For the reasons discussed above, the Commission finds that ISE’s proposal is consistent with the Act, including Section 6(b)(5) thereof, in that it is designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. In light of the enhanced closing procedures at the underlying markets and the potential benefits to investors discussed by the Exchange in the Notice, the Commission finds that it is appropriate and consistent with the Act to approve ISE’s proposal on a pilot basis. The collection of data during the Pilot Program and ISE’s active monitoring of any effects of NQX options on the markets will help ISE and the Commission assess any impact of P.M. settlement in today’s market.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (SR–ISE–2017–106), as modified by Amendment No. 1, be, and hereby is, approved, subject to a pilot period set to expire on the earlier of: (1) Twelve months following the date a pilot period set to expire on the earlier March 20, 2018.4 The Commission did not receive any comments on the Proposed Rule Change. On February 8, 2018, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(A)(ii)(I) of the Act, the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve, disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.6 This order institutes proceedings, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act,7 to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

II. Summary of the Proposed Rule Change

As described in the Notice, NSCC proposes to revise its Rules and Procedures to primarily change (i) the loss allocation process,8 (ii) the loss allocation governance for Declared Non-Default Loss Events,9 and (iii) the retention time for the Actual Deposit of former members.10

A. Loss Allocation Process

NSCC states that it would retain the current core loss allocation process.11 However, NSCC proposes to revise certain elements and introduce certain new loss allocation concepts, by making five key changes to its loss allocation process. First, NSCC proposes to replace the calculation of its corporate contribution from no less than 25 percent of its retained earnings or such higher amount as the Board of Directors shall determine to a defined Corporate Contribution.12 The proposed Corporate Contribution would be defined as an amount equal to 50 percent of NSCC’s General Business Risk Capital Requirement.13 NSCC’s General Business Risk Capital Requirement is, at a minimum, equal to the regulatory capital that NSCC is required to maintain in compliance with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(15) under the Act.14 In addition, NSCC proposes to mandatorily apply Corporate Contribution (i) prior to a loss allocation among Members, and (ii) to losses arising from both Defaulting Member Events and Declared Non-Default Loss Events.15 Second, NSCC proposes to introduce an Event Period to address the allocation of losses and liabilities that may arise from or relate to multiple
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I. Introduction

On December 18, 2017, National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 proposed rule change SR–NSCC–2017–018 to amend the loss allocation rules and make other changes (“Proposed Rule Change”).3 The Proposed Rule Change was published for comment in the Federal Register on January 8, 2018.4 The Commission did not receive any comments on the Proposed Rule Change. On February 8, 2018, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(A)(ii)(I) of the Act,5 the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve, disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.6 This order institutes proceedings, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act,7 to determine whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

To information through its membership in the Intermarket Surveillance Group with respect to the trading of the securities underlying the NQX, as well as tools such as large options positions reports to assist its surveillance of NQX options. In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission also has relied upon the Exchange’s representation that it has the necessary systems capacity to support new options series that will result from this proposal. See id.

8 The Commission notes that the Summary of the Proposed Rule Change section does not describe the Proposed Rule Change in its entirety. Other changes include, but are not limited to, the clarification of defined terms, various aspects of the Clearing Fund application, and detailed procedures of the loss allocation. The complete Proposed Rule Change can be found in the Notice. See Notice, supra note 4.
10 See id. at 901.
11 See id. at 901–02.
12 Id. at 898.
13 Id.
14 Id.
15 Id.
16 Id. at 898.
17 Notice, supra note 4, at 898.
Defaulting Member Events, Declared Non-Default Loss Events, or both that arise in quick succession. The proposal would group together Defaulting Member Events and Declared Non-Default Loss Events occurring in a period of 10 business days for purposes of allocating losses to Members in one or more rounds, subject to the limitations of loss allocation in the Proposed Rule Change.

Third, NSCC proposes to introduce a loss allocation “round,” which would mean “a series of loss allocations relating to an Event Period, the aggregate amount of which is limited by the sum of the Loss Allocation Caps of affected Members.” NSCC would notify Members subject to a loss allocation of the amounts being allocated to them. Each Member would have five business days from the issuance of such First Loss Allocation Notice for the round to notify NSCC of its election to withdraw from membership with NSCC, and thereby benefit from its Loss Allocation Cap.

Fourth, NSCC proposes to implement a “look-back” period to calculate a Member’s loss allocation pro rata share and its Loss Allocation Cap. NSCC proposes to calculate each Member’s pro rata share of losses and liabilities in any round to be equal to (i) the average of a Member’s Required Fund Deposit for 70 business days prior to the first day of the applicable Event Period (“Average RFD”) divided by (ii) the sum of Average RFD amounts for all Members that are subject to a loss allocation in such round.

Additionally, NSCC proposes that each Member’s Loss Allocation Cap would be equal to the greater of (i) its Required Fund Deposit on the first day of the applicable Event Period or (ii) its Average RFD.

Fifth, NSCC proposes to revise the cap on a loss allocation and the withdrawal process followed by the loss allocation. As proposed, if a Member provides notice of its withdrawal from membership, the Member’s maximum amount of losses with respect to any loss allocation round would be its Loss Allocation Cap. NSCC further proposes that Members would have two business days after NSCC issues a first round Loss Allocation Notice to pay the amount specified in such notice. Members would have five business days from the issuance of the first Loss Allocation Notice in any round to decide whether to terminate its membership, provided that the Member complies with the requirements of the proposed withdrawal process.

