Certain uncoated groundwood paper includes but is not limited to standard newsprint, high bright newsprint, book publishing, and printing and writing papers. The scope includes paper that is white, offwhite, cream, or colored.

Specifically excluded from the scope are imports of certain uncoated groundwood paper printed with final content of printed text or graphic. Also excluded are papers that otherwise meet this definition, but which have undergone a supercalendering process. ¹¹ Additionally, excluded are papers that otherwise meet this definition, but which have undergone a creping process over the entire surface area of the paper.

Also excluded are uncoated groundwood construction paper and uncoated groundwood manila drawing paper in sheet or roll format. Excluded uncoated groundwood construction paper and uncoated groundwood manila drawing paper: (a) Have a weight greater than 61 grams per square meter; (b) have a thickness greater than 6.1 caliper, *i.e.*, greater than .0061" or 155 microns; (c) are produced using at least 50 percent thermomechanical pulp; and (d) have a shade, as measured by CIELAB, as follows: L* less than or 75.0 or b* greater than or equal to 25.0.

Also excluded is uncoated groundwood directory paper that: (a) Has a basis weight of 34 grams per square meter or less; and (b) has a thickness of 2.6 caliper mils or 66 microns or less.

Certain uncoated groundwood paper is classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) in several subheadings, including 4801.00.0120, 4801.00.0140, 4802.61.1000, 4802.61.2000, 4802.61.3110, 4802.61.3191, 4802.61.6040, 4802.62.1000, 4802.62.2000, 4802.62.3000, 4802.62.6140, 4802.69.1000, 4802.69.2000, and 4802.69.3000. Subject merchandise may also be imported under several additional subheadings including 4805.91.5000, 4805.91.7000, and 4805.91.9000.12 Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Investigation
- IV. Scope Comments
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
 - A. Determination of the Comparison Method
 - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis

VI. Date of Sale

VII. Product Comparisons

VIII. Export Price and Constructed Export Price

IX. Normal Value

- A. Home Market Viability
- B. Level of Trade
- C. Cost of Production (COP) Analysis
- 1. Calculation of COP
- 2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
- 3. Results of the COP Test
- D. Calculation of NV Based on Comparison Market Prices
- E. Calculation of NV Based on Constructed Value
- X. Currency Conversion

XI. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2018–05486 Filed 3–16–18; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-842]

Large Residential Washers From Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016– 2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 8, 2017, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the preliminary results of the fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on large residential washers from Mexico. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2016, to January 31, 2017. Based on our analysis of the comments received, our final results remain unchanged from the preliminary results. The final dumping margin for the respondent, Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V. and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (collectively, Electrolux), is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

DATES: Applicable March 19, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ross Belliveau or Rebecca Janz, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4952 and (202) 482–2972, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers one producer/ exporter of the subject merchandise: Electrolux. On November 8, 2017, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*. ¹

In December 2017, we received a case brief from Electrolux and a rebuttal brief from the petitioner, Whirlpool Corporation.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all large residential washers and certain subassemblies thereof from Mexico. The products are currently classifiable under subheadings 8450.20.0040 and 8450.20.0080 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Products subject to this order may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 8450.11.0040, 8450.11.0080, 8450.90.2000, and 8450.90.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this scope is dispositive.2

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the Appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. Parties can find a complete discussion of these issues and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov; the Issues and Decision Memorandum is also available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024, of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http:// enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following dumping margin to Electrolux:

¹¹ Supercalendering imparts a glossy finish produced by the movement of the paper web through a supercalender which is a stack of alternating rollers of metal and cotton (or other softer material). The supercalender runs at high speed and applies pressure, heat, and friction which glazes the surface of the paper, imparting gloss to the surface and increasing the paper's smoothness and density.

¹²The following HTSUS numbers are no longer active as of January 1, 2017: 4801.00.0020, 4801.00.0040, 4802.61.3010, 4802.61.3091, and 4802.62.6040.

¹ See Large Residential Washers from Mexico: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017, 82 FR 51810 (November 8, 2017) (Preliminary Results).

² A full description of the scope of the order is contained in Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Large Residential Washers from Mexico," dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Manufacturer/exporter	Dumping margin (percent)
Electrolux Home Products Corp. NV/Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V	72.41

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 41 days after publication of the final results of this administrative review. For Electrolux, we will base the assessment rate, which was assigned as an adverse facts available (AFA) rate,3 for the corresponding entries on the margin listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Electrolux will be equal to the dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recentlycompleted segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 36.52 percent, the all-others rate determined in the LTFV investigation.4 These cash deposit requirements, when imposed,

shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(5) of Commerce's regulations.

Dated: March 12, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Duty Absorption

V. Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1. The Application of Adverse Facts Available (AFA)

Comment 2. Electrolux's Untimely Filed Responses and Requests

Comment 3. Selection of the AFA Rate VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018–05482 Filed 3–16–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C–351–833]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Brazil: Rescission of 2016 Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod products (wire rod) from Brazil for the period of review (POR) January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016.

DATES: Applicable March 19, 2018.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Darla Brown or Joshua Tucker, AD/CVD
Operations, Office II, Enforcement and
Compliance, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue
NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone:
(202) 482–1791 or (202) 482–2044,
respectively.

Background

On October 4, 2017, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on wire rod from Brazil for the POR.¹ On October 30, 2017, Commerce received a timely request from Nucor Corporation (Nucor), in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(b), to conduct an administrative review of this countervailing duty order.²

On December 7, 2017, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation with respect to ArcelorMittal Brasil SA; Sinobras—Siderurgica Norte Brasil SA; Villares Metals SA; and Votarantim Siderurgia.³ On January 31, 2018, Nucor timely withdrew its request for an administrative review for these companies.⁴

³ For a full discussion of Commerce's determination to apply AFA pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, see the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1. See also Preliminary Results, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 3–8.

⁴ See Large Residential Washers from Mexico and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders, 78 FR 11148 (February 15, 2013).

¹ See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 82 FR 46217 (October 4, 2017).

² See Letter from Nucor, "Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil: Request for Administrative Review," dated October 30, 2017.

³ See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 82 FR 57705 (December 7, 2017).

⁴ See Letter from Nucor, "Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil: Withdrawal of