EXAMINATIONS OF WORKING PLACES IN METAL AND NONMETAL MINES STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS

[Dates, times, and locations]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Contact number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 1, 2018, 9 a.m. Central time</td>
<td>DoubleTree by Hilton Hotel, Bloomington, 10 Brickyard Drive, Bloomington, Illinois 61701.</td>
<td>309–664–6446.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 10, 2018, 11 a.m. Eastern time and work through lunch.</td>
<td>VTC</td>
<td>See Table Below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 17, 2018, 9 a.m. Eastern time</td>
<td>Hilton Garden Inn Pittsburgh Downtown, 250 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222.</td>
<td>412–281–5557.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 22, 2018, 9 a.m. Pacific time</td>
<td>Renaissance Reno Downtown Hotel, One South Lake Street, Reno, Nevada 89501.</td>
<td>775–682–3900.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 6, 2018, 11 a.m. Eastern time and work through lunch.</td>
<td>VTC</td>
<td>See Table Below.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VTC Meetings—May 10 and June 6, 2018

Interested participants may attend these meetings in-person at MSHA’s Headquarters in Arlington, VA or by participating by VTC at one of our seven local offices around the country (See table below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VTC Location</th>
<th>Address/contact number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlington, VA (Host Location)</td>
<td>MSHA Headquarters, 201 12th Street South, Arlington, VA 22202, Room 4C304, 202–693–9450.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham, AL</td>
<td>MSHA District Office 11, 1030 London Drive, Birmingham, AL 35211, Suite 400 (next to Canon Office Building), 205–290–7294.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
<td>MSHA District Office 9, Denver Federal Center, 6th &amp; Kipling, 2nd Street, Bldg. 25, Denver, CO 80225, Enter through Gate 2-Visitors, 303–231–5465.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa, AZ</td>
<td>Mesa Field Office, 63 East Main Street, Suite 402, Mesa, AZ 85201, 480–649–5452.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrendale, PA</td>
<td>MSHA Northeastern District Office, 178 Thorn Hill Road, Suite 100, Warrendale, PA 15086, 724–772–2334.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacaville, CA</td>
<td>MSHA Western District Office, 991 Nut Tree Road, Vacaville, CA 95687, Will be escorted to Conference Room, 707–447–7864.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Background

On January 23, 2017, MSHA published a final rule (January 2017 rule) amending the standards then in effect on examinations of working places in metal and nonmetal mines, 30 CFR 56.18002 and 57.18002 (82 FR 7680). The January 2017 final rule, which was scheduled to become effective on May 23, 2017, was stayed until June 2, 2018 (82 FR 46411). On September 12, 2017, MSHA published a proposed rule that would make limited changes to the January 2017 final rule (82 FR 42765). The final rule, published April 9, 2018 (83 FR 15055), is effective on June 2, 2018.

David G. Zatezalo,
Assistant Secretary of Labor for Mine Safety and Health.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

32 CFR Part 518

[Doct ID: USA–2017–HQ–0006]

RIN 0702–AA79

The Freedom of Information Act Program

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule removes the Department of the Army’s regulation concerning the Freedom of Information Act program. On February 6, 2018, the
DoD published a revised FOIA program rule as a result of the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016. When the DoD FOIA program rule was revised, it included DoD component information and removed the requirement for component supplementary rules. The DoD now has one DoD-level rule for the FOIA program that contains all the codified information required for the Department.

DATES: This rule is effective on April 19, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alecia Bolling at 703–428–6081.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: It has been determined that publication of this CFR part removal for public comment is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on removing Army’s internal policies and procedures that are publicly available on the Army’s website.

The Department of the Army’s internal guidance concerning the implementation of the FOIA within the Department of the Army will continue to be published in Army Regulation 25–55 (available at http://www.apd.army.mil/epubs/DR_pubs/DR_a/pdf/web/25_55.pdf).

This rule is one of 14 separate DoD FOIA rules. With the finalization of the DoD-level FOIA rule at 32 CFR part 286, the Department is eliminating the need for this separate FOIA rule and reducing costs to the public as explained in the preamble of the DoD-level FOIA rule published at 83 FR 5196–5197.

This rule is not significant under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” therefore, E.O. 13771, “Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs” does not apply.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 518

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information.

PART 518—[REMOVED]

Accordingly, by the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301, 32 CFR part 518 is removed.


Brenda S. Bowen, Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018–08204 Filed 4–18–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–03–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2017–0016]

RIN 1625–AA87

Security Zone; Presidential Security Zone, Palm Beach, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a security zone that encompasses certain waters of the Lake Worth Lagoon, Intracoastal Waterway, and Atlantic Ocean near the Mar-A-Lago Club and the Southern Boulevard Bridge in Palm Beach, Florida (FL). The Coast Guard will only enforce this rule when the President of the United States, members of the First Family, or other persons under the protection of the Secret Service are present or expected to be present. This action is necessary to protect the official party, public, and surrounding waterways from terrorist acts, sabotage or other subversive acts, accidents, or other events of a similar nature.

DATES: This rule is effective May 21, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble go to: http://www.regulations.gov and enter USCG–2017–0016 in the “SEARCH” feature. Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email, Petty Officer Mara Brown, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 305–535–4317, email Mara.J.Brown@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
COTP Captain of the Port
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
FL Florida
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

Through this final rule, the United States Coast Guard is establishing a security zone that encompasses certain waters of the Lake Worth Lagoon, the Intracoastal Waterway, and the Atlantic Ocean in the vicinity of the Mar-a-Lago Club and the Southern Boulevard Bridge in Palm Beach, FL. The security zone is necessary to protect the official party, the public, and the surrounding waterway from terrorist acts, sabotage or other subversive acts, accidents, or other events of a similar nature. The Coast Guard will only enforce the security zone when the President of the United States, members of the First Family, or other persons under the protection of the Secret Service are present or expected to be present. On June 20, 2017, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled, “Security Zone; Presidential Security Zone, Palm Beach, FL.” In the Federal Register (82 FR 28036). In the NPRM, we invited members of the public to provide comments on our proposed regulatory action related to this security zone. During the comment period, which ended on July 20, 2017, the Coast Guard received sixteen submissions containing twenty-two separate comments.

III. Legal Authority and Need for the Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under the authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231. The COTP Miami has determined the security zone is necessary to protect the official party, public, and surrounding waterways from terrorist acts, sabotage or other subversive acts, accidents, or other events of a similar nature. The purpose of this rule is to ensure the security of vessels and navigable waters during visits to the Mar-a-Lago Club by the President, the First Family, and other persons under the protection of the Secret Service.

IV. Discussion of Comments and Changes to the Rule

A. Discussion of Comments

The Coast Guard received sixteen submissions from the public consisting of twenty-two separate comments in response to the proposed rule. The total number of comments exceeds the number of submissions because many commenters expressed their views about more than one aspect of the proposed rule. All the comments we received were from private citizens and are discussed below.

Six commenters endorsed the Coast Guard’s proposal, but some of these commenters had questions or concerns that we will discuss individually below.

Three commenters expressed opposition to the proposed rule. One of these commenters expressed opposition to any waterway restrictions. We note this opposition. The other two commenters expressed concern that the restrictions on boaters would be