Basis section, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes represent a significant departure from its current fee structure.

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because, while different fees are assessed in some circumstances, these different fees are not based on the type of Member entering the orders that match but on the type of order entered and all Members can submit any type of order. Further, the proposed fee changes continue to be intended to encourage market participants to bring increased order flow to the Exchange, which benefits all market participants.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii)18 of the Act. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Exchange shall institute proceedings temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Exchange that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Exchange takes such action, the Exchange shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)19 of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–IEX–2017–43 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–IEX–2017–43. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change.

Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–IEX–2017–43 and should be submitted on or before January 23, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.20

Brent J. Fields,
Director, Division of Trading and Markets.

[FR Doc. 2017–28311 Filed 12–29–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 32956; 812–14749]

Oppenheimer Capital Appreciation Fund et al.; Application

December 27, 2017.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice.

Notice of an application under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an exemption from section 15(a) of the Act and rule 18f–2 under the Act, as well as from certain disclosure requirements in rule 20a–1 under the Act, Item 19(a)(3) of Form N–1A, Items 22(c)(1)(ii), 22(c)(1)(iii), 22(c)(6) and 22(c)(9) of Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Sections 6–07(2)(a), (b), and (c) of Regulation S–X ("Disclosure Requirements"). The requested exemption would permit an investment adviser to hire and replace certain sub-advisers without shareholder approval and grant relief from the Disclosure Requirements as they relate to fees paid to the sub-advisers.

APPLICANTS: Oppenheimer Capital Appreciation Fund; Oppenheimer Capital Income Fund; Oppenheimer Corporate Bond Fund; Oppenheimer Developing Markets Fund; Oppenheimer Discovery Fund; Oppenheimer Discovery Mid Cap Growth Fund; Oppenheimer Dividend Opportunity Fund; Oppenheimer Emerging Markets Innovators Fund; Oppenheimer Emerging Markets Local Debt Fund; Oppenheimer Equity Income Fund; Oppenheimer Global Fund; Oppenheimer Global High Yield Fund; Oppenheimer Global Multi-Alternatives Fund; Oppenheimer Global Multi-Asset Growth Fund; Oppenheimer Global Multi-Asset Income Fund; Oppenheimer Global Multi Strategies Fund; Oppenheimer Global Opportunities Fund; Oppenheimer Global Real Estate Fund; Oppenheimer Global Strategic Income Fund; Oppenheimer Global Value Fund; Oppenheimer Gold & Special Minerals Fund; Oppenheimer Government Cash Reserves; Oppenheimer Government Money Market Fund; Oppenheimer Institutional Government Money Market Fund; Oppenheimer Integrity Funds; Oppenheimer International Bond Fund; Oppenheimer International Diversified Fund; Oppenheimer International Equity Fund; Oppenheimer International Growth and Income Fund; Oppenheimer International Growth Fund; Oppenheimer International Small-Mid Company Fund; Oppenheimer Limited-Term Bond Fund; Oppenheimer Limited-Term Government Fund; Oppenheimer Macquarie Global Infrastructure Fund; Oppenheimer Main Street Funds; Oppenheimer Main Street Mid Cap Fund; Oppenheimer Main Street All Cap Fund; Oppenheimer Main Street Small Cap Fund; Oppenheimer Master Event-Linked Bond Fund, LLC;
Oppenheimer Master Inflation Protected Securities Fund, LLC; Oppenheimer Master International Value Fund, LLC; Oppenheimer Master Loan Fund, LLC; Oppenheimer Multi-State Municipal Trust; Oppenheimer Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Portfolio Series; Oppenheimer Quest for Value Funds; Oppenheimer Real Estate Fund; Oppenheimer ETF Trust; Oppenheimer Rising Dividends Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester AMT-Free Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester AMT-Free New York Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Arizona Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester California Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Fund Municipals; Oppenheimer Rochester Intermediate Term Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Limited Term California Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Maryland Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Massachusetts Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Michigan Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Minnesota Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester North Carolina Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Ohio Municipal Fund; Rochester Portfolio Series; Oppenheimer Rochester Short Term Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Rochester Virginia Municipal Fund; Oppenheimer Senior Floating Rate Fund; Oppenheimer Senior Floating Rate Plus Fund; Oppenheimer Series Fund; Oppenheimer Small Cap Value Fund; Oppenheimer Steelpath MLP Funds Trust; Oppenheimer Steelpath Panoramic Fund; Oppenheimer Ultra-Short Duration Fund; Oppenheimer Variable Account Funds (each, an “Oppenheimer Investment Company” and collectively, the “Oppenheimer Investment Companies”) with multiple series (each, a “Fund”); OFI Global Asset Management, Inc.; OppenheimerFunds, Inc.; OFI SteelPath, Inc. and VTL Associates, LLC (each an “Adviser” and, collectively with the Oppenheimer Investment Companies, the “Applicants”). Each Oppenheimer Investment Company is organized as either a Delaware statutory trust or a Delaware limited liability company and is registered with the Commission as an open-end management investment company under the 1940 Act.

