Holding Period, the system would restart the Holding Period.¹³ Similarly, if a MELO is modified by a member (other than to decrease the size of the order or to modify the marking of a sell order as long, short, or short exempt) after it has become eligible to execute, the order would have to satisfy a new Holding Period.¹⁴

Movements in the NBBO while a MELO is in the Holding Period would not reset the Holding Period, even if, as a result of the NBBO move, the MELO’s limit price is less aggressive than the NBBO midpoint.¹⁵ Also, if a MELO has met the Holding Period, but the NBBO midpoint is no longer within its limit, it would nonetheless be ranked in time priority among other MELOs if the NBBO later moves such that the midpoint is within the order’s limit price (*i.e.*, no new Holding Period).¹⁶

MELOs may be entered via any of the Exchange’s communications protocols and the type of communications protocol used would not affect how the system handles MELOs.¹⁷ If there is no NBB or NBO, the Exchange would accept MELOs but would not allow MELO executions until there is an

¹⁰ See proposed Nasdaq Rule 4702(b)(14)(A).

¹¹ See *id.*

¹² See *id.*

¹³ See *id.* The Exchange noted that any change to a MELO that would result in a change in the order’s timestamp would result in the MELO being considered altered, and thus the order would be subject to a new Holding Period before being eligible to trade and its priority would be based on the new timestamp. See Amendment No. 2 at n.16.

¹⁴ See proposed Nasdaq Rule 4702(b)(14)(A).

¹⁵ See Amendment No. 2 at n.11.

¹⁶ See proposed Nasdaq Rule 4702(b)(14)(A); Amendment No. 2 at n.15.

¹⁷ See Amendment No. 2 at n.10.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).