§ 39.13 [Amended]
2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

2018–07–17 Safran Helicopter Engines

(a) Effective Date
This AD is effective April 27, 2018.

(b) Affected ADs
None.

(c) Applicability

(d) Subject
Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC) Code 7230, Turbine Section.

(e) Unsafe Condition
This AD was prompted by an engine failure caused by missing turbine blade dampers. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of a power turbine blade. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could result in loss of engine power in flight and reduced control of the helicopter.

(f) Compliance
Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Required Actions
Within 20 flight hours or 30 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first:

1. Inspect the PTW in accordance with paragraph 2.4.2.3 of Safran Helicopter Engines MSB No. A319 72 2854, Version A, dated February 9, 2018; and

2. If, as a result of the inspection required by paragraph (g)(1) of this AD, any dampers are found missing, replace the PTW with a part eligible for installation before further flight.

(h) Installation Prohibition
Do not install an engine with a PTW with a serial number listed in Appendix 2.1 of Safran Helicopter Engines MSB No. A319 72 2854, Version A, dated February 9, 2018, unless all thirty-one blade dampers are installed.

(i) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

1. The Manager, ECO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (j)(1) of this AD. You may email your request to: ANE-AD-AMOC@faa.gov.

2. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(j) Related Information

1. For more information about this AD, contact Robert Green, Aerospace Engineer, ECO Branch, FAA, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: 781–238–7754; fax: 781–238–7199; email: robert.green@faa.gov.


(k) Material Incorporated by Reference

1. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

2. You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.


4. Reserved.

5. For Safran Helicopter Engines service information identified in this AD, contact Safran Helicopter Engines, S.A., 40220 Tarnos, France; phone: (33) 05 59 74 40 00; fax: (33) 05 59 74 45 15.

6. You may view this service information at FAA, Engine & Propeller Standards Branch, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 781–238–7759.

7. You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on April 6, 2018.

Robert J. Ganley,
Manager, Engine and Propeller Standards Branch, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018–07541 Filed 4–11–18; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Austro Engine GmbH Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Austro Engine GmbH model E4 and E4P diesel piston engines. This AD requires replacement of the waste gate controller and the control rod circlip. This AD was prompted by reports of broken or disconnected turbocharger waste gate control rods on some engines. We are issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: This AD is effective April 27, 2018.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of April 27, 2018.

We must receive comments on this AD by May 29, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: 202–493–2251.


• Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this final rule, contact Austro Engine GmbH, Rudolf-Diesel-Strasse 11, A–2700 Weiner Neustadt, Austria; phone: +43 2622 230000; fax: +43 2622 230000–271; internet: www.austroengine.at. You may view this service information at the FAA, Engine & Propeller Standards Branch, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803. For information on the

Examining the AD Docket
You may examine the AD docket on the internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–0153; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this final rule, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations (phone: 800–647–5527) is listed above. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Robert Green, Aerospace Engineer, ECO Branch, FAA, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: 781–238–7754; fax: 781–238–7199; email: robert.green@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion
The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Community, has issued EASA AD 2017–0250, dated December 18, 2017 (referred to after this as the MCAI), to address an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

Occurrences have been reported where, on some engines, turbocharger waste gate control rods were found broken and/or disconnected. Investigation results indicate that these failures were due to insufficient fatigue life or improper handling of the waste gate control rod and improper installation of the non spring loaded waste gate control rod circlip.

These conditions, if not corrected, could lead to improper operation of the waste gate with consequent engine power loss, possibly resulting in reduced control of the aeroplane.

To address these potential unsafe conditions, Austro Engine designed a new spring loaded waste gate control rod circlip and published Mandatory Service Bulletin (MSB) MSB–E4–022, later revised, EASA AD No. 2017–0250 introducing a life limit for the affected waste gate controllers and waste gate control rod circlips.

For the reason described above, this [EASA] AD requires implementation of those life limits, and prohibits reinstallation of non spring loaded circlips.


Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51
We reviewed Austro Engine Mandatory Service Bulletin (MSB) No. MSB–E4–022/2, Rev. No. 2, November 27, 2017. The MSB describes procedures for replacement of the waste gate controller and the control rod circlip. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

Other Related Service Information
We also reviewed Austro Engine GmbH MSB No. MSB–E4–002/2, Rev. No. 2, dated April 1, 2015. This MSB describes E4 and E4P model engine configurations.

FAA’s Determination
This product has been approved by EASA, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the European Community, EASA has notified us of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are issuing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information provided by EASA and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

AD Requirements
This AD requires replacement of the waste gate controller and the control rod circlip.

FAA’s Justification and Determination of the Effective Date
An unsafe condition exists that requires the immediate adoption of this AD without providing an opportunity for public comments prior to adoption. The FAA has found that the risk to the flying public justifies waiving notice and comment prior to adoption of this rule because the compliance time for the action is less than the time required for public comment. The FAA has reviewed and agrees with EASA’s determination that certain affected waste gate controller and control rod circlip must be replaced within 50 flight hours or 2 months. Failure to replace these parts within the required compliance times could lead to improper operation of the waste gate controller with consequent engine power loss and reduced control of the airplane. Therefore, we find good cause that notice and opportunity for prior public comment are impracticable. In addition, for the reason stated above, we find that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Comments Invited
This AD is a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment. However, we invite you to send any written data, views, or arguments about this final rule. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include the docket number FAA–2018–0153 and Product Identifier 2018–NE–03–AD at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this final rule. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this final rule because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this final rule.

