

Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement regarding Biobased Procurements. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** at 82 FR 40769, on August 28, 2017. No comments were received.

DATES: Submit comments on or before January 3, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for GSA, Room 10236, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally submit a copy to GSA by any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number 9000–0180. Select the link “Comment Now” that corresponds with “Information Collection 9000–0180, Affirmative Procurement of Biobased Procurements Under Services and Construction Contracts. Follow the instructions provided on the screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “Information Collection 9000–0180, “Affirmative Procurement of Biobased Procurements Under Services and Construction Contracts.” on your attached document.

- *Mail:* General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405. ATTN: Ms. Mandell/IC 9000–0180, Biobased Procurements.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 9000–0180, Affirmative Procurement of Biobased Procurements Under Services and Construction Contracts. Comments received generally will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check www.regulations.gov, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Charles Gray, Procurement Analyst, Office of Governmentwide Acquisition Policy, at telephone 703–795–6328, or email charles.gray@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Federal Acquisition Regulation clause 52.223–2, Affirmative Procurement of Biobased Products Under Service and Construction Contracts, requires prime contractors to report annually the product types and dollar values of U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)-designated biobased products purchased to the System for Award Management (SAM) Web site. The information reported by prime contractors enables Federal agencies to report annually to the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) concerning actions taken to implement and measure progress in carrying out the preference for biobased products required under section 9002 of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002, codified at 7 U.S.C. 8102.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

To determine the number of contractors performing construction and service contracts that may involve the purchase of USDA-designated biobased products, fiscal year 2016 data in the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS) was reviewed to calculate the number of entities with unique DUNS numbers that were awarded contracts for the following selected Product Services Codes: A—Research and Development; F—Natural Resources Management; J—Maintenance, Repair, and Rebuilding of Equipment; M—Operation of Government-Owned Facility; S—Utilities and Housekeeping Services; T—Photographic, Mapping, Printing, and Publication Services; Y—Construction of Structures and Facilities; and Z—Maintenance, Repair or Alteration of Real Property. The clause at FAR 52.223–2 will apply to the majority of the contract actions in the selected PSCs.

The estimated total burden is as follows:

Respondents: 51,457.

Responses per Respondent: 5.

Total Annual Responses: 257,285.

Hours per Response: 5.

Total Burden Hours: 1,286,425.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: Annually.

C. Public Comments

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and

clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals:

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, telephone 202–501–4755.

Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0180, Affirmative Procurement of Biobased Procurements Under Services and Construction Contracts, in all correspondence.

Dated: November 29, 2017.

Lorin S. Curit,

Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, Office of Government-wide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-wide Policy.

[FR Doc. 2017–26046 Filed 12–1–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Notice—PBS–2017–04; Docket 2017–0002; Sequence 23]

Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Assessment for the Otay Mesa USDA Plant Inspection Station

AGENCY: Public Building Service, (PBS), General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Notice of intent; announcement of meeting.

SUMMARY: GSA is making available for public comment a Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) prepared for the construction of the proposed U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) Plant Inspection Station (PIS), adjacent to the existing Otay Mesa Land Port of Entry (LPOE) in Otay Mesa, San Diego County, California. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires Federal agencies to evaluate the potential impacts that the proposed action may have on the human and natural environment. GSA serves as the lead agency for NEPA.

DATES: *Meeting Date:* A public meeting for the Draft EA will be held on Tuesday, December 5, 2017, from 4:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time (PDT), to 6:00 p.m., PDT. We will consider all comments that we receive on or before Friday, December 29, 2017.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Quality Suites Otay Mesa Conference Room, located at 2351 Otay Center Drive, San Diego, California 92154. Copies of the Draft EA will be available for public review at the Otay Mesa-Nestor Branch Library, located at 3003 Coronado Avenue, San Diego, CA 92154, or at gsa.gov/NEPALibrary. CD copies are available for review by request.

You may submit comments at the public meeting by either of the following methods:

- *Email:* Osmahn.Kadri@gsa.gov.
- *Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery:*

c/o Osmahn Kadri, 50 United Nations Plaza, Room 3345, Mailbox 9, San Francisco, CA 94102.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Osmahn Kadri, NEPA Project Manager, Pacific Rim Region, GSA, 50 United Nations Plaza, Room 3345, Mailbox 9, San Francisco, CA 94102, by phone at 415-522-3617, or via email to osmahn.kadri@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EA is being prepared to comply with the NEPA of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321), as implemented by Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 1500-1508), and policies of GSA as the lead federal agency. The EA process provides steps and procedures to evaluate the potential social, economic, and environmental impacts for the construction of the proposed USDA APHIS PIS at Otay Mesa LPOE. It allows an opportunity for local, state, or federal agencies to provide input and/or comment through scoping, public information meetings, and/or a public hearing. The social, economic, and environmental considerations are evaluated and measured, as defined in the CEQ regulations, by their magnitude of impacts.

Plant Inspection Stations allow the USDA to inspect imported plants, other regulated plant material, and live organisms to determine admissibility into the country. The current APHIS PIS at Otay Mesa has limited capabilities due to space restraints within the LPOE, and has exceeded its operational capacity. Also, the U.S. Customs and Border Protection needs the existing APHIS PIS space for planned improvements to the border crossing.

Therefore, the USDA and GSA propose to construct a new APHIS PIS on the vacant land adjacent to the existing LPOE.

Dated: November 21, 2017.

Matthew Jear,

*Director, Portfolio Management Division,
Pacific Rim Region, Public Buildings Service.*

[FR Doc. 2017-25956 Filed 12-1-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-YF-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0142; Docket 2017-0053; Sequence 19]

Information Collection; Past Performance Information

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act the Regulatory Secretariat Division will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement concerning past performance information.

DATES: Submit comments on or before February 2, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 9000-0142, Past Performance Information, by any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number 9000-0142. Select the link "Comment Now" that corresponds with "Information Collection 9000-0142, Past Performance Information." Follow the instructions provided on the screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "Information Collection 9000-0142, Past Performance Information," on your attached document.

- *Mail:* General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405. ATTN: Ms. Mandell/IC 9000-0142, Past Performance Information.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite "Information Collection 9000-0142, Past Performance Information", in all correspondence

related to this collection. Comments received generally will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check www.regulations.gov, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Curtis E. Glover, Sr., Procurement Analyst, Acquisition Policy Division, at GSA 202-501-1448 or email curtis.glover@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Past performance information regarding a contractor's actions under previously awarded contracts is relevant information for future source selection purposes. The information collection requirements at FAR 15.304 and 42.15 remains the same; however, the public burden has been adjusted downward, based on the total annual responses. The estimated responses used to calculate the burden is based on the availability of data on Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 awards from existing systems (the Federal Procurement Data System and the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System).

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Responses During Source Selection

Respondents: 7,055.
Responses per Respondent: 4.
Annual Responses: 28,220.
Hours per Response: 2.
Total Burden Hours: 56,440.

Responses in CPARS

Respondents: 63,444.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 63,444.
Hours per Response: 2.
Total Burden Hours: 126,888.
Total Annual Burden: 183,328.

C. Public Comments

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to