FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Elizabeth S. Sangine, National Minerals
Information Center, U.S. Geological
Survey, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, MS
989, Reston, VA 20192 [mail]; 703–648–
7720 [phone]; or escottsangine@usgs.gov
(email). You may also find information
about this ICR at www.reginfo.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Respondents to this form supply the
USGS with domestic consumption data
for 12 metals and ferroalloys, some of
which are considered strategic and
critical to assist in determining
stockpile goals. These data and derived
information will be published as
chapters in Minerals Yearbooks,
monthly Mineral Industry Surveys,
annual Mineral Commodity Summaries,
and special publications, for use by
Government agencies, industry
education programs, and the general
public.

II. Data

OMB Control Number: 1028–0070.
Form Number: USGS Form 9–4117–
MA.
Title: Consolidated Consumers’
Report.
Type of Request: Renewal of existing
information collection.
Affected Public: Business or Other-
For-Profit Institutions: U.S. nonfuel
minerals producers.
Respondent’s Obligation: None.
Participation is voluntary.
Frequency of Collection: Monthly and
Annually.
Estimated Total Number of Annual
Responses: 1,407.
Estimated Time per Response: 45
minutes.
Estimated Annual Burden Hours:
1,055 hours.
Estimated Reporting and
Recordkeeping “Non-Hour Cost”
Burden: There are no “non-hour cost”
burdens associated with this IC.
Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA
(44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.) provides that an
agency may not conduct or sponsor and
you are not required to respond to a
collection of information unless it
displays a currently valid OMB control
number and current expiration date.

III. Request for Comments

We are soliciting comments as to: (a)
Whether the proposed collection of
information is necessary for the agency
to perform its duties, including whether
the information is useful; (b) the
accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the
burden of the proposed collection of
information; (c) ways to enhance the
quality, usefulness, and clarity of the
information to be collected; and (d) how
to minimize the burden on the
respondents, including the use of
automated collection techniques or
other forms of information technology.

Please note that the comments
submitted in response to this notice are
a matter of public record. Before
including your personal mailing
address, phone number, email address,
or other personally identifiable
information in your comment, you
should be aware that your entire
comment, including your personally
identifiable information, may be made
publicly available at any time. While
you can ask us in your comment to
withhold your personally identifiable
information from public view, we
cannot guarantee that we will be able
to do so.

Michael J. Magyar,
Associate Director, National Minerals
Information Center, U.S. Geological Survey.
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Final Environmental Impact Statement
for Alcatraz Ferry Embarkation,
Counties of Marin and San Francisco,
California

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service
(NPS) has prepared the Final
Environmental Impact Statement (Final
EIS) for the Alcatraz Ferry Embarkation
project. The Final EIS evaluates four
alternatives for establishing a long-term
ferry embarkation site for passenger
service between the northern San
Francisco waterfront and Alcatraz
Island, and additional occasional ferry
service between the Alcatraz ferry
embarkation site and the existing Fort
Baker pier, as well as other excursions
within the San Francisco Bay.

DATES: The NPS will execute a Record
of Decision no sooner than 30 days after
the date of publication in the Federal
Register of the Environmental
Protection Agency’s (EPA) notice of
filing and availability of the Final EIS.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Please contact Golden Gate National
Recreation Area at (415) 561–4030 or
goga_planning@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: After
operating out of Pier 41 for many years,
the Alcatraz Island ferry embarkation
site moved to Pier 31½ in 2006 when a
new ferry service concessioner was
selected, which led to inconsistencies
in the delivery of visitor services and
impacts on surrounding communities,
business interests, and transit providers.
Federal law generally limits the term of
concession contracts to 10 years or
fewer, and requires that a competitive
process be used to select new
concessioners.

The NPS seeks to secure a site that
will provide a long-term orientation and
ferry embarkation facility for service to
Alcatraz Island from the northern San
Francisco waterfront. The NPS desires
an identifiable and well-functioning
facility that will provide a quality
welcome and support program for
visitors, orient visitors to the history of
Alcatraz Island, and provide a
connection to other Golden Gate
National Recreation Area (GGNRA)
parklands and orientation to the
national park system in general. The
NPS also seeks to establish additional
occasional ferry service between the
primary Alcatraz ferry embarkation site
and the existing Fort Baker pier, as well
as other excursions within the Bay
departing from the primary embarkation
site. The Final EIS evaluated additional
service to and from Fort Mason, but this
activity is not included in the preferred
alternative. These elements would
improve cross-Bay connectivity and
accommodate existing and future visitor
demand for recreational travel to Fort
Baker and the Marin Headlands, thereby
enhancing GGNRA’s operational
effectiveness. Many potential visitors
are unable to obtain tickets to Alcatraz
Island due to the high demand.
Enhanced on-shore visitor facilities
would provide those visitors with
interpretive information about the
island and options for visiting other
GGNRA destinations from San
Francisco.