Costs of Compliance
We estimate that this AD affects 211 engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this AD:

### ESTIMATED COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replace Turbocharger Waste Gate Controller and Circlip.</td>
<td>1.5 work-hours × $85 per hour = $127.50</td>
<td>$235</td>
<td>$362.50</td>
<td>$76,488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

This AD is issued in accordance with authority delegated by the Executive Director, Aircraft Certification Service, as authorized by FAA Order 8000.51C. In accordance with that order, issuance of ADs is normally a function of the Compliance and Airworthiness Division, but during this transition period, the Executive Director has delegated the authority to issue ADs applicable to engines, propellers, and associated appliances to the Manager, Engine and Propeller Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division.

Regulatory Findings

This AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this AD:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

Table 1 to Paragraph (g) – Initial Replacement Compliance Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Compliance Time (A or B, whichever occurs later)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A Within 50 FHs or 2 months, whichever occurs first after the effective date of this AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B Within 250 FHs since first installation on an engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A Within 100 FHs or 5 months, whichever occurs first after the effective date of this AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B Within 250 FHs since first installation on an engine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(h) Installation Prohibition

Do not install on any engine a non-spring loaded waste gate control rod circlip, part number DIN67999–5, after the effective date of this AD.

(i) Definitions

For the purpose of this AD, a Group 1 engine is an Austro Engine GmbH model E4–B or E4–C engine installed on a DA 42 M–NG airplane with external containers or an E4–A engine. A Group 2 engine is any other Austro Engine GmbH model E4 and E4P engine.

(j) Credit for Previous Actions

You may take credit for replacement of the waste gate controller and control rod circlip required by paragraph (g) of this AD if you performed this action before the effective date of this AD using earlier versions of Austro Engine MSB No. MSB–E4–022.


(a) Effective Date

This AD is effective April 27, 2018.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to all Austro Engine GmbH model E4 and E4P diesel piston engines.

(d) Subject


(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by reports of broken or disconnected turbocharger waste gate control rods on some engines. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the turbocharger waste gate control rod. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could result in loss of engine thrust control and reduced control of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Required Actions

Within the compliance times identified in Table 1 to paragraph (g) of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 250 flight hours (FHs), replace the waste gate controller and control rod circlip in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, Paragraph 2.1, of Austro Engine GmbH Mandatory Service Bulletin (MSB) No. MSB–E4–022/2, Rev. No. 2, dated November 27, 2017.
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

19 CFR Part 149

[USCBP–2016–0040]

RIN 1651–AA98

CBP Decision No. 16–94; Definition of Importer Security Filing Importer

AGENCY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule adopts a proposed amendment to expand the definition of an Importer Security Filing (ISF) Importer, the party that is responsible for filing the ISF, for certain types of shipments. The changes are necessary to ensure that the definition of ISF Importer includes parties that have a commercial interest in the cargo and the best access to the required information.

DATES: This rule is effective May 14, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Craig Clark, Branch Chief, Advance Data

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Under CBP regulations, Importer Security Filing (ISF) Importers, as defined in 19 CFR 149.1, are required to submit an ISF to CBP, which consists of information pertaining to certain cargo arriving by vessel. The ISF is required to be submitted before the cargo is loaded on a vessel that is destined to the United States. For cargo other than foreign cargo remaining on board (FROB) ships, the transmission of the ISF is required no later than 24 hours before cargo is laden aboard a vessel destined to the United States. For FROB shipments, the transmission of the ISF is required any time prior to lading. See 19 CFR 149.2(b).

For shipments consisting of goods intended to be entered into the United States and goods intended to be delivered to a foreign trade zone (FTZ), ISF Importers, or their agents, must submit 10 data elements to CBP. See 19 CFR 149.3(a). For shipments consisting entirely of FROB and shipments consisting entirely of goods intended to be transported as Immediate Exportation (IE) or Transportation and Exportation (T&E) in-bond shipments, ISF Importers, or their agents, must submit five data elements to CBP. See 19 CFR 149.3(b).

Currently, an ISF Importer is generally defined as the party causing goods to arrive within the limits of a port in the United States by vessel. See 19 CFR 149.1. The regulation provides that generally the ISF Importer is the goods’ owner, purchaser, consignee, or agent such as a licensed customs broker. However, the regulation limits the definition of ISF Importer to certain named parties for FROB, IE and T&E in-bond shipments, and for merchandise being entered into FTZ. For FROB cargo, the regulation provides that the ISF Importer is the carrier; for IE and T&E in-bond shipments, and goods to be delivered to an FTZ, the regulation provides that the ISF Importer is the party filing the IE, T&E, or FTZ documentation.

Based on input from the trade as well as CBP’s analysis, CBP concluded that these limitations did not reflect commercial reality and, in some cases, designate a party as the ISF Importer even though the party has no commercial interest in the shipment and limited access to the ISF data. Therefore, in a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published in the Federal Register on July 6, 2018 (81 FR 43961), CBP proposed to expand the definition of ISF Importer for FROB cargo, for IE and T&E shipments and for goods to be delivered to an FTZ.

For FROB shipments, CBP proposed to broaden the definition of an ISF Importer to include non-vessel operating common carriers (NVOCCs). For IE and T&E in-bond shipments, and for goods to be delivered to an FTZ, CBP proposed to broaden the definition of an ISF Importer to also include the goods’ owner, purchaser, consignee, or agent such as a licensed customs broker. This rule adopts these proposals as final. By broadening the definition to include these parties, the responsibility to file the ISF will be with the party causing the goods to enter the limits of a port in the United States and most likely to have access to the required ISF information.

For a detailed discussion of the statutory and regulatory histories of the rule, and the factors governing the development of this rule, please refer to the NPRM.

II. Discussion of Comments

CBP received two comments on the proposed rule, and each raised a number of issues. One comment favored the proposed amendment, recommended changes and one did not. A summary of the significant issues...