FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

Notice of Request for Comment on FASAB Staff’s Proposed Staff Implementation Guidance 6.1, Clarification of Paragraphs 40–41 of SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, as amended

AGENCY: Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board.

ACTION: Notice.

Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3511(d), the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), as amended, and the FASAB Rules Of Procedure, as amended in October 2010, notice is hereby given that the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) staff have issued proposed Staff Implementation Guidance (SIG) 6.1, Clarification of Paragraphs 40–41 of SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, as amended, for public comment.

The proposed SIG is available on the FASAB website at http://www.fasab.gov/documents-for-comment/. Copies can be obtained by contacting FASAB at (202) 512–7350.

FASAB staff requests comments on the proposal by May 31, 2018. Please respond if you agree or disagree with the proposal by May 31, 2018. Please respond if you agree or disagree with the proposal by May 31, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director, Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board, 441 G Street NW, Suite 1155, Washington, DC 20548.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

FCC's Designated Federal Officer for the Technological Advisory Council by Julius Knapp, Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, this notice advises interested persons that the Federal Communications Commission’s (FCC) Technological Advisory Council will hold a meeting.

DATES: Tuesday, June 12th, 2018 in the Commission Meeting Room, from 12:30 p.m. to 4 p.m.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: At the June 12th meeting, the FCC Technological Advisory Council will discuss progress on and issues involving its work program agreed to at its initial meeting on April 12th, 2018. The FCC will attempt to accommodate as many people as possible. However, admittance will be limited to seating availability. Meetings are also broadcast live with open captioning over the internet from the FCC Live web page at http://www.fcc.gov/live/. The public may submit written comments before the meeting to: Walter Johnston, the FCC’s Designated Federal Officer for Technological Advisory Council by email: Walter.Johnston@fcc.gov or U.S. Postal Service Mail (Walter Johnston, Federal Communications Commission, Room 2–A665, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554). Open captioning will be provided for this event. Other reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities are available upon request. Requests for such accommodations should be submitted via email to fcc504@fcc.gov or by calling the Office of Engineering and Technology at 202–418–2470 (voice), (202) 418–1944 (fax). Such requests should include a detailed description of the accommodation needed. In addition, please include your contact information. Please allow at least five days advance notice; last minute requests will be accepted, but may not be possible to fill. Federal Communications Commission.

Walter.Johnston@FCC.gov

Federal Advisory Committee Act; Technological Advisory Council

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[FR Doc. 2018–10620 Filed 5–17–18; 8:45 am]

SUMMARY: Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by JC Horizon Ltd., hereinafter “Complainant,” against China Shipping Container Lines Co. Ltd., hereinafter “Respondent.” Complainant states that it is an exporter of recycled materials. Complainant states that Respondent “. . . was a China-based ocean common carrier providing international services . . .” that merged with the company China Ocean Shipping Company to become the business known as COSCO Shipping in 2016. Complainant states it utilized Respondent’s services to transport “. . . 38 containers of Distillers Dried Grain . . .” from Los Angeles, CA to Huangpu, China under a Service Contract. Complainant states that Respondent did not deliver the cargo to Huangpu, China and instead unloaded the containers at Nansha, China and “. . . indefinitely [held] them there.” Complainant alleges that Respondent expected payment for detention and demurrage charges totaling to more than $600,000.” The cargo was ultimately disposed of by Respondent after it was stored in Nansha for 287 days. Complainant entered in to arbitration with Respondents pursuant to a provision in their Service Contract and “. . . the panel issued an award in [Respondent’s] favor.” Complainant alleges “[Respondent] has no basis for attempting to levy demurrage and detention charges incurred as a result of an intermediate offloading and delay docking at Nansha for a shipment that never reached its port of discharge/place of delivery.” Complainant also alleges that the Service Contract [between the parties] does not permit [Respondent] to collect demurrage or detention charges from [Complainant] associated with the stopover at an interim location (Nansha).”

Complainant alleges Respondent “. . . has violated and continues to violate the following provisions of the Shipping Act:

1. 46 U.S.C. 41104(2)(A): It is a violation of the Shipping Act for [Respondent] to provide service or attempt to impose any fees or charges that are not contained in a properly published tariff or executed service contract.

2. 46 U.S.C. 41104(4)(A): It is a violation of the Shipping Act for