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Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2016–0836]

RIN 1625–AA00

Safety Zones; San Francisco, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to amend, add, and delete several permanent safety zones located in the Captain of the Port San Francisco zone that are established to protect public safety during annual firework displays. These amendments will update listed events to accurately reflect the firework display locations. This proposed rulemaking would limit the movement of vessels within the established firework display areas unless authorized by the Captain of the Port (COTP) San Francisco or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before February 17, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2016–0836 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” section as item 9, “Fourth of July Fireworks, City of Richmond.” The display location currently listed, Richmond Harbor, has been deemed undesirable or hazardous by the event sponsors, and so it is being changed to a barge located in the harbor, and the area of the safety zone would be the area around the barge.

We are also proposing to add three events to Table 1 of 33 CFR 165.1191, as items 28, 29, and 30. These events are titled Excepro Services Fourth of July Fireworks, Monte Foundation Fireworks, Lake Tahoe, and Sausalito Lighted Boat Parade Fireworks, respectively. The events proposed to be added have taken place in 2011, 2013, and 2014, and we believe that they will likely be regularly scheduled in the future. For those reasons, we believe it is beneficial to include them in the permanent regulation.

Finally, we propose to remove item 2, “KFOG KaBoom,” as this event is outdated. It is unlikely to reoccur and its continued inclusion in the regulation offers the possibility of confusion. The Coast Guard proposes this rulemaking under authority in 33 U.S.C.
IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13566 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This NPRM has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and time-of-day of each safety zone. Vessel traffic would be able to safely transit around each safety zone which would impact a small designated area of the COTP San Francisco zone for less than 1 hour during the evening when vessel traffic is normally low. Moreover, the Coast Guard would issue a Local Notice to Mariner and Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 about the zone, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zones.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves a safety zones lasting less than 1 hour that would prohibit entry within 1,000 feet of a fireworks barge. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D. A preliminary environmental analysis checklist and Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protestors. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment
applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov. If your material cannot be submitted using http://www.regulations.gov, contact the person in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, you may review a Privacy Act notice regarding the Federal Docket Management System in the March 24, 2005, issue of the Federal Register (70 FR 15086).

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at http://www.regulations.gov and can be viewed by following that Web site’s instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend to 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:


2. In § 165.1191, in Table 1 to § 165.1191, remove and reserve item 2, revise item 9, and add items 28, 29, and 30, to read as follows:

§ 165.1191 Northern California and Lake Tahoe Area Annual Fireworks Events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 to § 165.1191</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* * * * * * * * * * *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. [Reserved]

| Sponsor ......................... | Various Sponsors. |
| Event Description ............. | Fireworks Display. |
| Date ............................ | Week of July 4th. |
| Location ........................ | Off-shore from Incline Village, NV. |
| Regulated Area .................. | 100-foot radius around the fireworks barge during the loading, transit, setup, and until the commencement of the scheduled display. Increases to a 1,000-foot radius upon commencement of the fireworks display. |

28. Execpro Services Fourth of July Fireworks

| Sponsor ......................... | Execpro Services Inc. |
| Event Description ............. | Fireworks Display. |
| Date ............................ | Week of July 4th. |
| Location ........................ | Off-shore from Incline Village, NV. |
| Regulated Area .................. | 100-foot radius around the fireworks barge during the loading, transit, setup, and until the commencement of the scheduled display. Increases to a 1,000-foot radius upon commencement of the fireworks display. |

29. Monte Foundation Fireworks, Lake Tahoe

| Sponsor ......................... | Monte Foundation. |
| Event Description ............. | Fireworks Display. |
| Date ............................ | Week of Labor Day. |
| Location ........................ | Carnelian Bay, Lake Tahoe, CA. |
| Regulated Area .................. | 100-foot radius around the fireworks barge during the loading, transit, setup, and until the commencement of the scheduled display. Increases to a 1,000-foot radius upon commencement of the fireworks display. |

