• Information on the composition of contributing employers, as applicable, such as contributions, active participants, contribution base units, the ability of employers to meet their pension obligations, and withdrawal liability estimates of significant employers, including how the alternative terms and conditions apply to significant employers.

In several cases, plans proposing alternative terms and conditions for satisfying withdrawal liability obtained an independent financial expert to study a representative sample of the plan’s employers to help the plan determine that its expected net recovery of withdrawal liability under the alternative terms and conditions would be more favorable than the default method that would otherwise apply under the statute.

Factors in PBGC Consideration of Alternative Terms and Conditions To Satisfy Withdrawal Liability

PBGC’s review of alternative terms and conditions typically includes whether:

• The proposed alternative terms and conditions are in the interests of participants and beneficiaries and do not create an unreasonable risk of loss to PBGC, and are otherwise consistent with ERISA and PBGC’s regulations;

• The proposed alternative terms and conditions would realistically maximize projected contributions and the net recovery of withdrawal liability for the plan compared to the income generated by the statutory withdrawal liability rules;

• The assumptions used to support the plan’s submission are reasonable and supported by credible data; and

• The proposed alternative terms and conditions are reasonable in scope and application and operate and apply uniformly to all employers (but may consider an employer’s creditworthiness).

Disclaimer

This policy statement represents PBGC’s current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person or operate to bind the public. If an alternative approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations, you may use that approach. If you want to discuss an alternative approach (which you are not required to do), you may contact PBGC.

PBGC invites public input on any other issue relating to alternatives for satisfying withdrawal liability (and allocating UVBs for purposes of determining withdrawal liability, if applicable). PBGC’s consideration of such input is independent of, and without prejudice to, PBGC’s ongoing review and determination of any request for approval or review of any alternative for allocating and satisfying withdrawal liability.

Signed in Washington, DC
William Reeder,
Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 2018–06780 Filed 4–3–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7709–02–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
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New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission’s consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: April 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
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I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request’s acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s) can be accessed via the Commission’s website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. Docket No(s): CP2018–193; Filing Title: Notice of the United States Postal Service Filing of a Functionally Equivalent International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement; Filing Acceptance Date: March 29, 2018; Filing Authority: 39 CFR 3015.50; Public Representative: Timothy J. Schwuchow; Comments Due: April 6, 2018.

This Notice will be published in the Federal Register.

Stacy Ruble,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–06817 Filed 4–3–18; 8:45 am]
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