DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP18–102–000]

Notice of Application; Cheyenne Connector, LLC

On March 5, 2018, Cheyenne Connector, LLC (Cheyenne Connector), 370 Van Gordon Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80228, filed an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (Commission) regulations seeking: (1) A certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Cheyenne Connector to construct, own, and operate a new natural gas pipeline system consisting of approximately 70 miles of 36-inch-diameter pipeline, four receipt meters and one delivery meter all located in Weld County, Colorado; (2) a blanket certificates authorizing Cheyenne Connector to engage in certain self-implementing routine activities pursuant to blanket certificate authority under Part 157, Subpart F of the Commission’s regulations; and (3) a blanket certificate to transport natural gas on an open-access and self-implementing basis under Part 284, Subpart G of the Commission’s regulations, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is open to the public for inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.gov using the “eLibrary” link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC at FERCONlineSupport@ferc.gov or call toll-free, (866) 208–3676 or TTY, (202) 502–8659.

Any questions regarding Cheyenne Connector’s application should be directed to David Haag, Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer, Cheyenne Connector, LLC, 370 Van Gordon Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80228–1519, or phone (303) 763–3258 or by email david.haag@tallgrassenergylp.com.

Specifically, Cheyenne Connector states that upon construction of the proposed facilities, Cheyenne Connector will be able to transport up to 600,000 dekatherms per day of natural gas from producers in the Rocky Mountains to an interconnect at the Cheyenne Hub, located in Weld County, Colorado for further transportation by Rockies Express Pipeline LLC (Rockies Express) and/or other pipelines. In conjunction with this filing, Rockies Express filed an application under Docket No. CP18–103–000 to construct and operate certain booster compression units and ancillary facilities at the Cheyenne Hub, in Weld County, Colorado to provide new hub service allowing for firm receipts and deliveries between Rockies Express and other interconnected pipelines at the Cheyenne Hub.

Pursuant to Section 157.9 of the Commission’s rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: Complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission’s public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding, or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff’s issuance of the EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission’s public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff’s EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission’s review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 7 copies of filings made in the proceeding with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider all comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission’s rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission.

Environmental commentors will be placed on the Commission’s environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission’s environmental review process. Environmental commentors will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties.

However, the non-party commentors will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission’s final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the eFiling link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

Comment Date: 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on April 9, 2018.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–05977 Filed 3–22–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER18–1106–000]

Kestrel Acquisition, LLC;
Supplemental Notice That Initial Market-Based Rate Filing Includes Request for Blanket Section 204 Authorization

This is a supplemental notice in the above-referenced proceeding of Kestrel Acquisition, LLC’s application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate tariff, noting that such application includes a request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR...
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EL17–32–000, EL17–36–000]

Old Dominion Electric Cooperative v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.; Advanced Energy Management Alliance v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.; Notice of Request for Comments and Technical Conference

Take notice that a staff-led conference will be held on April 24, 2018, at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, between 9:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EDT). The purpose of the conference is to obtain further information concerning the above referenced proceedings. Supplemental notices will be issued prior to the technical conference with further details regarding the agenda, speakers, and organization of the technical conference. Commission staff will lead the conference, and Commissioners may attend.

On February 23, 2018, the Commission issued an order directing Commission staff to convene a technical conference and issue a request for comments in the above-captioned dockets. In advance of this conference, interested parties are asked to file comments on the following questions:

1. According to complainants, PJM indicated in the stakeholder process that a procurement of 80 percent Capacity Performance and 20 percent Base Capacity yields a near-zero loss of load expectation (LOLE) over 42 (non-summer peak) weeks of the year. Do these results provide information about the value of lost load in 10 peak-summer weeks versus the rest of the year? Is placing the majority of loss-of-load risk in 10 peak-summer weeks an appropriate allocation of risk for purposes of meeting the 1-in-10 LOLE target in a cost-effective manner? If yes, please explain why. If not, what would be a better distribution of risk that can still satisfy the 1-in-10 LOLE target?

2. How is the conclusion that PJM’s current capacity procurement yields a near-zero LOLE in the winter consistent with PJM’s experience in the Polar Vortex? How does the LOLE calculation take into account outage-related factors, for instance, planned maintenance outages are typically scheduled only during non-summer months?

3. Complainants argue that it is appropriate to procure more capacity for the summer months than for the non-summer months. What would be the advantages and disadvantages of (a) procuring this capacity by using annual and summer-only capacity products in a single auction, as PJM did in the past, versus (b) creating two distinct auctions, and procuring summer capacity in one auction and non-summer capacity in the other? Are there other viable methods to meet this objective? If so, please describe them.

4. Does PJM’s load forecasting methodology reasonably reflect peak shaving efforts by end users?

a. What is the basis for the current load forecasting methodology and what are its advantages within the context of peak shaving practices?

b. Are there aspects of the current load forecasting methodology that can be improved and may be incorrect or resulting in unreasonable outcomes within the context of peak shaving practices?

c. Are there alternative methodologies to reflect peak shaving efforts? If so, what are they and are there obstacles to implementing them?

Preliminary comments responding to this notice should be submitted, in Docket Nos. EL17–32–000 and EL17–36–000, on or before April 4, 2018 and should not exceed 15 pages. Parties will have an opportunity to submit comments after the conference as well. Comments may be filed electronically via the internet. See the instructions on the Commission’s website http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/eFiling.asp. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at 1–866–208–3676, or for TTY, (202) 502–8659. Although the Commission strongly encourages electronic filing, documents may also be paper-filed. To paper-file, mail an original and seven copies to: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

The conference will be open for the public to attend. Advance registration is not required but is highly encouraged. Attendees may register at the following web page: http://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/04-24-18-form.asp. Attendees should allow time to pass through building security procedures before the 9:30 a.m. (EDT) start time of the technical conference. In addition, information on this event will be posted on the Calendar of Events on the Commission’s website, www.ferc.gov, prior to the event.

Those also interested in speaking at the technical conference should notify the Commission by March 28, 2018 by completing the online form at the following web page: http://