proposed transaction would allow for more efficient and productive management, modernizations of both rolling stock and maintenance equipment, and consolidation of debt structures. TranSouth states that the transaction would result in better service and savings in fares to the public. Also, TranSouth states that the proposed transaction would allow both companies to serve and expand their customer bases, thereby increasing the number of their employees in operations and maintenance.

TranSouth further asserts that the proposed transaction would not adversely affect competition or the public interest. According to TranSouth, its and C&H’s service areas include the following: The entire Middle Georgia area; the cities of Macon, Savannah, Valdosta, Cordele, Forsyth, and Dublin; and the southern area of suburban Atlanta (the Service Area). TranSouth states that competition is robust with at least eight other companies providing motor coach passenger services within a 50-mile radius of the Service Area. Also, TranSouth states that the Atlanta metropolitan area is within 80 miles of the Service Area and has numerous entities that compete with both TranSouth and C&H.

On the basis of the application, the Board finds that the proposed acquisition of control is consistent with the public interest and should be tentatively approved and authorized. If any opposing comments are timely filed, these findings will be deemed vacated, and, unless a final decision can be made on the record as developed, a procedural schedule will be adopted to reconsider the application. See 49 CFR 1182.6(c). If opposing comments are filed by the expiration of the comment period, this notice will take effect automatically and will be the final Board action.

This action is categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR 1105.6(c).

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at WWW.STB.GOV.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2017–0071; Notice 2]

Sumitomo Rubber Industries, Ltd.,
Grant of Petition for Decision of
Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Grant of petition.

SUMMARY: Sumitomo Rubber Industries, Ltd. (SRI), on behalf of itself and its subsidiary Sumitomo Rubber North America, Inc. (SRNA), have determined that certain Falken truck tires do not fully comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 119, New Pneumatic Tires for Motor Vehicles with a GVWR of more than 4,536 kilograms (10,000 pounds) and Motorcycles. SRI filed a noncompliance report dated June 20, 2017. SRI also petitioned NHTSA on July 10, 2017, for a decision that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Overview: SRI, on behalf of itself and its subsidiary SRNA, have determined that certain Falken truck tires do not fully comply with paragraph S6.5(f) of FMVSS No. 119, New Pneumatic Tires for Motor Vehicles with a GVWR of more than 4,536 kilograms (10,000 pounds) and Motorcycles (49 CFR 571.119). SRI filed a noncompliance report dated June 20, 2017, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports. SRI also petitioned NHTSA on July 10, 2017, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) and 49 CFR part 556, for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety.

II. Tires Involved: Approximately 5,408 Falken truck tires (Model RI151), size 225/70R19.5, manufactured between October 17, 2016, and April 28, 2017, are potentially involved. Specifically, the tires are marked with “TREAD 5 PLIES STEEL” whereas the correct marking should be “TREAD 4 PLIES STEEL.”

IV. Rule Requirements: Paragraph S6.5 of FMVSS No. 119, titled “Tire Markings” includes the requirements relevant to this petition:

- Each tire shall be marked on each sidewall with the information specified in paragraphs (a) through (j) of paragraph S6.5.
- The actual number of plies and the composition of the ply cord material in the sidewall and, if different, in the tread area.

V. Summary of SRI’s Petition: As background, on June 12, 2017, SRI discovered that a population of 5,408 Falken brand truck tires, Model RI151, size 225/70R19.5, manufactured from October 17, 2016 through April 28, 2017 at the company’s plant in Miyazaki, Japan, were marked with the incorrect number of plies. On July 13, 2017, SRNA was informed of the marking error, shipments of the subject tires were halted, and the company determined that the subject tires failed to comply with the tire labeling requirements of Federal motor vehicle safety standard (FMVSS) No. 119, S6.5. Specifically, the subject tires were incorrectly marked “TREAD 5 PLIES STEEL,” although they should have been marked “TREAD 4 PLIES STEEL.”
STEEL.” Accordingly, these tires do not conform to the marking requirements of FMVSS No. 119, S6.5. The subject tires comply with the performance requirements and other marking requirements of FMVSS No. 119. 

SRI submitted a Part 573 noncompliance report on June 20, 2017. NHTSA Recall No. I7T-012. SRI corrected the production molds. SRI began manufacturing correct versions of these tires on June 17, 2017. SRI described the subject noncompliance and stated its belief that the noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety.

In support of its petition, SRI submitted the following reasoning: Under the Safety Act, each Federal motor vehicle safety standard promulgated by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) must be “practicable, meet the need for motor vehicle safety, and be stated in objective terms.” 49 U.S.C. 30111(a). The Safety Act defines “motor vehicle safety” as: “the performance of a motor vehicle or motor vehicle equipment in a way that protects the public against unreasonable risk of accidents occurring because of the design, construction or performance of a motor vehicle, and against unreasonable risk of death or injury in an accident, and includes nonoperational safety of a motor vehicle.”


