DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–836]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) for the period March 1, 2016, through February 28, 2017, based on the timely withdrawal of the request for review.

DATES: Effective December 1, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edythe Artman or Brian Davis, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3931 or (202) 482–7924, respectively.

Background

On March 6, 2017, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC for the period March 1, 2016, through February 28, 2017. On March 31, 2017, the Department received a timely request, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), to conduct an administrative review of this antidumping duty order, with respect to three companies, from GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc. (GEO), a domestic producer of glycine. Based on this request and in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, the Department published a notice of initiation of the review in the Federal Register on May 9, 2017. On July 28, 2017, GEO filed a timely withdrawal of its request for a review for each of the three companies.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above, GEO withdrew its request for review by the 90-day deadline. Accordingly, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC covering the period March 1, 2016, through February 28, 2017.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction. This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: November 27, 2017.

James Maeder,
Senior Director performing the duties of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017–25912 Filed 11–30–17; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–520–803]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2015–2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET Film) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE). The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2015, through October 31, 2016. The review covers two producer/exporters of the subject merchandise, JBF RAK LLC (JBF) and UFlex Limited (UFlex). The Department preliminarily determines that sales of subject merchandise have been made below normal value by JBF. In addition, the Department preliminarily finds that UFLEX had no shipments during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable December 1, 2017.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is polyethylene terephthalate film. The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading: 3920.62.00.90. Although the HTSUS number is provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description, available in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, remains dispositive.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section

1 See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments” (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice.
Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of our review, we preliminarily determine the following weighted-average dumping margin for the period November 1, 2015, through October 31, 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JBF RAK LLC</td>
<td>19.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose the calculations used in our analysis to parties in this review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may not be filed later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.

Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this review are requested to submit with each brief: (1) A statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. If a hearing is requested, the Department will notify interested parties of the hearing schedule. Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs.

We intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of issues raised by the parties in the written comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register, unless otherwise extended.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of the review, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review.

For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis, we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above de minimis. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on imports of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable. In accordance with the Department’s “automatic assessment” practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by JBF for which it did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. In addition, if the Department determines that UFlex had no shipments of subject merchandise, any suspended entries

2 Id.
3 See letter from FLEX Middle East FZE and UFlex, “Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Notice of No Sales,” dated February 1, 2017.
4 See No shipment inquiry for polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from the United Arab Emirates produced and/or reported by UFlex Limited (A–520–803), message number 7053303 (February 22, 2017).
6 See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1).
7 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2), (d)(2).
8 Id.
that entered under UFLEX’s case number will be liquidated at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate companies involved in the transaction.12

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of PET Film from the UAE entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 4.05 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.13 These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These preliminary results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 27, 2017.

Carole Showers,
Executive Director, Office of Policy, performing the duties of the Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Date of Sale
5. Discussion of Methodology
6. Product Comparisons
7. Export Price/Constructed Export Price
8. Normal Value
9. Currency Conversions
10. Conclusion

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–920]

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on lightweight thermal paper (LWTP) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2015, through October 31, 2016. The review covers three exporters of subject merchandise: Shenzhen Formers Printing Co., Ltd. (Formers), Sailing International Limited (Sailing), and Suzhou Xiandai Paper Production Co (Xiandai). The Department preliminarily finds that Formers, Sailing, and Xiandai have not demonstrated eligibility for a separate rate in this segment of the proceeding, and therefore, for the preliminary results, we are treating Formers, Sailing, and Xiandai as part of the PRC-wide entity. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable December 1, 2017.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 13, 2017, the Department initiated the eighth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on LWTP from the PRC on three exporters: Formers, Sailing, and Xiandai.1 In the Initiation Notice, the Department stated that all firms identified in the notice that wished to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative review must complete either a separate rate application or certification, due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days after the publication of the notice, i.e., February 13, 2017.2 None of the respondents—Formers, Sailing, and Xiandai—timely submitted either a complete separate rate application or separate rate certification or a statement of “no shipments” during the POR. Nevertheless, per our practice, on March 16, 2017, the Department uploaded and released onto the administrative record of this proceeding an antidumping questionnaire to each exporter, Formers, Sailing, and Xiandai. However, due to an inadvertent oversight, the Department did not issue a physical copy of the questionnaire to any respondent, as is the Department’s practice when foreign firms are not represented by counsel in the United States or representatives thereof have not otherwise contacted the Department, and thus, the Department was unable to confirm whether parties received the questionnaire. Therefore, on July 28, 2017, the Department reissued the antidumping questionnaire to Formers, Sailing and Xiandai, served physical copies of the questionnaires on all the respondents in accordance with its standard practice, and extended the due date of the questionnaire response.3 On September 7, 2017, the Department requested a U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data file of entries of subject merchandise associated with Sailing, Formers or Xiandai during the POR. On September 11, 2017, the Department received a response to its request indicating there were no suspended AD/CVD entries associated with Sailing, Formers or Xiandai during the POR.4 For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of

2 See Memorandum, “Due Date to Respond to the Department’s Initial Questionnaire,” dated July 28, 2017.

2 See Memorandum, “Due Date to Respond to the Department’s Initial Questionnaire,” dated July 28, 2017.