30. Sausalito Lighted Boat Parade Fireworks

| Sponsor ......................... | Various Sponsors. |
| Event Description ............. | Fireworks Display. |
| Date ............................ | A Saturday or Sunday in December. |
| Location ........................ | Off-shore from Sausalito Point, Sausalito, CA. |
| Regulated Area .................. | 100-foot radius around the fireworks barge during the loading, transit, setup, and until the commencement of the scheduled display. Increases to a 1,000-foot radius upon commencement of the fireworks display. |
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
National Park Service

36 CFR Parts 1 and 2

[NPS–WASO–REGS–17326; GPO Deposit Account 43111H2]

RIN 1024–AE30

Withdrawal of the Proposed Rule To Revise General Provisions; Electronic Cigarettes

AGENCY: National Park Service; Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service withdraws the proposed rule that would revise the regulation that defines smoking to include the use of electronic cigarettes and other electronic nicotine delivery systems; and would allow a superintendent to close an area, building, structure, or facility to smoking when necessary to maintain public health and safety. The withdrawal is based upon a need to engage in additional interagency coordination and review of the proposal.

DATES: The January 6, 2017, proposed rule (82 FR 1647) is withdrawn as of January 18, 2017.

ADDRESSES: The withdrawal of the proposed rule, and comments, are available at www.regulations.gov by searching for Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) 1024–AE30.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sara Newman, Director, Office of Public Health, by telephone 202–513–7225, or email sara_newman@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This withdrawal does not affect Director’s Order #50D (Smoking Policy), originally issued in 2003 and then revised and reissued in 2009, and Policy Memorandum 15–03 (Use of Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems), issued on September 10, 2015, which remain in effect and are available online on the NPS Office of Policy Web site at http://www.nps.gov/applications/npspolicy/index.cfm by clicking on the drop-down menu and selecting “Smoking” from the list of policy subjects.

Michael Bean,
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 2017–01060 Filed 1–17–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Office of the Secretary

42 CFR Part 2

[SAMHSA–4162–20]
RIN 0930–ZA07

Confidentiality of Substance Use Disorder Patient Records

AGENCY: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: On Feb. 9, 2016, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed policy changes to update and modernize the Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Patient Records (42 CFR part 2). SAMHSA explained in the NPRM that these changes were intended to better align the regulations with advances in the U.S. health care delivery system while retaining important privacy protections for individuals seeking treatment for substance use disorders. The last substantive update to these regulations was in 1987. SAMHSA is issuing this Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (SNPRM) to propose additional clarifications to the part 2 regulations as amended by the concurrently issued final rule. As noted in the final rule, 42 CFR part 2 Confidentiality of Substance Use Disorder Patient Records, questions raised by commenters highlighted varying interpretations of the 1987 rule’s restrictions on lawful holders and their contractors and subcontractors’ use and disclosure of part 2–covered data for purposes of carrying out payment, health care operations, and other health care related activities. In consideration of this feedback and given the critical role that third-party payers, other lawful holders, and their contractors, subcontractors, and legal representatives play in the provision of health care services, SAMHSA is issuing this SNPRM to seek further comments on our proposals to address and help clarify these matters before establishing any appropriate restrictions on disclosures to contractors, subcontractors and legal representatives.

DATES: To be assured consideration, comments must be received at one of the addresses provided below, no later than 5 p.m. on February 17, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Regulatory Information Number (RIN) 0930–AA21, by any of the following methods:

Electronic: Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Regular, Express or Overnight Mail, or Hand Delivery or Courier: Written comments sent by hand delivery, or mailed by regular, express, or overnight mail must be sent to the following address ONLY: The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, Attn: Danielle Tarino, SAMHSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 13E89A, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Please allow sufficient time for mailed comments to be received before the close of the comment period. Instructions: To avoid duplication, please submit only one copy of your comments by only one method. All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or RIN for this rulemaking. All comments received will become a matter of public record and will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process and viewing public comments, see the “Request for Public Comments” heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Danielle Tarino, SAMHSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 13E89A, Rockville, Maryland 20857, 240–276–2857, Email address: Danielle.Tarino@samhsa.hhs.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Background

On February 9, 2016, SAMHSA published an NPRM in the Federal Register (81 FR 6987) proposing updates to regulations for the Confidentiality of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Patient Records (42 CFR part 2). These regulations implement title 42, section