FILING DATES: The application was filed on February 28, 2017, and amended on August 31, 2017.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission’s Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on January 22, 2018 and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to rule 0–5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel Loko, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–6883, or Holly Hunter-Ceci, Assistant Chief Counsel, at (202) 551–6825 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel’s Office).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s website by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Summary of the Application

1. An Adviser will serve as the investment adviser to the Subadvised Funds pursuant to an investment advisory agreement with the Oppenheimer Investment Companies (each, an “Investment Management Agreement”). An Adviser will provide the Subadvised Funds with continuous and comprehensive investment management services subject to the supervision of, and policies established by, each Subadvised Funds’ board of directors or trustees (the “Board”).

Each Investment Management Agreement permits the Adviser, subject to the approval of the Board, to delegate to one or more Sub-Advisers the responsibility to provide the day-to-day portfolio investment management of each Subadvised Fund, subject to the supervision and direction of the Adviser. The primary responsibility for managing the Subadvised Funds will remain vested in an Adviser. An Adviser will hire, evaluate, allocate assets to and oversee the Sub-Advisers, including determining whether a Sub-Adviser should be terminated, at all times subject to the authority of the Board.

2. Applicants request an exemption to permit an Adviser, subject to Board approval, to hire a Non-Affiliated Sub-Adviser or a Wholly-Owned Sub-Adviser, pursuant to Sub-Advisory Agreements and materially amend Sub-Advisory Agreements with Non-Affiliated Sub-Advisers and Wholly-Owned Sub-Advisers without obtaining the shareholder approval required under section 15(a) of the Act and rule 18f–2 under the Act. Applicants also seek an exemption from the Disclosure Requirements to permit a Subadvised Fund to disclose (as both a dollar amount and a percentage of the Subadvised Fund’s net assets): (a) The

A “Sub-Adviser” for a Fund is (1) an indirect or direct “wholly-owned subsidiary” (as such term is defined in the Act) of the Adviser for that Fund, or (2) a sister company of the Adviser for that Fund that is an indirect or direct “wholly-owned subsidiary” (as such term is defined in the Act) of the same company that, indirectly or directly, wholly owns the Adviser, or (3) a company of which the Adviser for that Fund is an indirect or direct “wholly-owned subsidiary” (as such term is defined in the 1940 Act) (each of (1), (2) and (3) a “Wholly-Owned Sub-Adviser” and collectively, the “Wholly-Owned Sub-Advisers”), or (4) an investment sub-adviser for that Funds that is not an “affiliated person” (as such term is defined in Section 2(a)(3) of the Act) of the Funds, any Feeder Fund, (as defined below) invested in a Master Fund (as defined below), the Funds, or the Adviser, except to the extent that an affiliation arises solely because the Sub-Adviser serves as a sub-adviser to one or more Funds (each a “Non-Affiliated Sub-Adviser” and collectively, the “Non-Affiliated Sub-Advisers”).

Certain Oppenheimer Investment Companies are operated in a master-feeder structure pursuant to Section 12(d)(1)(E) of the 1940 Act. In such a structure, certain Funds (each, a “Feeder Fund”) may invest substantially all of their assets in a Fund (a “Master Fund”) pursuant to Section 12(d)(1)(E) of the 1940 Act. No Feeder Fund will engage any sub-advisers other than through approving the engagement of one or more of the Master Fund’s sub-advisers.

The requested relief will not extend to any sub-adviser, other than a Wholly-Owned Sub-Adviser, who is an affiliated person, as defined in section 2(a)(3) of the Act, of the Subadvised Funds or the Adviser, other than by requiring the same of a sub-adviser to one or more of the Subadvised Funds or to any existing or future registered open-end management company or series thereof advised by an Adviser (“Affiliated Sub-Adviser”).

