
By order of the Commission.  
Lisa R. Barton,  
Secretary to the Commission.
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INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
[Investigation No. 731–TA–1306 (Final)]  
Large Residential Washers From China  
Determination

On the basis of the record developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) ("the Act"), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of large residential washers from China, provided for in subheading 8450.20.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found of the notice in the Office of the Commission’s investigation and of a determination by Commerce that an industry in the United States is injured within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determination in this investigation on January 30, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4666 (January 2017), entitled Large Residential Washers from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–1306 (Final).

By order of the Commission.  
Lisa R. Barton,  
Secretary to the Commission.
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INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
[Investigation No. 731–TA–718 (Fourth Review)]  
Glycine From China; Determination

On the basis of the record developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on August 1, 2016 (81 FR 50547) and determined on November 4, 2016 that it would conduct an expedited review (81 FR 87589, December 5, 2016).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on January 31, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4667 (January 2017), entitled Glycine From China: Investigation No. 731–TA–718 (Fourth Review).

By order of the Commission.  
Katherine M. Hiner,  
Acting Supervisory Attorney.
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DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Richard W. Walker, Jr., M.D.; Decision and Order

On October 3, 2016, the Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Richard W. Walker, M.D. (Registrant), of League City, Texas. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of his DEA Certificate of Registration No. AW2558750, on the ground that he does not have authority to dispense controlled substances in Texas, the State in which he is registered with the Agency. Order to Show Cause, at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3)).

With respect to the Agency’s jurisdiction, the Show Cause Order alleged that Registrant is the holder of Registration No. AW2558750, pursuant to which he is authorized to dispense controlled substances in schedules II through V as a practitioner, at the registered address of 4604 Hispania View Drive, League City, Texas. Id. The Order also alleges that Registrant’s registration does not expire until May 31, 2017. Id.

As ground for the proposed action, the Show Cause Order alleged that “[t]he Texas Medical Board issued an order, effective June 10, 2016, which accepted [the] surrender of [his] authority to practice medicine.” Id. The Order thus asserted that as a consequence of the Board’s action, Registrant is without authority to dispense controlled substances in Texas, the State in which he is registered, and thus, “DEA must revoke” his Registration. Id. at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f)(1) and 824(a)(3)).

The Show Cause Order notified Registrant of his right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement in lieu of a hearing, the procedure for electing either option, and the consequence of failing to elect either option. Id. at 2 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43).

The Show Cause Order also notified Registrant of his right to submit a corrective action plan. Id. at 2–3 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).