The Safety Act exempts manufacturers from the Safety Act’s notice and remedy requirements when the Secretary of Transportation determines that a defect or noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety. See 49 U.S.C. 30118(d). Section 30118(d) demonstrates Congress’s acknowledgment that there are cases where a manufacturer has failed to comply with a safety standard, yet the impact on motor vehicle safety is so slight that an exemption from the notice and remedy requirements of the Safety Act is justified. NHTSA has stated that the relevant consideration in evaluating an inconsequentiality petition is “whether an occupant who is affected by the noncompliance is likely to be exposed to a significantly greater risk than an occupant in a compliant vehicle.” 69 FR 19897, 19900 (April 14, 2004) (emphasis added).

In the context of tires specifically, the agency has similarly stated that it “believes that one measure of inconsequentiality to motor vehicle safety is that there is no effect of the noncompliance on the operational safety of vehicles on which the tires are mounted. Another measure of inconsequentiality . . . is the safety of people working in the tire retread, repair and recycling industries.” See 72 FR 18210 (April 17, 2017) (granting petition for determination of inconsequential noncompliance with respect to SRI tires marked with the incorrect number of plies).

We believe the labeling noncompliance at issue here is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. The subject Falken tires were manufactured as designed and meet or exceed all applicable FMVSS No. 119 performance standards. Furthermore, all of the sidewall markings related to tire service (load capacity, corresponding inflation pressure, etc.) are correct and the tires correctly show that they contain steel plies. SRI does not believe the mislabeling of these tires presents a safety concern for consumers or retreading and recycling personnel. As noted above, the affected tire mold has been corrected and tires produced on and after June 17, 2017, are marked with the correct number of plies.

NHTSA has previously granted petitions involving similar noncompliances. In the most recent of these, the agency explained:

“Although tire construction affects the strength and durability of tires, neither the agency nor the tire industry provides information relating tire strength and durability to the number of plies and types of ply cord material in the tread sidewall. Therefore, tire dealers and customers should consider the tire construction information along with other information such as the load capacity, maximum inflation pressure, and tread wear, temperature, and traction ratings, to assess performance capabilities of various tires. In the agency’s judgement, the incorrect labeling of the tire construction information will have an inconsequential effect on motor vehicle safety because most consumers do not base tire purchases or vehicle operation parameters on the number of plies in a tire.”

See 82 FR 18210 (April 17, 2017).

Regarding potential safety risks to the tire service industry, the agency concluded that a misstatement of the number of plies “will have no measurable effect on the safety of the tire retread, repair, and recycling industries. The use of steel cord construction in the sidewall and tread is the primary safety concern of these industries. In this case, because the sidewall markings indicate that some steel plies exist in the tire sidewall, this potential safety concern does not exist.” As noted above, the markings on the subject tires correctly indicate that they contain steel plies (although the number is missated as 5 instead of 4). NHTSA also granted similar petitions in the tire treaded by Cooper Tire and SRI (Dunlop). See 74 FR 10804 (March 12, 2009) (granting petition submitted by SRI where tires were labeled “Tread 3 Polyester + 2 Steel,” whereas the correct marking should have been “Tread 2 Polyester + 2 Steel”); and 82 FR 17075 (April 7, 2017) (granting petition submitted by Cooper Tire & Rubber Company where tires were marked “TREAD 1 PLY NYLON + 2 PLY STEEL + 2 PLY POLYESTER,” whereas the correct marking should have been “TREAD 1 PLY NYLON + 2 PLY STEEL + 1 PLY POLYESTER.”

SRI is not aware of any warranty claims, field reports, customer complaints, legal claims, or any incidents or injuries related to the subject condition.

SRI concluded by expressing the belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition to be exempted from providing notification of the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and a remedy for the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120, should be granted.

NHTSA’s Decision

NHTSA’s Analysis: The agency agrees with SRI that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. The agency believes that one measure of inconsequentiality to motor vehicle safety is that there is no effect of the noncompliance on the operational safety of vehicles on which these tires are mounted. Another measure of inconsequentiality which is relevant to this petition is the safety of people working in the tire retread, repair and recycling industries.

Although tire construction affects the strength and durability of tires, neither the agency nor the tire industry provides information relating tire strength and durability to the number of plies and types of ply cord material in the tread sidewall. Therefore, tire dealers and customers should consider the tire construction information along with other information such as the load capacity, maximum inflation pressure, tread wear, temperature, and traction ratings, to assess performance capabilities of various tires. In the agency’s judgement, the incorrect labeling of the tire construction information will have an inconsequential effect on motor vehicle safety because most consumers do not base tire purchases or vehicle operation parameters on the number of plies in a tire.