Public scoping was initiated in the
late spring of 2012. The Notice of Intent
to prepare an EIS was published in the
Federal Register on June 1, 2012.
Scoping meetings were held on June 26
and 28, 2012, at Fort Mason Building
201 in San Francisco and the City Hall
in Sausalito, respectively. Over the
comment period, approximately 90
correspondences were collected from
interested stakeholders.

The Draft EIS was released on March
20, 2015 with comments accepted
through June 4, 2015. During the
comment period, one public meeting
was held on March 31, 2015 at Pier 1
in San Francisco. Approximately 277
pieces of correspondence were received.
Some plan content was modified based
on public comments, but there have been very few substantial changes to the alternatives under consideration. Changes include adding additional specificity on the number of planned trips for special ferry service to Fort Baker and identifying the preferred alternative to include developing the primary embarkation site as Pier 31 1/2 as well as providing occasional ferry service to Fort Baker.

Range of Alternatives: The Final EIS describes and analyzes four alternatives.

No-Action Alternative: Ferry service to Alcatraz Island would continue from Pier 31 1/2, controlled by the Port of San Francisco, with no changes to management or site operations and infrastructure. This alternative serves as the environmental baseline from which potential effects of the three “action” alternatives were compared.

Pier 31 1/2 Alternative: Retrofit existing structures (parts of piers 31, 33 and associated bulkhead buildings) and establish long-term ferry service and embarkation site operations at Pier 31 1/2 along the Embarcadero. A third berth would be constructed to support ferry travel to other GGNSR sites. This is the “agency-preferred” alternative for the Alcatraz Ferry Embarkation site. This alternative also includes consideration of limited ferry service to/from Fort Baker.

Pier 41 Alternative: Retrofit and expand existing structures and establish long-term embarkation at Pier 41, controlled by the Port of San Francisco in Fisherman’s Wharf. A third berth would be constructed to support ferry travel to other GGNSR sites.

Pier 3 Alternative: Retrofit existing structures and establish a long-term embarkation site at Pier 3 in Fort Mason, a federal property managed by GGNSR. A third berth between Piers 1 and 2 would also be constructed.

In the future, the selected embarkation site would include additional ferry services from the primary embarkation site to provide recreational ferry service to other destinations in the Bay, as well as Bay excursions, which would enhance the connectivity and accommodation of visitor demands to other GGNSR destinations. The details associated with providing any such potential ferry service to particular locations other than Alcatraz Island and Fort Baker would be analyzed in future environmental documents. The NPS will execute a Record of Decision no sooner than 30 days following EPA’s notice published in the Federal Register announcing filing and release of the Final EIS. The official responsible for approval of the Alcatraz Ferry Embarkation project is the Regional Director of the Pacific West Region, and subsequently the General Superintendent, GGNSR, will be responsible for implementation.

Dated: November 4, 2016.

Laura E. Joss,
Regional Director, Pacific West Region.
[FR Doc. 2017–01469 Filed 11–23–17; 8:45 am]
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INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
[Investigation No. 337–TA–1037]
Certain Graphics Processors, DDR Memory Controllers, and Products Containing the Same Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 16, 2016, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of ZiiLabs Inc., Ltd. of Bermuda. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain graphics processors, DDR memory controllers, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,952 ('952 patent'); U.S. Patent No. 6,950,350 ('350 patent'); and U.S. Patent No. 7,518,616 ('616 patent'). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 17, 2017, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain graphics processors, DDR memory controllers, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–8 of the '952 patent; claims 1–16 of the '350 patent; claims 1–8 of the '616 patent; and claims 1–20 of the '659 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding Administrative Law Judge shall take evidence of the information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: ZiiLabs Inc., Ltd., Clarendon House, 2 Church Street, Hamilton, HM11, Bermuda

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: