(b) **Affected ADs**

This AD replaces AD 2017–16–05, Amendment 39–18982 (82 FR 39344, August 18, 2017) (“AD 2017–16–05”).

**Applicability**

This AD applies to all The Boeing Company Model 737–600,–700,–700C,–800,–900, and –900ER series airplanes, certificated in any category, as specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(3) of this AD.

(1) Airplanes in Groups 1 and 2 as identified in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–57A1327, Revision 2, dated July 25, 2017 (“BASB 737–57A1327, R2”).

(2) Airplanes in Group 3, as identified in BASB 737–57A1327, R2, except where this service bulletin specifies the groups as line numbers 622 through 6465 inclusive, this AD specifies those groups as line numbers 6422 through any line number airplane with an original Certificate of Airworthiness or an original Export Certificate of Airworthiness dated on or before the effective date of this AD.

(3) All Model 737–600,–700,–700C,–800,–900 and –900ER series airplanes with an original Certificate of Airworthiness or an original Export Certificate of Airworthiness dated after the effective date of this AD.

**Subject**

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 57, Wings.

**Unsafe Condition**

This AD was prompted by a report of a Krueger flap bullnose departing an airplane during taxi, which caused damage to the wing structure and thrust reverser, and a report of a missing no. 2 Krueger flap bullnose, which could damage empennage structure and lead to the inability to maintain continued safe flight and landing.

**Compliance**

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

**Required Actions**

For airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this AD: Except as required by paragraph (h) of this AD, at the applicable times specified in paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of BASB 737–57A1327, R2, do all applicable actions identified as “RC,” required for compliance in, and in accordance with, the Accomplishment Instructions of BASB 737–57A1327, R2.

**Exceptions to Service Information Specifications**

For purposes of determining compliance with the requirements of this AD: Where BASB 737–57A1327, R2 uses the phrase “the original issue date of this service bulletin,” this AD requires using September 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–16–05).

For purposes of determining compliance with the requirements of this AD: Where BASB 737–57A1327, R2 uses the phrase “the Revision 2 date of this service bulletin,” this AD requires using “the effective date of this AD.”

**Parts Installation Limitation**

As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install a Krueger flap or Krueger flap bullnose on any airplane, unless the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD have been accomplished on the Krueger flap bullnose.

**Credit for Previous Actions**

(1) This paragraph provides credit for the actions specified in paragraph (g) of this AD, if those actions were performed before September 22, 2017 (the effective date of AD 2017–16–05), using Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–57A1327, dated May 20, 2016. This paragraph provides credit for the actions specified in paragraph (g) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD, using Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–57A1327, Revision 1, dated September 28, 2016.

**Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)**

(1) The Manager, Seattle ACO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (l)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO Branch, to make whose findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) AMOCs approved previously for AD 2017–16–05 are approved as AMOCs for the corresponding provisions of BASB 737–57A1327, R2 that are required by paragraph (g) of this AD.

(5) For service information that contains steps that are labeled as RC, the provisions of paragraphs (k)(5)(i) and (k)(5)(ii) of this AD apply.

(6) The steps labeled as RC, including substeps under an RC step and any figures identified in an RC step, must be done to comply with the AD. If a step or substep is labeled “RC Exempt,” then the RC requirement is removed from that step or substep. An AMOC is required for any deviations to RC steps, including substeps and identified figures.

(7) Operators may deviate from steps not labeled as RC by using accepted methods in accordance with the operator’s maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC, provided the RC steps, including substeps and identified figures, can still be done as specified, and the airplane can be put back in an airworthy condition.

**Related Information**

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Alan Pohl, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Section, FAA, Seattle ACO Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198; phone and fax: 206–321–3527; email: alan.pohl@faa.gov.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Contractual & Data Services (C&DS), 2600 Westminister Blvd., MC 110–SK37, Seal Beach, CA 90740–5600; telephone: 562–797–1717; internet: https://www.myboeingfleet.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on May 7, 2018.

Michael Kaszycki, Acting Director, System Oversight Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2018–10213 Filed 5–14–18; 8:45 am]
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**Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to supersede Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2010–25–06, which applies to certain The Boeing Company Model 737–200,–300,–400, and –500 series airplanes. AD 2010–25–06 requires repetitive inspections for cracking of certain fuselage frames and stub beams, and corrective actions if necessary. AD 2010–25–06 also provides for an optional repair, which terminates the repetitive inspections. For airplanes on which a certain repair is done, AD 2010–25–06 also requires repetitive inspections for cracking of certain fuselage frames and stub beams,
and corrective actions if necessary. Since we issued AD 2010–25–06, additional cracking was found in areas not covered by the inspections. This proposed AD would retain the actions required by AD 2010–25–06 and would expand the inspection area. We are proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by June 29, 2018.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.


Examsining the AD Docket
You may examine the AD docket on the internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–0412; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations (phone: 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2018–0412; Product Identifier 2017–NM–180–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this NPRM. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this NPRM because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion
We issued AD 2010–25–06. Amendment 39–16539 (75 FR 81409, December 28, 2010) (“AD 2010–25–06”), for certain Model 737–200, –300, –400, –500 series airplanes. AD 2010–25–06 resulted from reports of the fuselage frames and stub beams, and corrective actions if necessary. AD 2010–25–06 also provides for an optional repair, which terminates the repetitive inspections. For airplanes on which a certain repair is done, AD 2010–25–06 also requires repetitive inspections for cracking of certain fuselage frames and stub beams, and corrective actions if necessary. AD 2010–25–06 resulted from reports of the detection of fatigue cracks at certain frame sections, in addition to stub beam cracking, caused by high flight cycle stresses from both pressurization and maneuver loads. We issued AD 2010–25–06 to detect and correct fatigue cracking of certain fuselage frames and stub beams and possible severed frames, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the frames. This reduced structural integrity can increase loading in the fuselage skin, which will accelerate skin crack growth and could result in rapid decompression of the fuselage.

Actions Since AD 2010–25–06 Was Issued
Since we issued AD 2010–25–06, additional cracking was found in areas not covered by the inspections. During an inspection of the body station (BS) 616 stub beams operators found additional cracking at buttock line (BL) 64. We determined that eddy current inspections of the upper chord at BL 64 and BL 65 must be done to maintain structural integrity. In addition, during inspections of the longitudinal floor beam web at the BS 639 stub beams operators found cracking in the floor beam web. It was determined that the inspections required by AD 2010–25–06 were inadequate, and eddy current inspections of the BL 45.5 floor beam web at the BS 639 stub beam interface must be done to address this cracking.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 1
We reviewed Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017. The service information describes procedures for detailed and eddy current inspections of the fuselage frame and over wing stub beam at BS 616, BS 639, and BS 597 or BS 601, and BL 45.5 floor beam web at the BS 639 stub beam attachment, and relative investigative and corrective actions. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

FAA’s Determination
We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

Proposed AD Requirements
This proposed AD would retain all requirements of AD 2010–25–06. This proposed AD does not explicitly restate the requirements of AD 2010–25–06. Those requirements are referenced in the service information identified previously, which, in turn, is referenced in this proposed AD, except for any differences identified as exceptions in the regulatory text of this proposed AD. This proposed AD would add new repetitive inspections for cracking of certain other fuselage frames and stub beams. For information on the procedures and compliance times, see the service information at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2018–0412.

Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, provides two economic inspections to find cracking prior to frame damage, which could require inspections. These inspections are recommended but are not mandated in this proposed AD.
The phrase “corrective actions” is used in this proposed AD. Corrective actions correct or address any condition found. Corrective actions in an AD could include, for example, repairs.

**Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 67 airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Up to 67 work-hours × $85 per hour = $5,695.</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Up to $5,695 per inspection cycle.</td>
<td>Up to $381,565 per inspection cycle.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We estimate the following costs to do certain necessary repairs/replacements that would be required based on the results of the proposed inspections. We have no way of determining the number of aircraft that might need these repairs/replacements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action **</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repairs/replacements</td>
<td>Up to 76 work-hours × $85 per hour = $6,460</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Up to $6,460.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All required parts are supplied by the operator. This cost is minimal, and we have no way to determine what an operator would pay for these parts.

** We have received no definitive data that would enable us to provide cost estimates for certain other repairs specified in this proposed AD.

### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

This proposed AD is issued in accordance with authority delegated by the Executive Director, Aircraft Certification Service, as authorized by FAA Order 8000.51C. In accordance with that order, issuance of ADs is normally a function of the Compliance and Airworthiness Division, but during this transition period, the Executive Director has delegated the authority to issue ADs applicable to transport category airplanes to the Director of the System Oversight Division.

### Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends §39.13 by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2010–25–06, Amendment 39–16539 (75 FR 81409, December 28, 2010), and adding the following new AD:


(a) Comments Due Date

The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by June 29, 2018.

(b) Affected ADs


(c) Applicability

This AD applies to The Boeing Company Model 737–200, -300, -400, and -500 series airplanes, certificated in any category, as identified in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017.

(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 53, Fuselage.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by the detection of fatigue cracks at certain frame sections, in addition to stub beam cracking, caused by high flight cycle stresses from both pressurization and maneuver loads and additional cracking found in areas not covered by the inspections in AD 2010–25–06. We are issuing this AD to address fatigue cracking of certain fuselage frames and stub beams and possible severed frames, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the frames. This reduced structural integrity can increase loading in the fuselage skin, which will accelerate skin crack growth and...
(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Repetitive Inspections of Body Stations 616 and 639 Frames and Stub Beams and Corrective Actions

At the applicable time specified table 1 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, Do a detailed or high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspection for cracking of the body station (BS) 616 and 639 frames and stub beams and do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, except as required by paragraph (m)(1) of this AD. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight. Thereafter, repeat the inspection at the applicable time specified in table 3 or table 4, as applicable, of paragraph (m)(2) of this AD. Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight. Thereafter, repeat the inspections at the applicable time specified in paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017.

(i) Do an open-hole HFEC inspection for cracking of the buttoc line (BL) 45.5 longitudinal floor beam web at each fastener hole common to the stub beam attachment angle.

(2) Do an HFEC surface inspection for cracking of the BL 45.5 longitudinal floor beam web around the fastener head/tail at each fastener location common to the backup strap.

(j) Repetitive Post-Repair Inspections of Buttoc Line 45.5 Longitudinal Floor Beam Web at Body Station 639 and Corrective Actions

For Group 2 airplanes as identified in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, at the applicable time specified table 5 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, except as required by paragraph (m)(2) of this AD: Do the inspections required by paragraphs (j)(1) and (j)(2) of this AD and all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017.

(1) Do an open-hole HFEC inspection for cracking of the BL 45.5 longitudinal floor beam web fitter at each fastener hole common to the stub beam attachment angle.

(2) Do an HFEC surface inspection for cracking of the BL 45.5 longitudinal floor beam web fitter around the fastener head/tail at each fastener location common to the backup strap.

(k) Repetitive Inspections of Buttoc Line 45.5 Longitudinal Floor Beam Web at Body Station 639 Stub Beam Attachment and Corrective Actions

For Group 1 and Group 2 airplanes as identified in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, at the time specified table 3 or table 4, as applicable, of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, except as required by paragraph (m)(2) of this AD: Do the inspections required by paragraph (i)(1) and (i)(2) of this AD and all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, except as required by paragraph (m)(1) of this AD. Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight. Thereafter, repeat the inspections at the applicable time specified in paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017.

(l) Credit for Previous Actions

(1) This paragraph provides credit for actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD, using Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 1, dated July 9, 2009; or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 2, dated February 22, 2012.

(2) This paragraph provides credit for actions required by paragraph (h) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD, using Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 2, dated February 22, 2012.

(m) Exceptions to Service Information Specifications

(1) Where Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, specifies to contact Boeing for repair instructions: Before further flight, do the repair using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (n) of this AD.

(2) Where Paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–53A1254, Revision 3, dated November 13, 2017, specifies a compliance time “after the Revision 3 date of this service bulletin,” this AD requires compliance within the specified compliance time after the effective date of this AD.

(n) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Los Angeles ACO Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD if it requests the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the certification office, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (o)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-LAACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO Branch, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.
We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this NPRM.

**Discussion**

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union, has issued EASA AD 2017–0216, dated October 30, 2017 (referred to after this as the Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information, or “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for certain Airbus Model A319–115 and –132 airplanes, and Model A320–214, –216, –232, and –233 airplanes. The MCAI states:

Airbus introduced mod 154327 on A319 and A320 aeroplanes which substituted the pump fuel feed system from the centre fuel tank with a jet pump transfer system, based on the Airbus A321 design. Following the modification introduction, it was discovered that the modified aeroplanes do not have electrical ground signals that replicate those from the deleted centre tank pump pressure switches. These signals are used as part of the fuel recirculation inhibition request logic. Subsequent investigation determined that ground wires had not been installed on the fuel level sensor control units (FLSCU) of post-mod aeroplanes, due to a drawing error possibly resulting in an uncommanded in-flight shut-down when flying at the gravity feed ceiling levels, as defined in the Aircraft Flight Manual (AFM).

To address this potential unsafe condition, Airbus issued AFM Temporary Revision (TR) 695 Issue 1 and AFM TR 700 Issue 1 to prohibit the use of Jet B and JP4 fuel, and AFM TR 700 Issue 1 to provide instructions for amendment of the gravity feed procedure for the other fuels.

Consequently, EASA issued AD 2016–0205 [which corresponds to FAA AD 2016–25–23, Amendment 39–18749 (81 FR 90971, December 16, 2016) (“AD 2016–25–23”)], requiring amendment of the applicable AFM to include the new gravity feed procedure and to reduce the list of authorised fuels.

Since that [EASA] AD was issued, Airbus developed a wiring modification to restore the intended FLSCU logic, and issued Service Bulletin (SB) A320–28–1242, later revised, providing instructions to modify affected aeroplanes.

For the reason described above, this [EASA] AD retains the requirements of EASA AD 2016–0205, which is superseded, and requires modification of FLSCU wiring. This [EASA] AD also allows, after that modification, to remove the previously inserted AFM TR’s from the applicable AFM.