4 Applicants request that the relief apply to the named Applicants, as well as to any future Fund and any other existing or future registered open-end management investment company or series thereof that intends to rely on the requested order in the future and that (ii) is advised by the Adviser, its successors, and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with an Adviser or its successors (included in the term “Adviser”); (ii) uses the multi-manager structure described in this application, and (iii) complies with the terms and conditions of this application (each, a “Subadvised Fund”). For the purposes of the requested order, “successor” is limited to an entity resulting from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or a change in the type of business organization.

5 The term “Board” includes the board of trustees or directors of a future Subadvised Fund.
aggregate fees paid to the Adviser and any Wholly-Owned Sub-Advisers; (b) the aggregate fees paid to Non-Affiliated Sub-Advisers; and (c) the fee paid to each Affiliated Sub-Adviser.

3. Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the terms and conditions stated in the application. Such terms and conditions provide for, among other safeguards, appropriate disclosure to Subadvised Funds’ shareholders and notification about sub-advisory changes and enhanced Board oversight to protect the interests of the Subadvised Funds’ shareholders.

4. Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt any person, security, or transaction or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions from any provisions of the Act, or any rule thereunder, if such relief is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Applicants believe that the requested relief meets this standard because, as further explained in the application, the Investment Management Agreements will remain subject to shareholder approval, while the role of the Sub-Advisers is substantially equivalent to that of individual portfolio managers, so that requiring shareholder approval of Sub-Advisory Agreements would impose unnecessary delays and expenses on the Subadvised Funds. Applicants believe that the requested relief from the Disclosure Requirements meets this standard because it will improve the Adviser’s ability to negotiate fees paid to the Sub-Advisers that are more advantageous for the Subadvised Funds.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Brent J. Fields, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–28299 Filed 12–29–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. 2017–103]

Petition for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received; Sierra Pacific Airlines, Inc.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief from specified requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public’s awareness of, and participation in, the FAA’s exemption process. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of the petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on this petition must identify the petition docket number and must be received on or before January 12, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by docket number FAA–2017–0964 using any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

• Mail: Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30; U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

• Hand Delivery or Courier: Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

• Fax: Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251.

Privacy: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to http://www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL–14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at http://www.dot.gov/privacy.

Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at http://www.regulations.gov at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to the Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Justin Barcas (202) 267–7023, Office of Rulemaking, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 27, 2017.

Dale Bouffiou,

Deputy Executive Director, Office of Rulemaking.

Petition for Exemption


Petitioner: Sierra Pacific Airlines, Inc.

Section(s) of 14 CFR Affected: 121.1117.

Description of Relief Sought: Sierra Pacific Airlines, Inc. seeks an exemption from §121.1117 to the extent necessary to allow it to operate its one (1) Boeing 737–200 aircraft (Reg. No. N703S) and its two (2) Boeing 737–500 aircraft (Reg. Nos. N708S and N709S) in revenue service after December 26, 2017, even though such aircraft have not been retrofitted in compliance with the flammability reduction requirements in §121.1117.

[FR Doc. 2017–28303 Filed 12–29–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2017–0340]

Hours of Service of Drivers: Application for Exemption; Cudd Energy Services

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of application for exemption; request for comments.

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces that it has received an application from Cudd Energy Services (CES) (incorporated as Cudd Pressure Control Inc., and Cudd Pumping Services Inc.) requesting an exemption from the electronic logging device (ELD) requirements for their specially trained drivers of specially constructed commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) used in oilfield operations. The exemption would allow drivers of these infrequently-driven CMVs to complete paper records of duty status (RODS) instead of using an ELD device. These drivers are prohibited by regulation from using the short-haul exceptions to the hours-of-service (HOS) rules. CES believes that the exemption would not have any adverse impacts on operational safety because drivers would remain subject to the HOS regulations as well as the requirements to maintain paper RODS. FMCSA requests public comment on CES’ application for exemption.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 1, 2018.

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2017–0340]

Hours of Service of Drivers: Application for Exemption; Cudd Energy Services

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of application for exemption; request for comments.

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces that it has received an application from Cudd Energy Services (CES) (incorporated as Cudd Pressure Control Inc., and Cudd Pumping Services Inc.) requesting an exemption from the electronic logging device (ELD) requirements for their specially trained drivers of specially constructed commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) used in oilfield operations. The exemption would allow drivers of these infrequently-driven CMVs to complete paper records of duty status (RODS) instead of using an ELD device. These drivers are prohibited by regulation from using the short-haul exceptions to the hours-of-service (HOS) rules. CES believes that the exemption would not have any adverse impacts on operational safety because drivers would remain subject to the HOS regulations as well as the requirements to maintain paper RODS. FMCSA requests public comment on CES’ application for exemption.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 1, 2018.