Regarding potential safety risks to the tire service industry, the agency concluded that a misstatement of the number of plies “will have no measurable effect on the safety of the tire retread, repair, and recycling industries. The use of steel cord construction in the sidewall and tread is the primary safety concern of these industries. In this case, because the sidewall markings indicate that some steel plies exist in the tire sidewall, this potential safety concern does not exist.” As noted above, the markings on the subject tires correctly indicate that they contain steel plies (although the number is missated as 5 instead of 4). NHTSA also granted similar petitions in the tire treaded by Cooper Tire and SRI (Dunlop). See 74 FR 10804 (March 12, 2009) (granting petition submitted by SRI where tires were labeled “Tread 3 Polyester + 2 Steel,” whereas the correct marking should have been “Tread 2 Polyester + 2 Steel”); and 82 FR 17075 (April 7, 2017) (granting petition submitted by Cooper Tire & Rubber Company where tires were marked “TREAD 1 PLY NYLON + 2 PLY STEEL + 2 PLY POLYESTER,” whereas the correct marking should have been “TREAD 1 PLY NYLON + 2 PLY STEEL + 1 PLY POLYESTER.”

SRI is not aware of any warranty claims, field reports, customer complaints, legal claims, or any incidents or injuries related to the subject condition.

SRI concluded by expressing the belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential as it relates to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition to be exempted from providing notification of the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118, and a remedy for the noncompliance, as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120, should be granted.

NHTSA’s Decision

NHTSA’s Analysis: The agency agrees with SRI that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. The agency believes that one measure of inconsequentiality to motor vehicle safety is that there is no effect of the noncompliance on the operational safety of vehicles on which these tires are mounted. Another measure of inconsequentiality which is relevant to this petition is the safety of people working in the tire retread, repair and recycling industries. The use of steel cord
construction in the sidewall and tread is the primary safety concern of these industries. In this case, because of the sidewall marking indicate that some steel plies exist in the tire sidewall, this potential safety concern does not exist.

NHTSA’S Decision: In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA finds that SRI has met its burden of persuasion that the subject FMVSS No. 119 noncompliance in the affected tires is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, SRI’s petition is hereby granted and SRI is consequently exempted from the obligation of providing notification of, and a free remedy for, that noncompliance under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, this decision only applies to the subject tires that SRI no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, the granting of this petition does not relieve equipment distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant tires under their control after SRI notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120: Delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8

Claudia Covell, Acting Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–05983 Filed 3–23–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA–2018–0021]

Pipeline Safety: Information Collection Activities

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, PHMSA invites comments on three information collections that are due to expire during the summer of 2018. PHMSA will request an extension with no change for the information collections identified by OMB control numbers 2137–0048, 2137–0600, and 2137–0618.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 25, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted in the following ways:

E-Gov website: http://www.regulations.gov. This site allows the public to enter comments on any Federal Register notice issued by any agency.


Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

Hand Delivery: Room W12–140 on the ground level of DOT, West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Instructions: Identify the Docket No. PHMSA–2018–0021, at the beginning of your comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

Privacy Act Statement: DOT may solicit comments from the public regarding certain general notices. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL–14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

Docket: For access to the docket or to read background documents or comments, go to http://www.regulations.gov at any time or to Room W12–140 on the ground level of DOT, West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

If you wish to receive confirmation of receipt of your written comments, please include a self-addressed, stamped postcard with the following statement: “Comments on Docket No. PHMSA–2018–0021.” The Docket Clerk will date stamp the postcard prior to returning it to you via the U.S. mail.

Please note that due to delays in the delivery of U.S. mail to Federal offices in Washington, DC, we recommend that persons consider an alternative method (internet, fax, or professional delivery service) of submitting comments to the docket and ensuring their timely receipt at DOT.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 1320.8(d), Title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, requires PHMSA to provide interested members of the public and affected agencies an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping requests. This notice identifies three information collection requests that PHMSA will submit to OMB for renewal. The following information is provided for each information collection: (1) Title of the information collection; (2) OMB control number; (3) Current expiration date; (4) Type of request; (5) Abstract of the information collection activity; (6) Description of affected public; (7) Estimate of total annual reporting and recordkeeping burden; and (8) Frequency of collection. PHMSA will request a three-year term of approval for each information collection activity. PHMSA requests comments on the following information collections:

1. Title: Recordkeeping Requirements for Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Facilities

OMB Control Number: 2137–0048.

Current Expiration Date: 06/30/2018.

Type of Request: Renewal with no change of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: LNG facility owners and operators are required to maintain records, make reports, and provide information to the Secretary of Transportation at the Secretary’s request.

Affected Public: Owners and Operators of Liquefied Natural Gas Facilities.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden:

Estimated Number of Responses: 101.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 12,120.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion.

2. Title: Qualification of Pipeline Safety Training.

OMB Control Number: 2137–0600.

Current Expiration Date: 07/31/2018.

Type of Request: Renewal with no change of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: All individuals responsible for the operation and maintenance of pipeline facilities are required to be properly qualified to safely perform their tasks. 49 CFR 192.807 requires