B. Loss Allocation Governance for Declared Non-Default Loss Events

NSCC proposes to enhance the governance around Declared Non-Default Loss Events that would trigger a loss allocation by specifying that the Board of Directors would have to determine that there is a non-default loss that (i) may present a significant and substantial loss or liability, so as to materially impair the ability of NSCC to provide clearance and settlement services in an orderly manner, and (ii) will potentially generate losses to be mutualized among Members in order to ensure that NSCC may continue to offer clearance and settlement services in an orderly manner. NSCC would then be required to promptly notify Members of this determination.

C. Retention Time for the Actual Deposit of a Former Participant

NSCC proposes that if a Member gives notice to NSCC of its election to withdraw from membership, NSCC would return the Member’s Actual Deposit in the form of cash or securities within 30 calendar days and Eligible Letters of Credit within 90 calendar days. The return would be made after all of the Member’s transactions have settled, and all matured and contingent obligations to NSCC for which the Member was responsible while a Member have been satisfied, except NSCC may retain for up to two years the Actual Deposits from Members who have sponsored Accounts at DTC. This proposed rule would reduce the period in which NSCC may retain a Member’s Actual Deposit pursuant to the current rule.

III. Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove the Proposed Rule Change and Grounds for Disapproval Under Consideration

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the Proposed Rule Change should be approved or disapproved. Institution of proceedings is appropriate at this time in view of the legal and policy issues raised by the Proposed Rule Change. Institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to comment on the Proposed Rule Change, and provide the Commission with arguments to support the Commission’s analysis as to whether to approve or disapprove the Proposed Rule Change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act, the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for disapproval under consideration. The Commission is instituting proceedings to allow for additional analysis of, and input from commenters with respect to, the Proposed Rule Change’s consistency with Section 17A of the Act, and the rules thereunder, including the following provisions:

- Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency, such as NSCC, must be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and to protect investors and the public interest;
- Rule 17Ad–22(e)(13) under the Act, which requires, in general, a covered clearing agency, such as NSCC, to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure the covered clearing agency has the authority and operational capacity to take timely action to contain losses and liquidity demands and continue to meet its obligations;
- Rule 17Ad–22(e)(23)(i) under the Act, which requires a covered clearing agency, such as NSCC, to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to publicly disclose all relevant rules and material procedures, including key aspects of its default rules and procedures.

IV. Procedure: Request for Written Comments

The Commission requests that interested persons provide written submissions of their views, data, and
arguments with respect to the issues identified above, as well as any other concerns they may have with the Proposed Rule Change. In particular, the Commission invites the written views of interested persons concerning whether the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act, Rule 17Ad–22(e)(13) under the Act, Rule 17Ad–22(e)(23)(i) under the Act, or any other provision of the Act, or the rules and regulations thereunder. Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval that would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b–4(g) under the Act, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments regarding whether the Proposed Rule Change should be approved or disapproved by April 16, 2018. Any person who wishes to file a rebuttal to any other person’s submission must file that rebuttal by April 30, 2018.

The Commission asks that commenters address the sufficiency of NSCC’s statements in support of the Proposed Rule Change, which are set forth in the Notice, in addition to any other comments they may wish to submit about the Proposed Rule Change.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml) or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NSCC–2017–018 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NSCC–2017–018. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the Proposed Rule Change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the Proposed Rule Change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NSCC and on The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation’s website (http://dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx). All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NSCC–2017–018 and should be submitted on or before April 16, 2018. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by April 30, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.46

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–06016 Filed 3–23–18; 8:45 am]
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On February 2, 2018, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) proposed rule change SR–DTC–2018–001, pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder.2 The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on February 14, 2018.3 The Commission did not receive any comment letters on the proposed rule change. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the proposed rule change.

I. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would amend the DTC By-Laws (“By-Laws”)4 to (1) revise DTC’s governance procedures, (2) change certain DTC Board of Directors (“Board”) titles, officer titles, and offices (and their respective powers and duties), (3) update the compensation section for officers, and (4) make technical changes and corrections, each discussed more fully below.

A. Changes to DTC’s Governance Procedures

Under the proposed rule change, DTC would revise certain governance procedures of the By-Laws. Specifically, DTC proposes to (1) change the required frequency of the Board’s and the Executive Committee’s meetings, (2) remove the word “monthly” from the phrase “regular monthly meetings” when describing Board meetings, and (3) permit the Board to act by unanimous written consent.5

DTC proposes to reduce the required frequency of its Board meetings and Executive Committee meetings, as provided for in Section 2.6 (Meetings) of the By-Laws,6 to better align the frequency of the Board meetings with those of the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC”) and the National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”).7 Specifically, the proposal would reduce the minimum required number of Board meetings from ten meetings per year (with at least two

5 Notice, 83 FR at 6640.
6 Hereinafter, section references will always be to the By-Laws unless otherwise stated.
8 See Notice, supra note 4.
10 Notice, 83 FR at 6640.