days after our final determination whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.¹

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).


Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is dioctyl terephthalate ("DOTP"), regardless of form. DOTP that has been blended with other products is included within this scope when such blends include constituent parts that have not been chemically reacted with each other to produce a different product. For such blends, only the DOTP component of the mixture is covered by the scope of this investigation.

DOTP that is otherwise subject to this investigation is not excluded when commingled with DOTP from sources not subject to this investigation. Commingled refers to the mixing of subject and non-subject DOTP. Only the subject component of such commingled products is covered by the scope of the investigation.

DOTP has the general chemical formulation C₈H₁₆O₄ and a chemical name of "bis (2-ethylhexyl) terephthalate" and has a Chemical Abstract Service ("CAS") registry number of 6422-86-2. Regardless of the label, all DOTP is covered by this investigation.

Subject merchandise is currently classified under subheading 2917.39.2000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Subject merchandise may also enter under subheadings 2917.39.7000 or 3812.20.1000 of the HTSUS. While the CAS registry number and HTSUS classification are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Period of Investigation
IV. Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures
V. Scope Comments
VI. Selection of Respondents
VII. Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances
VIII. Discussion of Methodology
- A. Determination of the Comparison Period
- B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
IX. Product Comparisons
X. Date of Sale
XI. U.S. Price

¹ See section 735(b)(2) of the Act.

A. Export Price
B. Constructed Export Price
C. Duty Drawback
XII. Normal Value
A. Comparison Mark Viability
B. Affiliated-Party Transactions and Arm’s-Length Test
C. Level of Trade
D. COP Analysis
E. Calculation of NV Based on Comparison Market Prices
XIII. Currency Conversion
XIV. Conclusion

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A-549-820]

Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on prestressed concrete steel wire strand (PC strand) from Thailand. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, The Siam Industrial Wire Co., Ltd. (SIW). We preliminarily determine that SIW did not make sales of subject merchandise at prices below normal value (NV). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sergio Balbontin or Brian Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4847 or (202) 482-1677, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order¹ is PC strand from Thailand. The product is currently classified under subheadings 7312.10.3010 and 7312.10.3012 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of merchandise subject to the scope is dispositive.²

Methodology

The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this administrative review, we preliminarily determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for SIW for the POR.

Verification

As provided in section 782(b)(3) of the Act, we intend to verify information relied upon in the final results.

Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days of the

¹ A full description of the scope of the Order is contained in the memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Gary Tavorman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from Thailand” (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213.


Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Discussion of the Methodology
Bona Fides Analysis
Comparisons to Normal Value
A. Determination of Comparison Method
B. Results of Differential Pricing Analysis
C. Product Comparisons
Constructed Export Price
Normal Value
A. Home-Market Viability and Comparison Market
B. Level of Trade
C. Cost of Production Analysis
1. Calculation of COP
2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
3. Results of the COP Test
D. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
Currency Conversion Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017–02347 Filed 2–2–17; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–832]

Pure Magnesium From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on pure magnesium from the People’s Republic of China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the dumping margins identified in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

see 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

see Order.

3 See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

4 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

5 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

6 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

7 See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(b).

8 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

9 see 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

10 See Order.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Effective February 3, 2017.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213.


Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
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List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Discussion of the Methodology
Bona Fides Analysis
Comparisons to Normal Value
A. Determination of Comparison Method
B. Results of Differential Pricing Analysis
C. Product Comparisons
Constructed Export Price
Normal Value
A. Home-Market Viability and Comparison Market
B. Level of Trade
C. Cost of Production Analysis
1. Calculation of COP
2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
3. Results of the COP Test
D. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
Currency Conversion Recommendation
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–832]

Pure Magnesium From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce ("Department") finds that revocation of the antidumping duty ("AD") order on pure magnesium from the People’s Republic of China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the dumping margins identified in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

see 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

see Order.

3 See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

4 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

5 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

6 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

7 See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(b).

8 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

9 see 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

10 See Order.