which time the tribe would resume consultation. DLA did not receive responses to the consultation requests with the other tribes. An appendix was added to the EA that includes the SHPO and tribal consultation documents, and the responses from the SHPO and the Shawnee Tribe. The revised EA is available electronically at the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> within Docket ID: DOD-2017-OS-0037.

This FONSI documents the decision of DLA to divest MFH at Defense Distribution Center, Susquehanna. DLA has determined the Proposed Action is not a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the context of NEPA and no significant impacts on the human environment are associated with this decision.

DLA completed an EA to address the potential environmental consequences associated with the proposed divestment of MFH at Defense Distribution Center, Susquehanna. This FONSI incorporates the EA by reference and summarizes the results of the analyses in the EA.

Purpose of and Need for Action: The purpose of the Proposed Action is to eliminate real property that is no longer needed and reduce operational costs. The Proposed Action is needed because the MFH units are underutilized, outdated, and require a high level of maintenance. The current occupancy rate of the MFH is approximately 32 percent. A majority of the MFH buildings were constructed in the 1950s and are more than 55 years old, while other MFH buildings were constructed prior to 1950. These MFH units are costly to operate and maintain due to their age.

Proposed Action and Alternatives: Under the Proposed Action, DLA would divest all MFH operations at Defense Distribution Center, Susquehanna. DLA would vacate remaining Department of Defense occupants (estimated to be approximately 8 MFH units in 2018) from the installation's total 124 MFH units (39 buildings), demolish 110 MFH units (27 buildings), and retain 14 MFH units (12 buildings) for administrative purposes. Associated bus stops and playground equipment would be demolished; however, sheds would be offered for reuse or sale, as appropriate, prior to demolition. All utility infrastructure, including electric, water, wastewater, natural gas, and communication services, within the MFH area would be removed and capped at the utility mains within adjacent roadways. Sidewalks, driveways, and on-roadway parking

spaces associated with demolished MFH buildings would be removed. Two garages, the roadways, and golf course areas within the MFH area would remain.

Description of the No Action Alternative: Under the No Action Alternative, DLA would not divest MFH operations at Defense Distribution Center, Susquehanna. All existing MFH buildings and infrastructure (i.e., utilities, two garages, bus stops, playground equipment, and sheds) would remain in place and DLA would continue to operate the MFH. Because DLA stopped accepting MFH applications in December 2016, it is anticipated that eight MFH units would be occupied in 2018 and further attrition would eventually lead to an occupancy level of zero. Funding for operation and maintenance of the MFH would be applied only to the occupied units, utilities, two garages, playground equipment, and sheds associated with occupied units; the unoccupied MFH units, bus stops, and sheds associated with unoccupied units would continue to deteriorate. The No Action Alternative would not meet the purpose of and need for the Proposed Action.

Potential Environmental Impacts: No significant effects on environmental resources would be expected from the Proposed Action. Insignificant, adverse effects on noise, air quality, water resources, biological resources, infrastructure and transportation, hazardous materials and wastes, and socioeconomics—housing would be expected. Insignificant, beneficial effects on air quality, water resources, infrastructure and transportation, and hazardous materials and wastes also would be expected. No effects on cultural resources would be expected. Details of the environmental consequences are discussed in the EA, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

Determination: DLA has determined that implementation of the Proposed Action will not have a significant effect on the human environment. Human environment was interpreted comprehensively to include the natural and physical environment and the relationship of people with that environment. Specifically, no highly uncertain or controversial impacts, unique or unknown risks, or cumulatively significant effects were identified. Implementation of the Proposed Action will not violate any federal, state, or local laws. Based on the results of the analyses performed during preparation of the EA and consideration of comments received during the public comment period, Mr. Phillip R. Dawson,

Acting Director, DLA Installation
Management, concludes that divestment
of MFH at Defense Distribution Center,
Susquehanna, does not constitute a
major federal action significantly
affecting the quality of the human
environment within the context of
NEPA. Therefore, an environmental
impact statement for the Proposed
Action is not required.

Dated: November 17, 2017.

## Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2017-25256 Filed 11-21-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Office of the Secretary

# Defense Business Board; Notice of Federal Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** Deputy Chief Management Officer, Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DoD) is publishing this notice to announce that the following Federal Advisory Committee meeting of the Defense Business Board will take place.

**DATES:** Open to the public on Wednesday, December 6, 2017 from 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The address for the open meeting is Room 3E928 in the Pentagon, Washington, DC.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Roma Laster, (703) 695–7563 (Voice), (703) 614–4365 (Facsimile), roma.k.laster.civ@mail.mil (Email). Mailing address is Defense Business Board, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Room 5B1088A, Washington, DC 20301–1155, Web site: http://dbb.defense.gov/. The most up-to-date changes to the meeting agenda can be found on the Web site.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.140 and 102–3.150.

For meeting information please contact Mr. Steven Cruddas, Defense Business Board, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Room 5B1088A, Washington, DC 20301–1155, steven.m.cruddas.civ@mail.mil, (703) 697–2168. To submit written comments or questions to the Defense Business Board (Board), send via email to mailbox address:

osd.pentagon.odam.mbx.defensebusiness-board@mail.mil. A copy of the public agenda and the terms of reference for the Task Group study may be obtained from the Board's Web site at http://dbb.defense.gov/meetings.

Purpose of the Meeting: The mission of the Board is to examine and advise the Secretary of Defense on overall DoD management and governance. The Board provides independent advice which reflects an outside private sector perspective on proven and effective best business practices that can be applied to DoD. The Board will receive an out brief, findings, and recommendations from its Task Group on the study "Fully Burdened and Life Cycle Cost of the Workforce."

Agenda: 11:00 a.m.–11:05 a.m. DFO Comments to Public Attendees; 11:05 a.m.–11:45 a.m. DBB Study Out brief on "Fully Burdened and Life Cycle Cost of the Workforce"; 11:45 a.m.–11:50 a.m. Public Comments (if time permits); 11:50 a.m.–12:00 p.m. Board Deliberations and Vote.

Meeting Accessibility: Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended, and 41 CFR 102–3.140 through 102–3.165, this meeting is open to the public, subject to the availability of space. Seating is limited and is on a first-come basis.

Written Statements: Written comments should be received by the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) at least five (5) business days prior to the meeting date so that the comments may be made available to the Board for their consideration prior to the meeting. Written comments should be submitted via email to the email address for public comments given in the SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION** section in either Adobe Acrobat or Microsoft Word format. Please note that since the Board operates under the provisions of the FACA, as amended, all submitted comments and public presentations will be treated as public documents and will be made available for public inspection, including, but not limited to, being posted on the Board's Web site.

Dated November 17, 2017.

### Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2017-25259 Filed 11-21-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Byram River Basin Flood Risk Management Study

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New York District (Corps) in partnership with the Town of Greenwich as the non-federal sponsor, is preparing an integrated Feasibility Report/Environmental Impact Statement (FR/EIS) for the proposed Byram River Basin Flood Risk Management Feasibility Study. The study is assessing the feasibility of flood risk management alternatives to be implemented within the congressionally authorized study area with a specific emphasis on the Town of Greenwich, Fairfield County, Connecticut and Port Chester, Westchester County, New York.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New York District, Programs and Project Management Division, Civil Works Programs Branch, 26 Federal Plaza, Room 2127, New York, NY 10279–0090. Pertinent information about the study can be found at: www.nan.usace.army.mil/Byram. Send written comments and suggestions concerning the scope of issues to be evaluated within the EIS to: byram.river@usace.army.mil.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the overall Byram River Basin Flood Risk Management Feasibility Study should be directed to Rifat Salim, Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New York District, Programs and Project Management Division, Civil Works Programs Branch, 26 Federal Plaza, Room 2127, New York, NY 10279–0090; Phone: (917) 790–8215; email: Rifat.Salim@usace.army.mil.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## 1. Background

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), in partnership with the Town of Greenwich as the non-Federal sponsor, is undertaking this study. The Town of Greenwich and Village of Port Chester have been subjected to repeated, severe flooding caused by overflow of the Byram River due to precipitation of high intensity, large amounts, or prolonged duration. The Byram River Basin study

was authorized by a resolution of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, Docket 2779, dated May 2nd, 2007.

A Feasibility Cost Sharing Agreement (FCSA) was executed on August 29, 2012 with the Town of Greenwich. The preliminary alternative analyses was completed early 2015 and identified Alternative #2a—Nonstructural Within the 10-yr Floodplain, and Alternative #5—Replacement of the Route 1 Highway Bridges, as each having a Benefit Cost Ratio over one and are being moved forward for further evaluation for eventual identification of the Tentatively Selected Plan.

## 2. Project Area

The project area encompasses the portion of the Byram River located from southern section of the Pemberwick neighborhood to the Rt. 1 bridges in the Town of Greenwich, Fairfield County, Connecticut and Village of Port Chester, Westchester County, New York.

## Public Participation

The Corps and the Town of Greenwich are currently anticipating hosting a NEPA Scoping Meeting in November 2017. Public notices announcing the meeting date, time, location and agenda will be published in the appropriate local newspapers, the Town of Greenwich Web page and on the Corps' New York District Web page (see the ADDRESSES section) and will be distributed to the local stakeholders and known interested parties.

A scoping comment period of 30 days will be established from the scheduled date of the meeting to allow agencies, organizations and individuals to submit comments, questions and/or concerns regarding the Feasibility Study. Comments, concerns and information submitted to the Corps will be evaluated and considered during the development of the Draft EIS.

## Lead and Cooperating Agencies

The Corps is the lead federal agency for the preparation of the FR/EIS and meeting the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act and the NEPA Implementing Regulations of the President's Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1500-1508). Federal agencies interested in participating as a Cooperating Agency are requested to submit a letter of intent to Colonel Thomas D. Asbery, District Engineer (see ADDRESSES). The preparation of the FR/EIS will be coordinated with the States of New York and Connecticut, Town of Greenwich and local municipalities with discretionary authority relative to the